Updated Efficacy and Safety From the Phase 3 CROWN Study of First-Line Lorlatinib vs Crizotinib in Advanced Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase (ALK)–Positive Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)

# Conclusions



- With approximately 18 months of additional follow-up since the interim analysis of the phase 3 CROWN study, lorlatinib continued to show superior overall and intracranial (IC) efficacy compared with crizotinib in patients with ALK-positive NSCLC
- –Progression-free survival (PFS) by blinded independent central review (BICR) remained longer with lorlatinib than crizotinib; 3-year PFS was 63.5% with lorlatinib and 18.9% with crizotinib
- -Time to IC progression was longer with lorlatinib than crizotinib
- These efficacy benefits with lorlatinib compared with crizotinib were observed not only in patients with baseline brain metastases but also in patients without baseline brain metastases
- -In patients without baseline brain metastases, only 1 of 112 patients had evidence of IC progression, suggesting a protective effect against development of brain metastases on lorlatinib treatment
- No new safety signals were observed with longer follow-up
- These updated long-term data from CROWN confirm the efficacy of lorlatinib over crizotinib in patients with treatment-naive ALK-positive NSCLC and support the use of lorlatinib in these patients with and without baseline brain metastases



### stract Plain Language Summary

Please scan this quick response (QR) code with your nartphone to view a plain language summary of the abstract. you don't have a smartphone, access the poster via the internet at os://scientificpubs.congressposter.com/pls/wg2aoy6jd6fafha



Please scan this QR code with your smartphone to view an electronic version of this poster. If you don't have a smartphone, access the poster via the internet at: https://scientificpubs.congressposter.com/p/wg2aoy6jd6fafhau



### Follow us @PfizerOncMed

Correspondence: **Rebecca Marquez,** Rebecca.Marquez@pfizer.com

References: 1. Johnson TW, et al. J Med Chem. 2014;57:4720-4744. 2. Shaw AT, et al. N Engl J Med. 2020;383:2018-2029. 3. US Food and Drug Administration. Accessed February 28, 2022. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-lorlatinib-metastatic-alk-positive-nsclc. 4. Lorbrena (lorlatinib). Prescribing information. Pfizer Inc; 2021. Accessed March 2, 2022. https://labeling.pfizer.com/ShowLabeling.aspx?id=11140. 5. Lorbrena (lorlatinib). Japanese prescribing information. Pfizer Japan Inc; 2021. Accessed March 2, 2022. 6. European Medicines Agency. Accessed March 2, 2022. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/overview/lorvigua-eparmedicine-overview en.pdf

Acknowledgments: This study was sponsored by Pfizer Inc. Medical writing support was provided by Kakoli Parai, PhD, of ClinicalThinking, Inc, and funded by Pfizer.

**Disclosures: BJS** has served as a consultant or on advisory boards for Amgen, AstraZeneca, BMS, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, Takeda, and Roche/Genentech. **TB** reports grant support, paid to his institution, from Daiichi Sankyo, MedPacto, Incyte, Mirati Therapeutics, MedImmune, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, MabVax Therapeutics, Stemline Therapeutics, Merck, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Genentech, Deciphera Pharmaceuticals, Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, ImmunoGen, Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Phosplatin Therapeutics, Calithera Biosciences, Kolltan Pharmaceuticals, Principia Biopharma, Peloton Therapeutics, Immunocore, Roche, Aileron Therapeutics, Amgen, Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi, Boehringer Ingelheim, Astellas Pharma, Five Prime Therapeutics, Jacobio Pharmaceuticals, TopAlliance Biosciences, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Clovis Oncology, Takeda, Karyopharm Therapeutics, and ARMO BioSciences; grant support, paid to his institution, consulting fees, fees for serving on a speakers bureau, and travel support from Eli Lilly and Bayer; grant support, paid to his institution, consulting fees, and travel support from BMS, Foundation Medicine, and Loxo Oncology; grant support and consulting fees, paid to his institution, from Leap Therapeutics; grant support and consulting fees, paid to his institution, and travel support from Ignyta and Moderna Therapeutics; grant support and consulting fees, paid to his institution, and consulting fees from Pfizer; consulting fees and travel support from Guardant Health; and consulting fees from Exelixis and Blueprint Medicines. TM declares a leadership role with AstraZeneca, Hutchinson Chi-Med, and Sanonics Ltd.; honoraria/consulting fees from ACEA Biosciences, AstraZeneca, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Hutchison Chi-Med, Cirina, Fishawack Facilitate, Ignyta, Janssen, Lilly, Merck Serono, MSD, Novartis, OncoGenex, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, SFJ Pharmaceuticals, Takeda, and Vertex Pharmaceuticals; and research funding from AstraZeneca, BMS, Clovis Oncology, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, SFJ Pharmaceuticals, and Xcovery. **GL** has served on advisory boards and received honoraria from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BMS, F. Hoffman-La Roche, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and Takeda; and has received institutional research funding from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, F. Hoffman-La Roche, Merck, Novartis, and Takeda. JM has received grant support, advisory board fees, and lecture fees from Roche, AstraZeneca, Pierre Fabre, and BMS, advisory board fees and lecture fees from MSD, and advisory board fees from Daiichi Sankyo, Blueprint Medicines, Hengrui Therapeutics, and Pfizer. FdM has received consulting fees and fees

