

Severity of Gastrointestinal Bleeding after Coronary Stenting is Associated with a Subsequent Increased Risk of a Major Adverse Cardiovascular Event

Pedro Cortés, M.D.¹, Jennifer J. Zeng¹, Christian Karime, M.D.¹, Samuel O. Antwi, Ph.D.², Shahyar M. Gharacholou, M.D.³, Maoyin Pang, M.D., Ph.D.⁴ 1. Division of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic Florida 2. Division of Epidemiology, Mayo Clinic Florida 3. Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic Florida 4. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mayo Clinic Florida

BACKGROUND

✤ DAPT increases the risk gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) after coronary stenting. It remains unclear if a GIB after coronary stenting is associated with a major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE).

OBJECTIVE & HYPOTHESIS

- ↔ We aimed to determine features of the index GIB following coronary stenting that may predict MACE.
- ✤ We hypothesized that measures of severity, such as ICU admission, transfusion requirements and length of stay would be associated with MACE.

METHODS

- Retrospective study across Mayo Clinic Florida between January 2015 and December 2021.
- Inclusion criteria: 1) successful coronary stenting, 2) initiation of DAPT, 3) index GIB after coronary stenting 4) 18 years or older. Excluded if any of above not met
- The primary endpoint was MACE. Significance was defined as p < 0.10 given the small sample size.
- ♦ A severe GIB was defined as: 1) \geq 5 pRBCs transfused, 2) hospital stay \geq 7 days, 3) transfer to ICU, 4) cardiac arrest, or 5) death.
- Univariable Cox Proportional Hazard Regression Analysis and Kaplan-Meier Estimates were performed.
- ✤ Censors included: 1) MACE, 2) death, or 3) lost to follow up. Time to censor from index GIB was recorded.

CONCLUSIONS

- ✤ In this single-center retrospective cohort study, we found patients who were transferred to the ICU at the time of GIB, or had a severe bleed were subsequently at a higher risk of MACE.
- ✤ Discontinuation of DAPT after GIB, transfusion requirement, length of stay, hemoglobin or change in hemoglobin at GIB were not associated with MACE.
- Further studies are needed to determine if a rebleed is a risk factor for MACE.

Baseline Cha Median (IQR) o Age at Coronary Stent Placement. Male gender White Race Hispanic Ethnicity Never Smoker Body Mass Index, per 1 kg/m² Obesity Comorbidities – defined as per Hypertension Chronic Kidney Disease Liver Disease History of stroke Prior Major Bleeding (before LHC Labile INR Age > 65Medication predisposing to bleed Alcohol use HAS-BLED score **Coronary Catheterization Data** Pre-catheterization Endoscopy Pe Indication for coronary catheteriz Acute Coronary Syndrome • NSTEMI • STEMI Stable CAD Number of Stents Placed, per 1 st Hemoglobin prior to catheterizati **Medications After Catheterizat** Proton pump inhibitor SSRI **NSAIDs** Anticoagulation Warfarin • DOAC Indication for Anticoagulation • Atrial Fibrillation • DVT/PE

ICU admission during an index GIB following coronary stenting is associated with a 162% increased risk of MACE.

 Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of All Patients and Unadjusted Cox Proportional Hazards
 Regression Analysis for MACE Following Coronary Stenting and Index GIB

