OUTCOMES AND TRENDS OF ENDOSCOPIC RETROGRADE CHOLANGIO-PANCREATOGRAPHY IN BARIATRIC SURGERY PATIENTS -A NATIONAL INPATIENT SAMPLE (NIS) STUDY

Deepa P Budh¹, MD; Pranav D Patel, MD²; Yash Shah, MD³; Sahil Raval, MD⁴; Deep Mehta, MD⁵; Pooja Shah, MBBS, MS⁶; Dhruvan Patel, MD⁷; Harshit S. Khara, MD, FACG²

'Geisinger





1. St Barnabas Health Center, Bronx, NY, 2 Geisinger Medical Center, Danville, PA; 3.Heckensack Meridian Hospital, Brick, NJ 4. St Francis Medical Center, Trenton, NJ, 5.Saint Peter's Medical Center, New Brunswick, NJ, 6. Baroda Medical College, Baroda, India 7. Drexel University Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA

Drexel





Introduction

- ☐ Bariatric surgery (BS) is a widely used modality of treatment in patients with morbid obesity.
- ☐ The lifetime risk of these patients needing Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio-Pancreatography (ERCP) has increased.
- □ ERCP in bariatric surgery population is timeconsuming and technically challenging due to altered anatomy¹.
- ☐ Despite several techniques, there are complications associated with each type of ERCP.
- ☐ Our aim was to assess trends and outcomes of ERCP in BS patients.

Methods and materials

- We utilized the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) database from 2007 to 2018.
- ☐ We identified adult hospitalized patients who underwent ERCP using CPT procedure codes.
- ☐ These were divided based on the presence of bariatric surgery (BS) status and nonbariatric surgery (NBS).
- □ All procedures were captured using previously validated CPT codes, comorbidities were captured using previously validated ICD 9 and 10 codes.
- ☐ Univariate and multivariate logistic regression for categorical variables and linear regression for continuous variables was carried out to identify independent associations at p < 0.05.
- ☐ Statistical Analysis was performed using R studio.