for serving a speakers bureau from AstraZeneca, BMS, Janssen, Merck, MSD, Mirati Therapeutics, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, and Takeda. YG has received grant support, lecture fees, and advisory board fees from Eli Lilly, Taiho Pharmaceutical, Pfizer, Novartis, MSD, Ono Pharmaceutical, Kyorin Pharmaceutical, and BMS; lecture fees and advisory board fees from Chugai Pharmaceutical, Boehringer Ingelheim, and AstraZeneca; grant support and advisory board fees from Guardant Health and Daiichi Sankyo; and advisory board fees from Illumina. **D-WK** has received travel support from Daiichi Sankyo and Amgen as well as institutional research funding from Alpha Biopharma, Amgen, AstraZeneca/MedImmune, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, Hanmi, Janssen, Merus, Mirati Therapeutics, MSD, Novartis, Ono Pharmaceutical, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, Takeda, TP Therapeutics, Xcovery, Yuhan, Chong Kun Dang, Bridge Biotherapeutics, and GSK. Y-LW has received research funding from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, Eli Lilly, Hengrui, MSD, Pfizer, Roche, and Sanofi; speaker fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, Eli Lilly, Hengrui, MSD, Pfizer, Roche, and Sanofi; and participated in advisory boards for AstraZeneca, MSD, Hengrui, and Takeda. JJ reports speaker fees from AstraZeneca, Pfizer, and Roche; advisory roles with AstraZeneca, BMS, MSD, and Pfizer; and travel support from Pfizer and Roche. FL-L reports relationships with Pfizer and AstraZeneca. RS has participated

in advisory boards for Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Taiho, Takeda, and Yuhan; and received research grants from AstraZeneca and Boehringer Ingelheim. AP, ED, LI, and FT are employed by and own stocks in Pfizer. EF has received advisory board fees from AbbVie, Guardant Health, Janssen, Merck, GlaxoSmithKline, and Bayer; advisory board fees and fees for serving a speakers bureau from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and Takeda; fees for serving a speakers bureau from Medscape, prIME Oncology, and Touch Independent Medical Education; grant support from Grant for Oncology Innovation and Fundación Merck Salud; and serves as a board member for Grifols.

> Presented at the 2022 JADPRO Live, October 20-23, 2022; Colorado, USA. © 2022 American Association for Cancer Research. Reused with permission. Previously presented at the 2022 AACR Annual Meeting. All rights reserved.

