# MAYO CLINIC

## Implications of different rates of adversity in functional disorders

Ahmed Alhassan, MBBS, MRCPsych,<sup>1</sup> Jeffrey P. Staab, MD, MS,<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neurobiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry & Psychology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

#### Background and Objectives

- In preliminary data, we found a 2.7-fold range in prevalence of childhood adversity across four functional/somatic symptom disorders(1). This study investigated the hypothesis that adversity exerts varying effects on these diagnoses.
- This suggests that mechanistic relationships between adversity and other illness-related variables may not be identical among these disorders.
- That is, even if mechanisms are partially shared, there must be some differences in processes linking adversity to clinical outcomes.
- Therefore, we developed a study with two complementary parts:
- (1) Present findings from our retrospective study which investigated potentially shared and unique relations between adversity and key illness-related variables in the four groups of patients with functional/somatic symptom disorders.
- (2) Conduct a scoping review published literature of similar studies to see if the concept still valid.

#### Methods

#### Part (1)

- This comparative study assessed childhood adversity (physical, sexual, emotional abuse or neglect) using sen structured face-to-face interviews.
- Disorders studied, cohort sizes, and random of adversity previously presented were
- Somatic symptom disorder with predominant pain (SSD-Pain).
- Functional neurological seizures (FND
- Functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGID).
- Functional vestibular disorders (FVest
- We examined associations of adversit demographics, self-rated depression ( 9), anxiety (GAD-7), alcohol use (AUDI pain severity (0-10 scale), and handica [Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI), FVestD group only].

#### **Part (2)**

- We searched APA, PsycINFO, MEDLIN and Embase for systematic epidemiole investigations done in humans that stuthe prevalence of adversity in four functional disorders mentioned above
- We looked for studies included at leas comparison group.

	Table 1: Chart review cohort						Figure 1: PRIS	
	Characteristic Total sample (	s N=199)	P ty	revalence /pe of adv	e of any versity	P-value		
, or mi- rates re:	Gender - Female - Male			52/146 (35.6%) 7/53 (13.2%)		<0.003	Studies Studie	
	Marital status - Married - Unmarried			24/62 (38.7%) 31/131(23.7%)		<0.03	from database through •Ne	
D-sz)	Depression (PHQ-9 >= 10) - History of adversity - No history of adversity			29/65 (44.6%) 21/114(18.4%)		<0.0002	4/30/22 disc N = 676 •Bi (ph	
tD) ty with (PHQ- IT), ap	<ul> <li>Adversity was unrelated to prevalence of anxiety (GAD- 7&gt;=10), problematic alcohol use [AUDIT-C&gt;=4(men), &gt;=3(women)] or pain severity overall or in any group</li> <li>Table 2: Adversity x Diagnostic Group</li> </ul>						•M •M stu stu •Ca •Na •Na •Na •Na •Na •Na •Na •N	
JE.		SSD – Pain	FND – sz	FGID	FvestD	P - Value	Eng var	
logical tudied	Any type of childhood adversity (%) • Chart review cohort • Literature review	N=51 43.1% 35- 79%	N=47 38.3% 7.6- 65%	N=51 21.6% 8.5- 84%	N=50 16.0% 22.0%	<0.01	Pain disorders including FGID	
	Current stress (%) • Chart review cohort	39.2%	44.7%	25.5%	6.0%	<0.0001	fibro- myalgia N = 41	

#### SMA Flow Diagram

- idies excluded = 557 asons: lot related to childhood versity = 101 lo comparison group = 104 eview article = 186 ot related to functional orders = 73 iomarker studies ysiological/psychological rrelates) = 29 anagement/treatment idies = 26 ase reports = 13 on-human studies = 9 thers (e.g. ongoing studies, dies on clinicians, not in glish, books, other coriant problems) = 16
- FND N = 35 N = 1

## THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM.

### Discussion

- Adversity exerted common and divergent effects depending on its prevalence and prevalence of other variables.
- Adversity doubled the rate of depression in all groups, except SSD-Pain where pain prevalence was highest.
- Findings are consistent with literature showing that adversity increases risk for FND-Sz (2), worsens morbidity in fibromyalgia by increasing co-existing depression (3), and increases handicap in patients with vestibular disorders (4).
- No study compared adversity in 4 different functional disorders using the same method in the litterateur except ours.

#### Conclusions

- Adversity is not linked to functional disorders through a singular or generic mechanism.
- Increasingly sophisticated concepts of adversity are needed to understand its direct and interactive effects on morbidity of functional/somatic symptoms disorder

#### References

- 1. Jean-Noel, F. (2011, 11). Variable rates of childhood adversity in psychosomatic syndromes: a comparison across four common conditions
- 2. Keynejad RC, Frodl T, Kanaan R, et al. (2019). Stress and functional neurological disorders: mechanistic insights.
- 3. Varinen A, Kosunen E, Mattila K, et al. (2019). The association between bullying victimization in childhood and fibromyalgia. Data from the nationwide Finnish health and social support (HeSSup).
- 4. Radziej K, Schmid G, Dinkel A, et al. (2015). Psychological traumatization and adverse life events in patients with organic and functional vestibular symptoms.