

- diabetes [T2D]).
- personalization of such messaging may optimize patient engagement.
- approach to promoting well-being and cardiac health behaviors.
- counter provision) among persons at elevated cardiac risk.

### Primary Aim:

### Secondary Aims:

intake) at 12 and 24 weeks.

### Participants:

- Patients eligible for this pilot study were adults who met the following criteria:

### Text4Health Intervention:

- each message from 0-10 based on how helpful it was to them.

- regarding their use and regarding the importance of health behaviors in cardiac health.

Cooking healthy meals can seem timeconsuming and complicated. Cutting up your veggies ahead of time and saving leftovers for future meals are great ways to save time!

(Diet, Fruits/Vegetables, Educational)

up every half hour and moving around for two minutes! (Sedentary Time, Activity, Not Social)

BACKGROUND • Nonadherence to key health behaviors is a major public health problem among patients with cardiac risk conditions (e.g., type 2 • Positive psychology (PP) interventions, which utilize short tasks (e.g., using personal strengths, recalling positive events) to cultivate well-being, have previously promoted cardiac health behaviors in combination with traditional approaches. • Mobile health (mHealth) programs may hold substantial promise as an intervention delivery modality. Text message interventions **Enrollment Visit** (TMIs) in particular may represent a novel, accessible means to deliver a PP-based health behavior intervention, and Informed consent Baseline data • Accordingly, we developed a 12-week, adaptive, PP-based TMI ("Text4Health") that aims to provide a more personalized Accelerometer provision • In this project we compared the Text4Health intervention (plus step counter provision) to enhanced usual care (including step OBJECTIVES Pre-randomization • To examine the feasibility and acceptability of the Text4Health TMI, as measured by rates of text message transmission, rates of participant response to text messages, and participant ratings of message utility, among 60 persons at elevated cardiac risk. • To explore group differences between the Text4Health TMI and eUC on psychological well-being and health behavior adherence (composite of moderate to vigorous physical activity [MVPA], sedentary time, fruit/vegetable intake, and cholesterol/saturated fat Potential participants approached by telephone METHODS N = 462 • Cardiac risk conditions: Two or more of hypertension, T2D, and hyperlipidemia, diagnosed by consensus criteria. • Low MVPA or high sedentary leisure time (SLT): <150 mins/week of MVPA or >120 mins/day of SLT, assessed via accelerometer Participants Enrolled • Suboptimal diet: Fewer than 5 daily servings of fruit/vegetables or elevated fat/cholesterol intake assessed via MEDFICTS scale N = 69• Exclusion criteria: Existing coronary artery disease, cognitive impairment, inability to be active, language/literacy barriers, inability to receive text messages, or current participation in a physical activity/health behavior program. • Participants were allocated to receive the Text4Health TMI (plus a step counter) or eUC (step counter provision alone). • Adaptive text messages: Participants received daily text messages focused on well-being or health behaviors, and they rated Participants Allocated N = 60• Goal-focused text messages: Participants also received twice-weekly text messaging focused on a health behavior goal. • Phone check-ins: At enrollment, 4 weeks, and 8 weeks, participants had brief (5-minute) calls with a trained study team member to discuss the goals of the program, problem-solve any barriers to health behavior change, and provide support. • Participants in this group (and eUC) also received Omron step counters with educational information and specific instructions **TMI** Condition **TEXT MESSAGES** N = 31 User User Remembering past successes is not Sitting for long periods of time can be rating: rating always easy but can increase bad for your heart. Today, try standing happiness. Try reminding yourself of your capabilities and strengths by visualizing a past success today! (Positive Psychology, Activity, Not Social The algorithm integrates ratings from previous messages to choose increasingly tailored text messages. **STUDY OUTCOMES Feasibility and Acceptability** Overall, 98.8% (2,491/2,520) of messages were successfully transmitted, and 84.0% (2,099/2,491) of messages received a response. Participants rated the utility of the es of text message response (goal 70%+) messages as 7.5 (SD 2.7) out of 10. ge utility (goal: >7/10)

Type of Outcome	Outcome			
	Aim #1 Outcomes (Primary Aim)			
Feasibility	Rates of text message delivery (goal: 95%+), rate			
Acceptability	Ratings (0-10) of text message			
Aim #2 Outcomes (Secondary Ain				
Psychological Outcomes	Positive affect (PANAS), optimism (LOT-R), depre efficacy (GSES), locus of control (MH			
Health Behaviors	MVPA (minutes/day), sedentary time (minutes/da saturated fat (MEDFICTS), fruit			
Aim #3 Outcomes (Exploratory Ai				
Markers of Cardiac Health	Blood pressure, body mass index, LDL and HDL cho 6-minute walk test, AHA			
Functional Outcomes	6-minute walk test, physical fun			

\* Main outcomes for Aim #2 are positive affect (PANAS) and a composite measure of health behaviors (dietary measures, sedentary time, MVPA) created by combining the component z-scores for each measure. These will be compared between the two groups at 12 weeks (primary time point) and 24 weeks, using mixed effects models.