Benjamin J. Solomon,<sup>1</sup> Todd Bauer,<sup>2</sup> Tony Mok,<sup>3</sup> Geoffrey Liu,<sup>4</sup> Julien Mazieres,<sup>5</sup> Filippo de Marinis,<sup>6</sup> Yasushi Goto,<sup>7</sup> Dong-Wan Kim,<sup>8</sup> Yi-Long Wu,<sup>9</sup> Jacek Jassem,<sup>10</sup> Froylán López-López,<sup>11</sup> Ross Soo,<sup>12</sup> Anna Polli,<sup>13</sup> Elisa Dall'O',<sup>13</sup> Laura Iadeluca,<sup>14</sup> Francesca Toffalorio,<sup>13</sup> Enriqueta Felip<sup>15</sup>

## Background

- Lorlatinib, a third-generation ALK inhibitor designed to cross the blood-brain barrier, offers higher potency and greater coverage of ALK resistance mutations than second-generation ALK inhibitors<sup>1</sup>
- In the planned interim analysis of the phase 3 CROWN study (NCT03052608), lorlatinib improved PFS and demonstrated IC activity in patients with untreated ALK-positive NSCLC<sup>2</sup>

–At 18.3 months of median follow-up in the lorlatinib arm, median PFS was not reached (NR; 95% CI, NR-NR) with lorlatinib and was 9.3 months (95% CI, 7.6-11.1 months) with crizotinib (hazard ratio [HR], 0.28; 95% CI, 0.19-0.41; *P*<.001)

- -In patients with measurable baseline brain metastases, the frequency of confirmed IC response was greater with lorlatinib (82%) than crizotinib (23%)
- Based on the results of this study, the US Food and Drug Administration and regulatory authorities in Japan and Europe expanded lorlatinib approval to include first-line treatment in patients with metastatic NSCLC whose tumors are ALK positive<sup>3-6</sup>
- We report updated efficacy and safety data from the CROWN study after approximately 3 years of follow-up

# Results (Data Cutoff: September 20, 2021)

- Between May 2017 and February 2019, a total of 296 patients were randomly assigned to receive lorlatinib (n=149) or crizotinib (n=147)
- Median duration of treatment was 33.3 months with lorlatinib and 9.6 months with crizotinib
- Median duration of follow-up for PFS by BICR was 36.7 months with lorlatinib and 29.3 months with crizotinib
- Median PFS by BICR was NR (95% CI, NR-NR) with lorlatinib and 9.3 months (95% CI, 7.6-11.1 months) with crizotinib (HR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.184-0.388; **Figure 2A**)
- PFS as assessed by the investigators was also longer with lorlatinib than crizotinib
- –Median PFS was NR (95% CI, NR-NR) with lorlatinib and 9.1 months (95% CI, 7.4-10.9 months) with crizotinib (HR, 0.19; 95% CI, 0.131-0.274)
- PFS benefit with lorlatinib compared with crizotinib was also observed in patients with (**Figure 2B**) and without baseline brain metastases (**Figure 2C**)
- Time to IC progression by BICR was longer with lorlatinib than crizotinib in the intention-to-treat (ITT) population (Figure 3A) as well as in patients with (Figure 3B) and without baseline brain metastases (**Figure 3C**)
- -8 of 37 patients with baseline brain metastases and only 1 of 112 patients without baseline brain metastases had IC progression with lorlatinib treatment
- In patients with measurable baseline brain metastases, confirmed IC ORR by BICR was 83.3% with lorlatinib and 23.1% with crizotinib (**Table 1**)
- –72.2% and 7.7%, respectively, had a complete IC response
- With longer follow-up, no new safety signals have emerged
- Grade 3/4 all-causality adverse events (AEs) occurred in 75.8% of patients in the lorlatinib arm and 57.0% in the crizotinib arm (**Table 2**)
- -The incidence of treatment-related grade 3/4 AEs in the lorlatinib arm was largely due to frequent occurrence of altered lipid levels such as hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglyceridemia (**Figure 4**)
- Treatment-related cognitive effects occurred in 20.8% of patients in the lorlatinib arm; however, most (27 of 31) cognitive effects were grade 1/2 and no grade 4 event was observed
- AEs leading to permanent treatment discontinuation were reported in 7.4% of patients in the lorlatinib arm and 9.9% in the crizotinib arm

