

# Lumen-Apposing Metal Stents for Pancreatic Fluid Collections Reduce 90-Day Readmission Rates



Jay Patel MD<sup>1</sup>, Rishabh Khatri MD<sup>1</sup>, Jay Kanaparthi MD<sup>1</sup>, Jun Song MD<sup>1</sup>, Stephen Heller MD<sup>2</sup>, Woo Jung J. Lee MD<sup>2</sup>, Frank Friedenberg MD MS<sup>2</sup>, Saraswathi Cappelle DO<sup>2</sup>

Temple University Hospital, Department of Medicine<sup>1</sup>, Section of Gastroenterology<sup>2</sup> | Lewis Katz School of Medicine at Temple University, Philadelphia, PA

### BACKGROUND

- Management of symptomatic pancreatic fluid collections (PFCs) can involve the use of lumenapposing metal stents (LAMS)
- LAMS appear to be superior compared to traditional plastic stents however require close follow up
- Numerous studies have shown the short-term benefits of LAMS, however there is a lack of data evaluating longer term outcomes

### AIMS

- To investigate 90-day readmission rates after LAMS placement over an 8-year period
- To examine the impact of cyst size and time to stent removal on the rates of complications

# **METHODS**

- We identified all patients ≥ 18 years who underwent deployment of a LAMS from 01/01/2014 to 06/01/2021 at our urban, safety net hospital
- We collected demographic data, cyst characteristics, and stent information
- Follow-up upper endoscopies and imaging were examined to determine stent removal date and assess for complications
- Hospital admission rates 90 days before and after stent placement were collected
- Admissions were stratified into gastrointestinalrelated or other

# RESULTS

### FIGURE 1 – Demographic Data

		Data (N=27)
	Mean Age	52.1 ± 11.0 years
Sex	Male	66.7%
Race	White	33.3%
	Non-white	66.7%
Alcohol Use		59.3%

## FIGURE 2 – Pancreatic Fluid Collections and Lumen-Apposing Metal Stents Data

		Data
		(N=27)
Type of Pancreatic	Pancreatic Pseudocysts (PP)	66.7%
Fluid Collections	Walled-Off Necrosis (WON)	22.2%
(PFCs)	Mixed Collections	11.1%
Median PFC Size		6.0 ± 1.4 cm
Lumen-Apposing	Removed	81.5%
Metal Stents	Indeterminate / Lost to	18.5%
(LAMS) Removal	Follow Up	
Median Time to LAMS Removal		37.5 ± 10.0 days
LAMS Complications 22		22.2%
Type of LAMS	Bleeding	7.4%
Complication	Stent Migration	7.4%
	Stent Occlusion	7.4%

# RESULTS

FIGURE 3 – Outcomes Pre and Post Stent Placement

	90 Days Pre-Stent	90 Days Post-Stent	p-value
	Placement	Placement	
Mean Number of Hospital	1.00 ± 0.20	0.48 ± 0.17	p<0.05
Admissions per Patient			
Mean Percent of Hospital	64.7%	22.2%	p<0.05
Admissions with GI Complaints			

### FIGURE 4 – Complications

	Patients with	Patients without	p-value
	Complications	Complications	
Median Time to	30.0 ± 27.0	39.0 ± 9.5	p=0.27
Removal (days)			
Median PFC Size	4.9 ± 2.3	6.1 ± 1.1	p=0.33
(cm)			

# CONCLUSIONS

- LAMS for the management of mature pancreatic fluid collections were effective at reducing 90-day readmission rates especially for GI-related complaints
- Although there was a delay in LAMS removal with a median of 38 days, it was not associated with higher complication rates
- Cyst size was not significantly related to complications
- Perhaps an extended use of LAMS beyond 4 weeks may prove to be safe and efficacious
- Larger studies are required to evaluate the longerterm outcomes of LAMS