# Development and Testing of an Adaptive Text Message Intervention to Promote Psychological Well-Being and Reduce Cardiac Risk: the Text4Health Controlled Clinical Pilot Trial

Christopher M. Celano, M.D.,<sup>1,2</sup> Lily Jacobson, B.A.,<sup>1</sup> Emily Feig, Ph.D.,<sup>1,2</sup> Elizabeth Madva, M.D.,<sup>1,2</sup> and Jeff C. Huffman, M.D.<sup>1,2</sup>

ession (HADS-D), anxiety (HADS-A), self-HLC), social support (MSPSS) lay), overall physical activity (steps/day), ts/vegetables (BRFSS)

olesterol, triglycerides, fasting glucose, A1C Life's Simple 7

nction (PROMIS PF-20)

Grant support: This work was supported by the National Institute for Nursing Research (grant R21-NR018738). Study visits completed in the Translational and Clinical Research Center were supported by Catalyst grant 1UL1TR001102.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA; <sup>2</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA;

## **STUDY PROCEDURES**



## **BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS**

### **CONSORT** Diagram



## RESULTS

### **Preliminary Efficacy**

	Outcome	B	95% Confidence Interval	p-value	Effect Size
	Health behavior adherence (composite)	0.2	-0.8, 1.3	.68	0.12
	Fruit and vegetable intake (BRFSS)	-0.1	-1.0, 0.8	.84	-0.06
	Saturated fat/cholesterol (MEDFICTS)	-4.1	-19.8, 11.7	.61	-0.16
	MVPA (minutes/day)	5.6	-2.8, 14.1	.19	0.33
S	Sedentary Time (minutes/day)	10.1	-69.7, 89.9	.80	0.07
	Overall activity (steps/day)	300.3	-972.0, 1,572.5	.64	0.14
he	Positive affect (PANAS)	0.2	-2.8, 3.1	.90	0.03
	Optimism (LOT-R)	1.4	-0.7, 3.5	.20	0.26
	Anxiety (HADS-A)	-1.1	-2.5, 0.2	.10	-0.37
	Depressive symptoms (HADS-D)	-0.3	-1.4, 0.7	.53	-0.12
	Physical function (PROMIS PF-20)	1.4	-0.6, 3.4	.16	0.21
	Cardiac Risk (AHA Life's Simple 7)	0.1	-1.0, 1.2	.88	0.05

## CONCLUSION

• An adaptive, personalized TMI was feasible and well-accepted among 60 participants at elevated cardiac risk, surpassing all thresholds for these metrics in this study's Primary Aim. • The intervention led to modest improvements in MVPA, anxiety levels, and physical function, but it did not significantly impact other psychological and health behavior outcomes. • Additional work should be done to understand how this intervention should be modified to have greater effect.



Study Participation Complete

Text4Health Program Daily adaptive messages Twice weekly goal messages Phone check-ins

12 weeks

**Follow-up Assessments** Outcome assessments Accelerometer provision Exit Interview

Week 36

### **Baseline Characteristics**

	Group		
eristic	TMI (N=31)	eUC (N=29)	
cteristics			
	65.5 (9.6)	66.1 (12.7)	
	19 (61)	18 (62)	
	23 (74)	26 (90)	
[%])			
	30 (97)	29 (100)	
	31 (100)	29 (100)	
	12 (39)	5 (17)	
ore (M [SD])	3.6 (1.7)	3.3 (2.0)	
ires (M [SD])			
e (composite)	0.04 (2.14)	-0.06 (1.78)	
BRFSS)	3.9 (1.9)	2.8 (1.1)	
	77.4 (25.1)	66.6 (24.4)	
	18.8 (20.5)	19.6 (15.0)	
	617.6 (123.8)	615.1 (158.7)	
	5,356 (3,390)	5,157 (2,199)	
	34.4 (7.1)	35.1 (6.1)	
	16.6 (5.8)	19.3 (4.5)	
	6.3 (3.3)	4.7 (2.6)	
	3.5 (2.9)	2.9 (2.3)	
S PF-20)	92.5 (7.7)	92.4 (7.7)	
ife's Simple 7)	6.6 (1.7)	7.0 (1.6)	

