# The Impact of Social Determinants of Health on Colorectal Cancer Screening in Transgender Individuals

Shabari M. Shenoy MD<sup>1</sup>, Gres Karim MD<sup>2</sup>, Shanique W. Noack MD<sup>3</sup>, Joshua Safer MD<sup>4</sup>, Ilan Weisberg MD<sup>3,5</sup>, Amreen Dinani MD <sup>3,6</sup>



- 1- Department of Medicine, Mount Sinai Morningside-West Hospital2- Department of Medicine, Mount Sinai Beth Israel Hospital
- 3- Division of Gastroenterology, Mount Sinai Morningside-West-Beth Israel Hospitals
- 4- Center for Transgender Medicine and Surgery, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai 5- Division of Gastroenterology, New York Presbyterian Brooklyn Methodist Hospital
  - 6- Division of Gastroenterology, Duke University Hospital

#### BACKGROUND

- Colorectal cancer (CRC) screening rates are lower in transgender (TGD) individuals compared to cis-gender individuals
- TGD individuals experience discrimination such as unemployment, lack of education, access to healthcare and housing insecurity
- Aim of the study was to identify the impact of barriers related to social determinants of health (SDH), as identified by the United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS), on CRC screening in TGD individuals

## METHODS

- Retrospective chart analysis was performed using electronic medical records of TGD individuals >45 years of age
- Patients with concerns related to SDH were included
- Patients' CRC screening rates were recorded
- Specific barriers were categorized into 5
  main domains: Economic stability, Access to
  quality education, Access to quality
  healthcare, Housing and neighbourhoods,
  Social and community related concerns

## RESULTS

	Mean or N (%)
Age (mean)	55.2 years
Sex recorded on birth certificate	
Male	268 (87.3%)
Female	39 (12.7%)
Ethnicity	
Hispanic	83 (27.0%)
Non- Hispanic	136 (44.3%)
Unknown	88 (28.7%)
<b>Gender Identity</b>	
Male	35 (11.4%)
Female	264 (86.0%)
Gender non conforming	2 (0.7%)
Unknown	2 (0.7%)

	N(%)
CRC Screening	143 (46.6%)
Offered	
CRC Screening	104 (72.2%)
completed	
Barriers related to	
SDH	
<b>Economic Stability</b>	105 (32.4%)
<b>Education Access and</b>	22 (7.1%)
Quality	
Healthcare Access	56 (18.2%)
and Quality	
Neighborhood and	43 (14%)
<b>Built Environment</b>	
	4.00 /50 00/
Social and	182 (59.3%)
<b>Community Context</b>	

- We identified 1046 TGD individuals above 45 years of age. CRC screening was offered to 143 individuals (13.6%)
- Of those offered screening, 104/143 (72%) completed screening
- Two or more barriers related to SDH were identified in 78 people. Social and community related concerns was the most common barrier (182 people, 59.3%), followed by economic instability (105 people, 32.4%)
- Lack of access to healthcare (18.2%), housing insecurity (7.1%) and deficiency in access to quality education (7.1%) were other factors
- The presence of at least one barrier related to SDH negatively impacted CRC screening (p<0.001)

## CONCLUSIONS

- 30% of individuals in our study had at least one barrier related to SDH which were negatively associated with CRC screening
- There is a need to develop comprehensive initiatives aimed at mitigating obstacles to CRC screening
- Future studies should focus on implementing assessment tools for early identification of these barriers

### REFERENCES

- 1. Kiran T, Davie S, Singh D, et al. Cancer screening rates among transgender adults: Cross-sectional analysis of primary care data. *Can Fam Physician*. 2019;65(1):e30-e37.
- 2.. Sterling J, Garcia MM. Cancer screening in the transgender population: a review of current guidelines, best practices, and a proposed care model. Transl Androl Urol. 2020;9(6):2771-2785. doi:10.21037/tau-20-954

