MAYO **CLINIC**

Overt Gastrointestinal Bleeding in Patients with Cancer: Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes

Najlaa Hamadeh¹, Anthony Kerbage², Jana G. Hashash³, Don Rockey⁴, Kassem Barada²

1. Department of Internal Medicine, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon, 2. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon, 3. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, and 4. Digestive Disease Research Center, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, USA

BACKGROUND / AIMS

- Characteristics and outcomes of patients with overt gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) and known cancer are not well characterized.
- We compared GIB between cancer patients (CP) and non-cancer patients (NCP) in terms of baseline characteristics, clinical presentation, severity, etiology of bleeding and outcomes.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

- A prospective, observational, single-center cohort study
- Inclusion criteria: All patients aged ≥18 years presenting to the American University of Beirut Medical Center with overt GIB between January 2013 and December 2021.
- The participants were followed-up for a median of 52 months.
- A bivariate analysis compared characteristics and outcomes of CP and NCP, including rebleeding and mortality rates.
- Severe GIB was defined as the presence of SBP < 100mHg, > 2 units of blood transfused, or ≥ 2 units drop in hemoglobin.
- Among CP, hematological malignancies (HCP) were compared to solid tumors (SCP) and luminal cancers compared to non-luminal cancers.
- We performed a cause of death analysis comparing CP to NCP and different subgroups.
- · The associations with categorical variables were assessed with the Chisquare test, and the t-test was used for continuous ones.

RESULTS

- A total of 674 patients were included, of whom 144 (21%) were CP, and 530 (79%) were NCP.
- The age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was higher in CP (7 \pm 3) compared to NCP (4 \pm 2), p < 0.001, and among SCP (8 \pm 3) compared to HCP (6 ± 2), p < 0.001.
- Severe GIB was equally prevalent between the 2 groups, but CP were more likely to receive blood transfusion (68.3% vs. 54.2%, p = 0.002).
- NCP were more likely to undergo endoscopy (90% v. 76%, p < 0.001), and endoscopic therapy (33% vs. 24%, p = 0.029) than CP.





FIGU	RE	2		
50		p = 0	.595	
20				
10				
0		6.9	8.3	
0	Cardiovascular thromboemboli events (%)			

Major causes of GIB among cancer patients and non cancer patients



RESULTS

Cause of GIB

- Major causes of bleeding are shown in Figure 1.
- Peptic ulcer disease (PUD) was the major cause of GIB among both CP and NCP, and among SCP and non-luminal CP.
- AVM was the major cause of GIB in HCP, and luminal cancer itself was the most common cause of GIB among luminal CP.
- Patients who bled from PUD (both CP and NCP) were more likely to have taken a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (19% compared to 7%, p < 0.001) and less likely to be taking PPIs (31% compared to 52%, p < 0.001) compared to patients who bled from other causes.
- CP with GIB due to PUD were more likely to have undergone surgery (58%) compared to those with causes other than PUD (37%), p = 0.022.

Length of Hospital Stay

- The mean length of hospital stay was 8 days among CP and NCP.
- HCP had a longer average hospital stay than SCP (15 vs. 6 days), p = 0.006.

Rebleeding

- There was no difference in rebleeding rates between CP and NCP.
- CP with luminal cancer had higher in-hospital rebleeding rates than nonluminal cancer (10% vs. 2%, p = 0.03), as well as higher 1-month rebleeding rates (17% vs. to 5%, p = 0.023).

Mortality

- CP had higher in-hospital (12 vs. 6%), 1-month (20 vs. 8%), 1-year (48 vs. 16%) and end of follow-up mortality (72 vs. 39%) compared to NCP (p = 0.018, < 0.001, < 0.001, < 0.001 respectively).
- than NCP. Causes of death are shown in Figure 2.
- Among HCP, sepsis accounted for more deaths compared to those with SCP (31% vs. 12%, p = 0.007).
- SCP died primarily from the systemic cancer itself.

CONCLUSIONS

- CP did not present with more severe GIB compared to NCP, however they were less likely to have diagnostic and therapeutic endoscopy and more likely to receive transfusion than NCP.
- Both CP and NCP primarily bled from PUD.
- Steps to identify CP at risk for GIB and to improve their outcomes merit consideration and further investigation.



CP were more likely to die of sepsis, uncontrolled GIB and systemic cancer

They had comparable rebleeding rates, but higher short and long-term mortality.