	Table 1. De	<u>mographics</u>	
	Non Bariatric Surgery Group (n = 2,142,270)	Bariatric Surgery Group (n= 36,713)	p-value
<u>AGE</u>	62 (46, 76)	57 (44, 67)	< 0.001
AGE GROUP			< 0.001
18-27	116,840 (5.5%)	425 (1.2%)	. 0.001
28-37	343,200 (16%)	2,262 (6.2%)	
38-47	391,922 (18%)	5,754 (16%)	
48-57	387,558 (18%)	8,811 (24%)	
58-67	313,532 (15%)	8,042 (22%)	
68-77	216,827 (10%)	6,094 (17%)	
78-87	197,928 (9.2%)	4,015 (11%)	
88 and above	174,463 (8.1%)	1,311 (3.6%)	
GENDER			< 0.001
Male	879,110 (41%)	11,618 (32%)	0.001
Female	1,262,064 (59%)	25,080 (68%)	
Unknown	1,097 (< 0.1%)	15 (< 0.1%)	
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
RACE	4.000.054.(000()	04.404.(700()	< 0.001
White	1,326,854 (68%)	24,424 (72%)	
African American Hispanic	178,702 (9.1%) 305,630 (16%)	3,558 (10%) 4,081 (12%)	
Asian/Pacific Islander	72,335 (3.7%)	852 (2.5%)	
Native American	13,664 (0.7%)	153 (0.4%)	
Other	66,140 (3.4%)	1,016 (3.0%)	
Unknown	178,946 (8.4%)	2,628 (7.2%)	
Length of stay(Days)	4.0 (3.0, 7.0)	6.0 (3.0, 12.0)	< 0.001
YEAR	457.405.(7.00()	4.500 (4.00()	< 0.001
2007	157,405 (7.3%)	1,593 (4.3%)	
2008 2009	172,320 (8.0%)	1,829 (5.0%)	
2009	170,895 (8.0%) 176,302 (8.2%)	2,135 (5.8%) 2,661 (7.2%)	
2010	179,012 (8.4%)	2,440 (6.6%)	
2012	168,425 (7.9%)	2,500 (6.8%)	
2013	167,540 (7.8%)	2,540 (6.9%)	
2014	168,515 (7.9%)	3,145 (8.6%)	
2015	174,610 (8.2%)	3,440 (9.4%)	
2016	200,030 (9.3%)	4,595 (13%)	
2017	201,935 (9.4%)	4,760 (13%)	
2018	205,280 (9.6%)	5,075 (14%)	
Obesity	309,544 (14%)	11,259 (31%)	< 0.001
Total Charges(\$)	\$55,252 (34,801, 87,258)	\$76,902 (46,432, 139,565)	< 0.001
	INDICATION	S FOR ERCP	
Acute Pancreatitis	14,015 (0.7%)	255 (0.7%)	0.7
Acute Cholangitis	124,546 (5.8%)	1,498 (4.1%)	< 0.001
Choledocholithiasis Departure Consor	30,785 (1.4%)	765 (2.1%)	< 0.001
Pancreatic Cancer Hilar Cholangiocarcinoma	25,946 (1.2%) 20,022 (0.9%)	460 (1.3%) 278 (0.8%)	0.7 0.12
illar Cholangiocarcinoma	20,022 (0.370)	270 (0.070)	0.12
<u>Tal</u>		istic Regression of ERC	D <u>.</u>
	Complications and	I Inpatient Mortality	
	Adjusted Odds Ratio	95% CI	p-value
Inpatient Mortality	1.75	1.49-2.07	< 0.01
Acute Pancreatitis	0.36	0.15-0.86	0.02
Acute Cholangitis Bleeding	2.05	0.92-1.11 1.71-2.45	0.84 < 0.01
Cholecystitis	1.18	0.79-1.77	0.42
Intraabdominal Infections	4.12	3.33-5.11	< 0.01
Bile Duct Perforation	3.77	3.07-4.63	< 0.01

Table 1. Demographics, indications of bariatric and nonbariatric surgery patients Table 2: Multivariate logistic regression of ERCP complications and inpatient mortality.

Results

- ☐ Total 2,178,983 patients had ERCP between 2007 and 2018, from which 36,713 (1.7%) were performed in BS patients.
- ☐ Among the patients who received ERCP, 68% were females, 72% were Caucasians (Table 1).
- ☐ Rates of ERCP in BS patients increased from 1,593 (4.3%) in 2007 to 5075 (14%) in 2018 (p < 0.01).
- ☐ Total charges associated with BS was \$76,902 vs \$55,252 (p< 0.001).
- □ ERCP for Cholecdocholithiaisis (2.1% vs 1.4%) was more common in BS patients.
- ☐ On univariate analysis, bleeding, intra-abdominal infections, bile duct perforation, and inpatient mortality were statistically significant amongst BS patients as compared to NBS patients.
- ☐ On multivariate analysis, BS patients had higher risk of death (aOR: 1.38, 1.18-1.63) and bleeding complications (aOR: 1.73, 1.44-2.07) as compared to NBS patients.
- ☐ While acute pancreatitis was significantly higher in NBS patients as compared to BS patients. Acute cholangitis was comparable in BS and NBS patients (Table 2).

Discussion

- ☐ The utilization of ERCP has been increasing over the last decade.
- ☐ The bariatric surgery group had younger females and had higher utilization of health care resources.
- □ BS group despite being younger had a higher mortality rate and had more bleeding complications as compared to NBS.
- □ ERCP should be performed with caution in patients with BS to avoid mortality and worse inpatient outcomes.

References

1. Banerjee N, Parepally M, Byrne TK, Pullatt RC, Coté GA, Elmunzer BJ. Systematic review of transgastric ERCP in Roux-en-Y gastric bypass patients. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2017 Jul;13(7):1236-1242. doi: 10.1016/j.soard.2017.02.005. Epub 2017 Feb 10. PMID: 28336200.