



Comparison of the Effect of a Positive Stool DNA Test on **Mucosal Inspection Time to Average Risk Screening Colonoscopy**

Brendan Andres MD¹, Elise Le Cam MD¹, Malini Chauhan MD¹, Amar Vedamurthy MD², Avin Aggarwal MD² 1. University of Arizona Department of Internal Medicine, 2. University of Arizona Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology

Objective

 Evaluate mucosal inspection time in patients with positive MT-sDNA testing in comparison to averagerisk screening colonoscopy.

Background

- Longer withdrawal times correlate with increased rates of adenoma detection (ADR).
- Quality metrics focus on patients with polyps. Withdrawal time for procedures in which no polyps were detected represents the mucosal inspection time and can be a marker for high-quality colonoscopy.

Methods

- Study Design: retrospective chart review of patients at a single tertiary care center who underwent colonoscopy for the indication of positive MT-sDNA stool test-based screening or screening based on average risk
- Inclusion Criteria: patients without identification of a polyp during a complete colonoscopy
- **Exclusion Criteria:** patients with poor preparation
- <u>Data</u>: demographics, bowel preparation quality, time of day, day of the week, ASA grade, cecal intubation time, withdrawal time, complexity, fellow involvement
- <u>Analysis</u>: multivariate analysis

Results

• Patient Populations: Total n = 225, Positive MT-sDNA n = 58, Average Risk Screening n = 167
 Table 1: Baseline Characteristics

	Positive MT-sDNA	Average Risk Screening	p-value	
Age (Median, IQR)	66 (55 – 77)	59 (50 – 78)	< 0.04*	
Female (n, %)	36 (62)	93 (56)	0.39	
Race (n, %)				
- Caucasians	43 (74)	113 (68)		
- Hispanic	6 (10)	36 (22)	< 0.04*	
- Black	2 (3)	3(2)		
- Other	7 (13)	15 (8)		
BMI (Mean ± SD)	28 (± 5.3)	28 (± 6.4)	0.70	
Advanced to (n, %)				
- Cecum	40 (69)	109 (66)	0.65	
- Terminal lleum	18 (31)	57 (34)		
ASA Grade (n, %)				
- 1	8 (14)	50 (30)	$\cap \cap / *$	
- 2	36 (62)	90 (54)	0.04	
- 3	14 (24)	27 (16)		
Bowel Preparation (n, %)				
- Good	56 (97)	154 (92)	0.25	
- Fair	2 (3)	13 (8)		
Complexity (n, %)				
- Tortuous	5 (9)	3 (2)	< 0.04*	
- Redundant	2 (3)	3 (2)	0.46	
- Moderate or Severe Diverticulosis	5 (9)	14 (8)	0.95	
Fellow Involved (n, %)	6 (10)	20 (12)	0.74	
First Colonoscopy (n, %)	17 (29)	64 (38)	0.21	
No significant difference was noted between the				

No significant difference was noted between the two patient populations in time of day or day of the week.

Table 2: Unadjusted Outcomes

Cecal Intubation Tim

Withdrawal Time (N ± 95% CI) (Unadjusted

- the lack of polypectomies.
- cancer.

Results Continued

	Positive MT-sDNA	Average Risk Screening	p-value
e	7 (2.7 – 22)	5.2 (1.5 – 18.9)	< 0.04*
ean d)	11 (10 – 12)	9 (8 – 9)	< 0.04*

• In a multivariable analysis, after adjusting for age, ASA grade, time of day, and cecal intubation time, the withdrawal time in the Positive MT-sDNA group was 2.6 minutes longer than in the Average Risk Screening group (95% Cl 1.5 – 3.7, p < 0.04).

Discussion

Our study demonstrated withdrawal times were longer in patients with positive stool MT-sDNA testing compared to average risk screening despite

 Mucosal inspection time may serve as an additional marker for high-quality colonoscopy and could be translated into a quality metric for all screening colonoscopies irrespective of risk.

• Future quality improvement studies may consider incorporating the mucosal inspection time metric for patients without polyps who are at average or high risk with the aim of reducing interval colorectal