haracteristics or Fraction (%)	Unadjusted Cox R For MACE after I		Baseline Characteristics		djusted Cox Regre	
All Patients				Median (IQR) or Fraction (%)	For MACE after Index GIB		
	N=100	HR (95% CI)	p-value	Gastrointestinal Bleed (GIB)	All Patients N=100	HR (95% CI)	p-value
nt, per 10 years	70.5 (61.7-77.8)	1.30 (0.93-1.84)	0.13	Median time to Index GIB from stenting, days	166 (22.8-374.8)	NA	NA
	74 (74.0%)	0.91 (0.42-1.99)	0.91	P2Y12 inhibitor taken prior to admission	89 (89.0%)	3.87 (0.53-28.4)	0.18
	100 (100%)	NA	NA	Presenting sign	05 (05.070)	5.67 (0.55 20.1)	0.10
	1 (1.0%)	NA	NA	• None	10 (10.0%)	1.98 (0.76-5.17)	0.16
	32 (32.0%)	1.10 (0.53-2.30)	0.80	Hematemesis	11 (11.0%)	0.63 (0.15-2.66)	0.53
	30.0 (26.4-30.4)	1.02 (0.97-1.07)	0.45	Melena	50 (25.0%)	1.24 (0.61-2.53)	0.56
	50 (50.0%)	1.64 (0.80-3.39)	0.18	Hematochezia	32 (32.0%)	0.62 (0.27-1.44)	0.26
HAS-BLED				Labs at time of GIB	02 (02:070)	0.02 (0.27 1.1.)	0.20
	91 (91.0%)	3.95 (0.53-29.09)	0.18	 Hemoglobin, per 1 g/dL 	8.4 (6.7-10.1)	0.92 (0.79-1.07)	0.28
	15 (15.0%)	1.49 (0.57-3.90)	0.42	• Hemoglobin drop, per 1 g/dL	3.8 (2.7-5.5)	0.97 (0.83-1.13)	0.65
	15 (15.0%)	1.78 (0.67-4.73)	0.25	 Platelets, per 50 x 10⁹/L 	207 (167.8-272.8)	0.97 (0.81-1.17)	0.77
	12 (12.0%)	1.58 (0.60-4.14)	0.35	• INR, per 1 point	1.2 (1.1-1.9)	1.14 (0.94-1.37)	0.18
HC)	28 (28.0%)	0.52 (0.20-1.36)	0.18	Endoscopy Performed	81 (81.0%)		0110
	29 (29.0%)	2.00 (0.98-4.10)	0.0570	Inpatient Procedure	74/81 (91.4%)	0.44 (0.15-1.31)	0.14
1.	66 (66.0%)	2.43 (1.00-5.92)	0.0512	Normal endoscopy	9/81 (11.1%)	2.65 (0.89-7.93)	0.0814
ding	100 (100.0%)	NA	NA	 Esophageal varices 	0	NA	NA
	26 (26.0%)	0.40 (0.14-1.14)	0.0868	 Esophagitis 	10/81 (12.4%)	0.47 (0.06-3.52)	0.46
	4 (3-5)	1.20 (0.92-1.55)	0.17	Gastritis	11/81 (13.6%)	1.80 (0.67-4.86)	0.25
a				 Ulcerations 	33/81 (40.7%)	0.58 (0.24-1.42)	0.23
erformed	12 (12.0%)	0.85 (0.26-2.80)	0.79	Source of Bleeding Found	55/01 (40.770)	0.50 (0.24-1.42)	0.25
zation				Unknown	26 (32.1%)	1.79 (0.78-4.09)	0.17
ne	65 (65.0%)	1.64 (0.75-3.57)	0.21	Intervention Performed	34/81 (42.0%)	0.69 (0.29-1.63)	0.40
	41 (41.0%)	1.30 (0.64-2.63)	0.47	Number of pRBCs transfused, per 1 unit	1 (0-3)	1.13 (0.97-1.31)	0.1081
	24 (24.0%)	1.33 (0.57-3.11)	0.51	Length of stay, per 1 day	3 (2-4)	1.02 (0.92-1.14)	0.66
	35 (35.0%)	0.61 (0.28-1.33)	0.21	Transfer to ICU during hospitalization	21 (21.0%)	2.62 (1.20-5.74)	0.0159
stent	2 (1-2)	1.19 (0.80-1.77)	0.39	Death from GIB	1 (1.0%)	NA	NA
tion, per 1 g/dL	12.70 (10.5-14.2)	0.88 (0.76-1.03)	0.11	Severe GIB	26/100 (26.0%)	2.01 (0.94-4.28)	0.0711
tion				P2Y12 Inhibitor Discontinued due to GIB	19/89 (21.3%)	0.59 (0.21-1.68)	0.32
	31 (31.0%)	1.30 (0.62-2.73)	0.48	Endpoint			
	12 (12.0%)	1.61 (0.61-4.21)	0.33	Major Adverse Cardiovascular Event (MACE)	32/100 (32.0%)	NA	NA
	2 (2.0%)	NA	NA	Median time to MACE, days	121.0 (25.8-233.8)	NA	NA
	42 (42.0%)	1.96 (0.96-4.00)	0.0652	Type of MACE	()	NA	NA
	27 (27.0%)	1.50 (0.53-4.24)	0.45	Acute Coronary Syndrome	2/32 (6.3%)	NA	NA
	14 (14.0%)	0.71 (0.25-2.02)	0.52	Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack	4/32 (12.5%)	NA	NA
				 Hospitalization for Heart Failure 	15 (46.9%)	NA	NA
	33 (33.0%)	1.24 (0.36-4.27)	0.74	 Need for revascularization 	11 (34.4%)	NA	NA
	6 (6.0%)	0.73 (0.17-3.19)	0.68			± 14 ±	. ,

Figure 1: Cumulative Incidence of MACE Following Coronary Stenting and Index GIB by ICU Admission



RESULTS



60

✤ A total of 32/100 patients (32.0%) had a MACE after their index GIB following coronary stenting at a median time to MACE of 121 days (IQR: 26-234).

✤ Of the 100 patients included, 89 (89.0%) were still on DAPT at the time of GIB. Of these 89 patients, 19 patients (21.3%) had their P2Y12 inhibitor discontinued at discharge from the hospital.

✤ Hospitalization for heart failure (15/32, 46.9%) was the most common MACE, followed by need for revascularization (11/32, 34.4%).

On univariable Cox Proportional Hazards Regression analysis, requiring ICU admission during the index GIB was the strongest predictor of MACE, HR: 2.62, p=0.0159. At p < 0.10, labile INR, age > 65 years, alcohol use, anticoagulation, having had a normal endoscopy at GIB, and a severe GIB were associated with a subsequent MACE. Table 1.

✤ Following the index GIB, 25% of patients who were not transferred to the ICU during GIB had a MACE by 411 days compared to 37 days if ICU admission was required. Half of patients requiring ICU admission at GIB had a MACE by 173 days. Figure 1. A similar pattern was seen by severity of GIB. Figure 2.

Figure 2: Cumulative Incidence of MACE Following Coronary Stenting and Index GIB by Severity of GIB

	Sever	re GIB — No	— Yes		
120	180 Time to MACE (da	240 ays)	300)	380
		44	42		
	46				37
57	46		6		37