

# Characteristics, treatment, and outcome of patients with bowel perforation after immune checkpoint inhibitor exposure

Pizuorno Machado, A.<sup>1</sup>, Shatila M.<sup>2</sup>, Lu, Y.<sup>3</sup>, Zhang, H.<sup>2</sup>, Mehmet Altan<sup>2</sup>, Isabella C. Glitza Oliva<sup>2</sup>, Dan Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Thomas, A. S. <sup>2</sup>, Wang, Y.<sup>2</sup>

. Department of Internal Medicine, The University of Texas Health Science Center; 2. Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, 3. Department of Radiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

# Introduction

- Exposure to immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) can predispose to immune-related adverse events (irAE) involving the gastrointestinal tract such as, colitis, diverticulitis etc.
- The association between ICI and bowel perforation has yet to be elucidated. We aim to describe the clinical course, complications, treatment and outcomes of patients with bowel perforation on ICI therapy.

### Methods

- A retrospective chart review was conducted on cancer patients who were exposed to ICIs between January 2010 and April 2021.
- Patients were divided into two groups: those with complicated (sepsis, fistula, abscess, expired on the same admission) and those with non complicated bowel perforation.
- Diagnosis of bowel perforation was made by abdominal imaging.
- Patient's clinical characters, treatment, and outcomes were compared between the two groups.

# Results

• Among 13,991 cancer patients with a exposure of ICI in the study window, 90 eligible patients were included in our final analysis.

- cancer therapies.

- for intervention.

### Conclusion

Bowel perforation can occur after ICI therapy at very low incidence (0.6%). Its clinical presentation is similar to traditional perforation; but associated with higher complication rates requiring surgical intervention and impairing lower overall survival.

Overall incidence of bowel perforation in our cohort was 0.6%; much lower than comparing other

From the 90 patients included in our study, a total of 46 patients had a complication derived from the perforation itself; mortality rate was 15.5%.

Onset of perforation typically occurred after a median of 4 ICI treatment cycles. The presentation was the typical manifestations of acute abdomen with abdominal pain in 95.5%.

It was found that 28 patients (31%) had evidence of inflammation in the GI tract such as enterocolitis, diverticulitis and appendicitis.

Colon was the most common place of perforation in at least 37.7%, other rare cases of perforation such as in the stomach were seen (figure). As for treatment, 95% of our sample received antibiotics and with 38% had surgery or interventional radiology procedure, most of the patients evaluated by surgery were not candidate

 
 Table.
 Baseline demographic
bowel perforation (n=90).

#### Characteristic

Median age at time of divertie Male sex, no. (%) Cancer types

Melanoma

Gastrointestinal

Time from ICI initiation to pe median (IQR), months

Overall duration of ICI treatm median (IQR), cycles

Concurrent GI conditions

Immune-related colitis

Diverticulitis

Appendicitis

Symptom: Abdominal pain

Complication of perforation,

Fistula

Abscess

Free air

Sepsis

Death during the same admis





Making Cancer History®

	No. of patients (%) or median (IQR)
culitis diagnosis, (IQR)	64 (54-70)
	49 (54.4)
	21 (23.3)
	15 (16.6)
rforation diagnosis,	3 (1-6)
ent before perforation,	4 (2-7)
	7 (7.7)
	??
	??
	86 (95.5)
no. (%)	
	3 (3.3)
	10 (11.1)
	15 (16.6)
	35 (38.8)
sion, no. (%)	14 (15.5)