INDIANA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

INTRODUCTION

Non-invasive Liver fibrosis evaluation in suspected NAFLD has been studied in obese adults, but few studies have addressed the utility of Transient and Shear-wave Elastography assessing early-stage fibrosis in pediatric population. We aimed to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis to compare Liver Elastography findings between obese and non-obese children.

MATERIALS and METHODS

- PubMed, MEDLINE (OVID), Cochrane Library, Embase, Scopus and Web of Science were searched to January 2022 to identify manuscripts that evaluated Liver steatosis/Liver fibrosis on Elastography (Transient or Shear-wave) in obese children compared to non-obese children.
- Meta-analyses were performed using a random-effect model with the inverse variance method. For continuous outcomes Mean Difference (MD) was used. Heterogeneity was assessed by the inconsistency index (I2).

Comparison of Liver Elastography Between Obese and Non-Obese Children: A **Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis**

Renato Beas¹, Diego Chambergo-Michilot², Eleazar Montalvan-Sanchez¹, Mirian Ramirez-Rojas¹, Adrian Riva-Moscoso³, Diego Izquierdo-Veraza¹, Rawan Aljaras¹ 1. Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA.

2. Escuela de Medicina, Universidad Científica del Sur, Perú

3. Escuela de Medicina, Universidad Peruana de Ciencias Aplicadas, Perú

RESULTS

- 3182 articles initially identified, and 5 studi were deemed eligible for inclusion wi perfect agreement between investigato (kappa=1.0).
- children and 528 non-obe • 296 obese controls were included in the studies (Tab Shear-way studies used 1). Three Elastography, four used Transie Elastography and one used both. ALT ar AST values were higher in the obese group.
- On the non-stratified meta-analysis obe children were found to have higher values Stiffness Measurement (LSI Liver measured in kilopascals (kPa) compared wi non-obese controls (MD: 1.80, 95% CI: 0.2 - 3.34)
- When stratifying this effect was only significant Transient when using Elastography (MD: 1.49, 95%: 0.16 - 2.82) (Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

• Obese children present higher values of Liver Stiffness compared to non-obese children, more studies are needed t further validate this non-invasive testin and used as a predictor for NAFLD early ages.

Study	Study Group Sample size		Age (year)	Female %	ALT	AST	Total Cholesterol	Triglyce
Saglam	Obese	41	11.4 (9.6-13.5)	46.3%	18 (15- 22)	21 (19- 23)	156 (142- 172.75) mg/dl	107.5 135.5)
Saglam (2021) Zeng Fansen (2020) Mărginean (2019)	Non- obese	25	11.7 (9-13.2)	52%	13 (10.1- 16.2)	23 (17.7- 25.3)	137.5 (128- 149.75) mg/dl	68 (59 98.25) i
Zeng Fansen	Obese	67	10.9 (6-17.4)	28.4%	6 (23- 101) 59 32 (21- 58)		4.7 (4.3-5.7) mmol/L	1.4 (0.9 mmo
(2020)	Non- obese	139	10.2 (5-17)	41.7%	19 (15- 25)	27 (16- 34)	3.1 (2.8-3.3) mmol/L	Triglyce 107.5 135.5) 68 (59 98.25) 1.4 (0.9 mme 1.1 (0.3 mme 0 N N N N N N N N
Mărginean	Obese	77	10.4 ± 3.4	33.7%	26.50 ± 43.1	27.33 ± 23.6	NA	N/
(2019)	Non- obese	210	11.3 ± 3.83	54.8%	13.64 ± 6.9	22.29 ± 10.9	NA	N/
Kwon	Obese	59	10.9 ± 2.4	38%	91.27 ± 97.7	57.00 ± 48.5	173.97 ± 37.23 mg/dl	N/
(2019)	Non- obese	47	10.1 ± 2.8	40%	16.28 ± 9.8	26.40 ± 11.8	146.65 ± 59.07 mg/dl	1.4 (0.9 mmo 1.1 (0.8 mmo NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
Cho Y	Obese	52	13.0 (3.5–17.6)	26.9%	75 ± 83	48 ± 45	NA	149 : mg/
(2015)	Non-	107	11.2 (1.3-17)	49.5%	17 ±	24 ± 7	NA	97 ±

Figure 1: Forest plot of of the effect in Liver stiffness Measurement (kPa)

2)	Study	Total	Mean	Obese SD	Total	Non Mean	-obese SD	Mean Difference	MD	95%-CI	Weight
	Elastography = Shear-v Saglam 2021	vave 41	12.93	6.1400	25	7.57	1.3300		• 5.36	[3.41; 7.31]	13.7%
	Mărginean 2019 Cho 2015	77 52	3.84 5.50	0.3500 2.3000	210 107	3.73 3.90	0.4800 0.9000		0.11	[0.01; 0.21] [0.95; 2.25]	17.5% 17.0%
	Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 96\%$, τ^2	170 2 = 6.95	526, p <	0.01	342				- 2.23	[-0.83; 5.29]	48.2%
of	Elastography = Transie	nt									
se	Fansen 2020 Kwon 2019	67 59	5.97 5.81	2.2700 1.9600	139 47	3.20 4.47	1.3400 0.9500		2.77 1.34	[2.18; 3.36] [0.77; 1.91]	17.1% 17.1%
to	Mărginean 2019 Random effects model	77 203	4.23	0.5300	210 396	3.80	0.4800	+	0.43 1.49	[0.29; 0.57] [0.16; 2.82]	17.5% 51.8%
ıg	Heterogeneity: $I^- = 97\%$, τ^-	= 1.33	318, p <	0.01							
at	Random effects model Heterogeneity: I ² = 96%, τ ²	373 2 = 3.48	844, p <	0.01	738				1.80	[0.27; 3.34]	100.0%
								-6 -4 -2 0 2 4 Non-obese Obese	6		