

# Manic Symptoms and Working Memory as Predictors for Applied Mathematical Problem-Solving

Brittney Arias, B.S., D'Shawn Harrigan, M.A, M.S., Zachary Peart, B.S., Sophia Perez, B.S.,  
Brittany Heavens, B.S., & Charles J. Golden, Ph.D.

College of Psychology, Nova Southeastern University

## INTRODUCTION

- Most research examines the predictive nature of sleep and medication effects on cognitive performance.
  - Researchers have suggested that prolonged wakefulness induces adverse changes in cognitive functioning, such as impaired attention and working memory (Alhola & Polo-Kantola, 2007).
- Many recent studies support the notion that working memory span performance is an essential predictor of mathematical problem-solving abilities (Allen et al., 2021; Raghubar et al., 2010).
- However, limited research demonstrates the role of working memory and manic symptoms for mathematical problem-solving abilities in adults.
  - One article found no empirical evidence for better academic performance in Mathematics for people with a hypomanic temperament. Instead, evidence suggested that worse performance was associated with high scores on hypomanic measures (Meyer & Krumm-Merabet, 2003).
- Nevertheless, it is currently unclear how strongly manic symptoms and working memory are associated with and able to predict applied mathematical problem-solving abilities.
- Further, it is uncertain if hypomania and working memory measures will provide valuable information for predicting applied mathematical problem-solving abilities after controlling for specific demographic factors shared within the general population.

## OBJECTIVES

- To determine the extent to which manic symptoms and working memory predict applied mathematical problem-solving abilities after controlling for age, gender, and education.

## METHODS

### Setting

- The participants were drawn from an ongoing de-identified adult clinical database collected from a neuropsychology clinic at a private university.

### Procedures

- Each participant completed the entirety of the Key Math 3, MMPI-2, and WAIS-IV.
- A hierarchical linear regression was estimated to test whether the MMPI-2 Hypomania Scale would predict significant incremental variance on the Key Math 3 Applied Problem-Solving Subtest above and beyond the WAIS-IV Working Memory Index (WMI) when controlling for age, gender, and education.

### Participants

- N=136
- Caucasians (N=65,  $M_{edu} = 13$ , 41.5% male)
- African Americans (N=19,  $M_{edu} = 12$ , 47.3% male)
- Hispanics (N=39,  $M_{edu} = 13$ , 43.5% male)
- Other (N=13,  $M_{edu} = 13$ , 38.4% male)

## CONCLUSIONS

- The current study indicates that participants' endorsement of increased energy and working memory predict a small role in applied mathematical problem-solving.
- The findings highlight differences between the mental computations needed for the WMI and the ecological validity associated with applied computational skills.
- Previous studies have examined the effect of sleep and medications in the assessment process (Alhola & Polo-Kantola, 2007).
- However, the current study highlights the importance of accounting for energy level and working memory when assessing applied mathematical ability.
- Future research could use larger neuropsychological batteries designed to investigate various areas of cognitive abilities and hypomania measures in mathematical abilities.

## RESULTS

- For the initial model, age, gender, and education were not significant predictors of Applied Problem-Solving subtest  $F(3, 134) = 1.548, p = .205, R^2 = .033$ .
  - The addition of WMI accounted for significant incremental variance for control variables of the first model  $\Delta F(1, 133) = 6.442, p = .012, \Delta R^2 = .045$ .
- The final model was also significant,  $F(5, 132) = 3.712, p = .004, R^2 = .123$ .
  - The addition of Hypomania Scale accounted for significant incremental variance over WMI  $\Delta F(1, 132) = 6.793, p = .010, \Delta R^2 = .045$ .
  - WMI was a significant predictor,  $b = .180, se = .074, p = .017$ , accounting for approximately 3.8% of the variance and the Hypomania Scale was a significant predictor,  $b = -.222, se = .085, p = .010$ , accounting for approximately 4.4% of the incremental variance.
  - Overall, both the Hypomania Scale and WMI account for 12.3% of the variance, adding an additional 4.5% of the variance over gender, age, and education.

## REFERENCES

- Alhola, P., & Polo-Kantola, P. (2007). Sleep deprivation: Impact on cognitive performance. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 3(5), 553–567.
- Allen, K., Giofre, D., Higgins, S., Adams, J. (2021). Using working memory performance to predict mathematics performance 2 years on. *Psychological Research*, 85, 1986-1996.
- Meyer, T. D., & Krumm-Merabet, C. (2003). Academic performance and expectations for the future in relation to a vulnerability marker for bipolar disorders: the hypomanic temperament. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 35, 785-796.
- Raghubar, K. P., Barnes, M. A., & Hecht, S. A. (2010). Working memory and mathematics: A review of developmental, individual difference, and cognitive approaches. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 20, 110-122.