#### Baylor College of Medicine

# Real-world outcomes of Transoral Incisionless Fundoplication at a Tertiary Academic Center

R. Luke Pecha MD<sup>1</sup>, Tara Keihanian MD MPH<sup>2</sup>, Kristen A. Staggers<sup>3</sup>, Margarita Rojas Barret MD<sup>2</sup>, Kalpesh K. Patel MD<sup>2</sup> 1. Department of Medicine, 2. Section of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 3. Institute for Clinical and Translational Research, Baylor College of Medicine

## Background

- Transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF) is a minimally invasive procedure for treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease.
- Serious adverse events, primarily bleeding and perforation, have been estimated around 2.4%<sup>1,2</sup> Minor adverse side effects are frequent, but less well-established.
- The main aim of this study is to evaluate efficacy and short term outcome of the TIF procedure at a tertiary academic center in the United States.

### Methods

- This is a retrospective study with prospective enrollment of patients who underwent a TIF procedure January 2018 to December 2021.
- All TIF procedures were performed using the EsophyX-Z device (EndoGastric Solutions, Redmond, WA) and were divided into 3 sequential group for statistical analysis.
- Technical success was defined as the ability to create a ≥270° partial wrap with estimated longitudinal length of ≥2cm.
- Immediate post procedure symptoms or procedure related adverse events up to 2 months post procedure were collected retrospectively.

Table 1- Baseline Characteristics		Signifi	cant inc	rease in the nu	umber of fasteners	over time (P-value<
Age [mean ± SD] Female White Hispanic Average BMI [mean ± SD] ASA Score II III IV Hx of Barrett's ablation Hx of esophageal surgery Nissen Nissen and POEM	ean $\pm$ SD]52.3 $\pm$ 15.7male67.6% (n=46)/hite79.4% (n=54)apanic32.4% (n=22)II [mean $\pm$ SD]27.7 $\pm$ 5.1A ScoreIIII69.1% (n=47)III29.4% (n=20)IV1.5% (n=1)ett's ablation4.4% (n=3)nageal surgeryassen8.8% (n=6)and POEM1.5% (n=1)DEM2.9% (n=2)ion for TIFal GERD83.8% (n=57)cal GERD16.2% (n=11)e (if available)1I25% (n=14)II8.9% (n=5)IV3.6% (n=1)	Number of Fasteners	35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 0	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
POEM Indication for TIF Typical GERD Atypical GERD HILL Grade (if available) I II III IV pH Impedance or Bravo		Immediate abdominal pain Immediate chest pain Immediate dysphagia/odynophagia Immediate sore throat Immediate nausea or vomiting Ta Post-TIF EGD performed			OR (95% Cl) 1.13 (1.02-1.24) 1.00 (0.89-1.12) 1.06 (0.92-1.23) 1.04 (0.90-1.19) 1.07 (0.96-1.19) <b>Table 3: Follow up EGD</b>	p-value 0.014 0.937 0.434 0.608 0.226 42.6% (n=29)
Acid Non-acid	Esopha Intact	agitis on pos wrap on pos	st-TIF EGD		269.8 ± 205.1 Days 10.3% (n=3) 62.1% (n=18)	

#### Results

- 68 patients underwent 77 consecutive TIF procedures.
- Technical success was achieved in 100% of the patients.
- Immediate outcome: Abdominal pain (28.6%), chest pain (1 Dysphagia/odynophagia (6.5%), sore throat (7.8%), nausea/vomiting (14.3%). The more fasteners used, the higher the odds of having abdominal pain (increase in 1
- fastener: OR 1.13, 95% CI: 1.02, 1.24).
- Nine patients (13.2%) underwent redo TIF and 2 (2.9%) proceeded with surgical fundoplication for persistent symptoms





tormed		42.6% (n=29)		
tween TIF and Follow up EGD		269.8 ± 205.1 Days		
ost-TIF EGD		10.3% (n=3)		
ost-TIF EGD		62.1% (n=18)		
		Conclusion		
10.4%),	• F a r	For PPI refractory GERD with regulations of the second sec		

- In select patients.<sup>3,2</sup>
- Immediate discomfort post TIF is not uncommon. The higher number of fasteners increases the risk of abdominal pain post TIF. Short term outcomes are consistent with prior studies.





