Gene-by-Gene Analysis in the MAGNITUDE Study of Niraparib With Abiraterone Acetate and Prednisone in Patients With Metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer and **Homologous Recombination Repair Gene Alterations**

Shahneen Sandhu (Shahneen.Sandhu@petermac.org)¹, Gerhardt Attard², David Olmos³, Eleni Efstathiou⁴, Elena Castro⁵, Dana E Rathkop⁶, Matthew R Smith⁷, Guilhem Roubaud⁸, Eric J Small⁹, Andrea J Pereira de Santana Gomes¹⁰, Marniza Saad¹¹, Deniz Tural¹², Shibu Thomas¹³, Karen Urtishak¹³, Michael Gormley¹³, Gary E Mason¹³, Brooke Diorio¹⁴, George Wang¹³, Angela Lopez-Gitlitz¹⁵, Kim N Chi¹⁶

¹Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre and the University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia; ²University College London, London, UK; ³Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Hospital 12 de Octubre (imas12), Madrid, Spain; ⁴Houston Methodist Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ⁵University Hospital Virgen de la Victoria, Málaga, Spain; ⁴Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA; ⁷Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center and Harvard Medicial School, Boston, MA, USA; ⁶Department of Medical Oncology, Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, France; ⁹University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; ¹⁰Liga Norte Riograndense Contra o Câncer, Natal, Brazil; ¹¹Department of Clinical Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; ¹²Department of Medical Oncology, Bakirkoy Dr. Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital, Zuhuratbaba District, Bakirkoy, Istanbul, Turkey; ¹³Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ¹⁶University of British Columbia, BC Cancer - Vancouver Center, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

INTRODUCTION

- Radiographic progression free survival (rPFS) was statistically significantly and clinically ningfully improved with niraparib (NIRA) + abiraterone acetate and prednisone (AAP) in patients with metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) and homologous recombination repair (HRR) gene alterations in the phase 3 MAGNITUDE study (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03748641), with improvement also observed in secondary and other endpoints
- A paucity of data supports the use of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors in patients with HRR gene alterations other than BRCA1/2
- Here, we report the efficacy of NIRA + AAP in patients with mCRPC and a qualifying (by plasma, tissue, and/or saliva/whole blood assays) single-gene HRR alteration other than BRCA1/2

METHODS

- A prespecified analysis was undertaken of the primary endpoint (rPFS by blinded independent central review), secondary endpoints (time to cytotoxic chemotherapy (TCC), time to symptomatic progression [TSP], and overall survival [OS]), as well as time to prostate-specific antigen progression (TPSA) and objective response rate (ORR) across 186 patients (91 randomized to NIRA + AAP; 95 to placebo [PBO] + AAP) with a single alteration in the ATM, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK2, FANCA, HDAC2, or PALB2 gene (excluding co-occurring alterations)
- CDK12 alterations were added to the MAGNITUDE HRR positive cohort eligibility mid-way through study enrollment, therefore patients with CDK12 alterations are included in this analysis regardless of which cohort they were enrolled (Figure 1)
- This analysis of individual alterations was not powered for formal statistical inference
- · Data on co-occurring mutations are not reported here due to the small sample size per tumor genotype and the inability to draw meaningful conclusions
- · Given the rarity of some single-gene alterations, groups based on functional similarity (HRR-Fanconi group [BRIP1, FANCA, PALB2] and HRR-associated group [CHEK2, HDAC2]) are also presented. Double-strand breaks can be detected by different proteins, such as the FANC complex, which recruit HRR effectors including BRCA1, BRCA2, and PALB2 (Figure 2)

FIGURE 1: Study design



FIGURE 2: HRR-Fanconi group (FANCA, BRIP1, PALB2)







RESULTS

- When combined into functional groups, patients with an alteration in the HRR-Fanconi pathway (BRIP1, FANCA, or PALB2) as well as patients with an HRR-associated alteration (CHEK2 or HDAC2) showed improvement in all endpoints (Table 1)
- Patients with gene alterations in PALB2, CHEK2, and HDAC2 showed benefit across all endpoints, similar to results seen in patients with BRCA1/2 gene alterations
- Additionally, *BRIP1* showed a compelling benefit in rPFS (**Table 1**)
- In patients with ATM alterations, benefit was observed in TCC, TSP, TPSA, and ORR (Table 1)
- There was benefit in TPSA and ORR for patients with CDK12 alterations but not for the primary or secondary endpoints (Table 1)
- With the exception of CDK12, all individual genes showed improvement in the primary endpoint and/or ≥1 of the secondary endpoints (Table 1)

