

Utility of Surveillance Endoscopy for Gastric Ulcers: Are We Scoping too Much?

Ankur Patel¹, R. Luke Pecha¹, Cassandra Gandle², Mimi Tan², Nabil Mansour², David Graham², Robert Sealock², Gyanprakash Ketwaroo²

Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas

1. Department of Medicine, 2. Section of Gastroenterology and Hepatology



Background

- After diagnosing a gastric ulcer, it has become routine practice for surveillance endoscopy (SE) to ensure ulcer healing and to rule out malignancy.
- **Current ASGE guidelines recommend that most gastric** ulcers undergo biopsies, but individualized approach should be taken.
- We hypothesized that most gastric ulcers were benign and did not need SE and that most malignant ulcers have appearance characteristic of malignancy at index endoscopy and therefore, diagnosed by gastric biopsies.

Objectives

- We aimed to characterize gastric ulcers diagnosed on endoscopy and determine risk factors for malignant ulcers.
- We sought to develop an algorithm for evaluating gastric ulcers and the need for SE.

Methods

- The PDSA QI methodology was used to develop an intervention (algorithm) incorporating national guidelines and expert opinion.
- **Pre-intervention data was collected from February** 2019 to July 2021 using endoscopic reporting software from two tertiary care institutions in Houston, TX.
- Consecutive gastric ulcers were reviewed and risk factors for malignant ulcers were determined.
- We developed an algorithm for SE after gastric ulcer diagnosis incorporating these and other published risk factors as well as expert opinion.

Results

- There were 198 patients in total, and 147 were men.
- The average age was 61.1 years and the overall ulcer size was 14.5mm.
- Malignant ulcers were found in 16 patients (8%), as follows:
 - 11 gastric cancer, 4 lymphoma, and 1 lung cancer.
 - The average malignant ulcer size was 25.6mm.
 - Importantly, the majority were biopsied on index endoscopy (15, 94%).
 - Upon review of endoscopic images, 14 (87.5%) had malignant features defined as: size over 2cm, irregular borders, and elevated ulcer edges with base discoloration.
- For the 182 patients without malignancy:
 - 61 (33.5%) had malignant ulcer features.
 - Of these, 40 (65.6%) got biopsied on index endoscopy.
- An algorithm was established from this pre-intervention data.

Algorithm

GASTRIC ULCER: mucosal defect ≥ 5mm with apparent depth, confirm with high quality

Question 1: What has caused the ulcer?

Question 2: Are there any high-risk characteristics for malignancy? These include: Size over 2cm Irregular border

Elevated ulcer edges with base discoloration

Question 3: What is the status of the underlying gastric mucosa (normal vs inflamed vs

HIGH RISK FEATURES PRESENT.

Step 1: Ulcer biopsies (minimum of 4 biopsies, 3 from margin and 1 from base)

Step 2: Endoscopic salvage cytology

Step 3: Random gastric biopsies according to Sydney protocol

> MALIGNANCY ABSENT.

Step 4: Repeat endoscopy to re-assess ulcer in 6-8 weeks if: a) high clinical concern for malignancy and/or b) biopsies not obtained during initial procedure



MALIGNANCY PRESENT.

MALIGNANCY ABSENT.

Step 3: Determine etiology of ulcer and treat appropriately

HIGH RISK FEATURES

ABSENT.

Step 1: Ulcer biopsies

(minimum of 4 biopsies,

3 from margin and 1 from

Step 2: Random gastric

biopsies according to

Sydney protocol

	Number	Male/ Female	Average Age (years)	Ulcer Size (mm)	Features (%)	on Initial EGD (%)
Malignant Ulcer	16	10/6	54.4	25.6	14 (87.5%)	15 (94.0%)
Non- malignant Ulcer	182	137/45	61.7	13.5	61 (33.5%)	40 (65.6%)1
Total	198	147/51	61.1	14.5	37.9%	67.9%

Discussion

- Most gastric ulcers were not malignant and 94% of malignant ulcers were biopsied on index endoscopy based on appearance.
- We developed an algorithm incorporating biopsies for gastric ulcers at index endoscopy and decreasing the need for SE.