| Table 1: Summary of overall and IC response by BICR               |            |      |  |  |
|---|------------|------|--|--|
|   | Lorlatinib | Cr   |  |  |
| ITT population, n   | 149        |      |  |  |
| Confirmed ORR by BICR, n (%)                                      | 115 (77.2) | 8    |  |  |
| Complete response   | 4 (2.7)    |      |  |  |
| DOR, median (95% CI), months                                      | NR (NR-NR) | 9.6  |  |  |
| Patients with any brain metastases at baseline, n                 | 37         |      |  |  |
| Confirmed IC ORR by BICR, n (%)                                   | 24 (64.9)  |      |  |  |
| Complete IC response  | 22 (59.5)  |      |  |  |
| IC DOR, median (95% CI), months                                   | NR (NR-NR) | 9.4  |  |  |
| Patients with $\geq$ 1 measurable brain metastasis at baseline, n | 18         |      |  |  |
| Confirmed IC ORR by BICR, n (%)                                   | 15 (83.3)  |      |  |  |
| Complete IC response  | 13 (72.2)  |      |  |  |
| IC DOR, median (95% CI), months                                   | NR (NR-NR) | 10.2 |  |  |

# Methods

• The CROWN study is an ongoing, international, randomized phase 3 trial comparing lorlatinib with crizotinib in patients with previously untreated ALKpositive NSCLC (**Figure 1**)

<sup>1</sup>Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; <sup>2</sup>Sarah Cannon Research Institute/Tennessee Oncology, PLLC, Nashville, TN; <sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of South China, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; <sup>4</sup>University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada; <sup>5</sup>Toulouse University Hospital, Toulouse, France; <sup>6</sup>European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, Milan, Italy; <sup>7</sup>National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>8</sup>Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea; <sup>9</sup>Guangdong Lung Cancer Institute, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital and Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, China; <sup>10</sup>Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland; <sup>11</sup>San Peregrino Cancer Center, Aguascalientes, Mexico; <sup>12</sup>National University Cancer Institute, Singapore, Singapore; <sup>13</sup>Pfizer, Milan, Italy; <sup>14</sup>Pfizer, New York, NY; <sup>15</sup>Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain

### Figure 1. Study design

### Key eligibility criteria

- Stage IIIB/IV *ALK*+ NSCLC
- No prior systemic treatment for metastatic disease
- ECOG PS 0-2
- Asymptomatic treated or untreated CNS metastases were permitted
- ≥1 extracranial measurable target lesion (RECIST 1.1) with no prior radiation required

BID, twice daily; CNS, central nervous system; DOR, duration of response; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ORR, objective response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; TTP, time to tumor progression; TTR, time to tumor response. ned as the time from randomization to RECIST-defined progression or death due to any cause

# izotinib 147 86 (58.5) 5 (9.0-12.9) 39 7 (17.9) 5 (12.8) 4 (6.0-11.1) 13 3 (23.1) 1 (7.7) 2 (9.4-11.1)





## Table 2: Summary of AEs

|  | n (%)                 |                       |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|  | Lorlatinib<br>(n=149) | Crizotinib<br>(n=142) |
| Any-grade AE                                       | 149 (100.0)           | 140 (98.6)            |
| Treatment related                                  | 145 (97.3)            | 133 (93.7)            |
| Grade 3/4 AE                                       | 113 (75.8)            | 81 (57.0)             |
| Treatment related                                  | 94 (63.1)             | 54 (38.0)             |
| Death  | 10 (6.7)              | 7 (4.9)               |
| Treatment related                                  | 2 (1.3)               | 0                     |
| Any serious AE                                     | 57 (38.3)             | 44 (31.0)             |
| Treatment related                                  | 13 (8.7)              | 9 (6.3)               |
| AEs leading to dose reduction                      | 32 (21.5)             | 21 (14.8)             |
| AEs leading to temporary discontinuations          | 84 (56.4)             | 69 (48.6)             |
| AEs leading to permanent treatment discontinuation | 11 (7.4)              | 14 (9.9)              |
|  |                       |                       |



No crossover between treatment arms was permitted