TABLE 1: Combined functional groups of primary, secondary, and other endpoints

ingle-gene alteration, IR (95% CI)	NIRA + AAP (N)	PBO + AAP (N)	rPFS, HR (95% CI)	TCC, HR (95% CI)	TSP, HR (95% CI)	OS, HR (95% CI)	TPSA progression, HR (95% CI)	ORR (risk ratio) NIRA vs PBO
RCA1/2	113	112	0.53 (0.36, 0.79)	0.58 (0.33, 1.01)	0.68 (0.42, 1.11)	0.96 (0.57, 1.63)	0.46 (0.30, 0.69)	1.65 (1.02, 2.71); 29/56 (52%) vs 15/48 (31%)
IRR-Fanconi group	17	14	0.59 (0.23-1.45)	0.68 (0.17-2.74)	0.90 (0.24-3.37)	0.43 (0.12-1.50)	0.65 (0.27-1.59)	1.5 (0.38-6.00); 3/6 (50%) vs 2/6 (33%)
BRIP1	4	4	0.23 (0.02-2.26)	NE	1.14 (0.10-13.27)	NE	0.98 (0.14-7.00)	0.5 (0.13-2.00); 1/2 (50%) vs 1/1 (100%)
FANCA	5	6	1.07 (0.18-6.44)	0.51 (0.05-5.16)	1.23 (0.17-8.74)	NE	0.66 (0.13-3.47)	NE; 0/1 (0%) vs 0/2 (0%)
PALB2	8	4	0.59 (0.15-2.22)	0.39 (0.02-6.19)	0.41 (0.03-6.62)	0.27 (0.05-1.66)	0.59 (0.16-2.20)	2 (0.33-11.97); 2/3 (67%) vs 1/3 (33%)
RR-associated group	20	23	0.64 (0.26-1.58)	0.72 (0.19-2.69)	0.58 (0.17-2.00)	0.43 (0.13-1.38)	0.43 (0.17-1.10)	6.4 (0.96-43.25); 5/7 (71%) vs 1/9 (11%)
CHEK2	18	20	0.66 (0.25-1.75)	0.36 (0.07-1.88)	0.54 (0.14-2.25)	0.44 (0.12-1.71)	0.37 (0.14-0.99)	NE; 5/7 (71%) vs 0/6 (0%)
HDAC2	2	3	0.71 (0.06-8.02)	NE	0.71 (0.04-11.79)	0.44 (0.04-5.13)	NE	NE; 0/0 (0%) vs 1/3 (33%)
тм	43	42	1.11 (0.63-1.99)	0.26 (0.08-0.80)	0.75 (0.28-2.00)	1.07 (0.44-2.65)	0.73 (0.39-1.36)	3 (1.12-8.13); 14/17 (82%) vs 3/11 (27%)
DK12	11	16	1.32 (0.43-3.92)	1.13 (0.27-5.70)	1.05 (0.28-3.94)	1.61 (0.49-5.33)	0.66 (0.24-1.80)	2.25 (0.64-7.97); 3/4 (75%) vs 2/6 (33%)
zard ratio; CJ, confidence interval; PBO, placebo; AAP, abiraterone acetate and prednisone; NIRA, niraparity; rFSF, time to synthytomatic progression; OS, overall survival; TFSA, time to prostate-specific antigen; ORR, overall response rate; HRR, homologous recombination repair;								

REFERENCE:

1. Teyssonneau D, et al. J Hematol Oncol. 2021;14(1):51.

KEY TAKEAWAYS



The prognostic impact of BRCA1/2 altered prostate cancer and response to PARP inhibitors is well characterized; however, PARP inhibition activity in other HRR gene alterations is not as well characterized



A gene-by-gene analysis in patients with mCRPC showed benefit for treatment with NIRA + AAP for rPFS, secondary endpoints, and other efficacy endpoints both in patients with BRCA1/2 mutations and in selected other HRR gene alterations

CONCLUSIONS



Clinical benefit was seen in primary, secondary, and other endpoints in patients with HRR gene alterations, both BRCA1/2 and beyond BRCA1/2, who were treated with NIRA + AAP



In addition to improvements in rPFS, improvement in the secondary endpoints, such as delaying time to cytotoxic chemotherapy and prolonging time to symptomatic progression, are particularly relevant for improving the experience of patients with mCRPC



These data support the overall conclusions of the MAGNITUDE primary analysis and support the benefit of NIRA + AAP in patients with HRR gene alterations, both *BRCA1/2* and beyond *BRCA1/2*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

© 2022 American Society of Clinical Oncology, Inc. Reu 2022 ASCO Annual Meeting. All rights reserved.

DISCLOSURES