# Baylor Collegeof Medicine

A systematic review of the behavioral change determinants among patients with NAFLD using the theoretical domains framework

Megan Pham, BS<sup>1</sup>; Maya Balakrishnan, MD, MPH<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, School of Medicine <sup>2</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, Section of Gastroenterology & Hepatology

CONTACT Megan Pham

Baylor College of Medicine, School of Medicine Email: megan.pham@bcm.edu

- NAFLD.
- techniques.
- Domains Framework (TDF).

- Inclusion criteria:

  - Adults (age  $\geq$  18).
- Exclusion criteria:
  - Non-English papers.
  - Review articles.
  - determinants.
  - recent data.

Records identifie
Databases (n =
Records scre
(n = 13,54
Reports sought fo
(n = 91)
▼ ■
Reports assessed for
(n = 91)
New studies include
(n = 8)

### INTRODUCTION

Behavioral change, with the goal of clinically significant weight loss, is first-line treatment for patients with

The first step in developing interventions is to identify determinants of weight loss behaviors (physical activity and diet), which would serve as targets for change

This systematic review maps what has been published to date regarding the determinants of behavioral change in patients with NAFLD using the Theoretical

• TDF integrates behavioral change theories into 12 key domains that researchers can use to identify barriers & facilitators that may influence behaviors and should be addressed as part of behavioral intervention planning.<sup>1</sup>

### METHODS

Systematic search of original studies published in Medline, EMBASE, Cochrane, PsycINFO, and Web of Science from inception to May 6, 2021 (see Flowchart).

Original data reporting psychosocial determinants of weight loss behaviors in patients with NAFLD.

Cross sectional, cohort, or qualitative studies.

Research not addressing behavioral change

For studies with overlapping cohorts, we used most

Two independent reviewers screened titles/abstracts, reviewed full papers, and abstracted data.

We applied 11 relevant domains from TDF (see Table 2).

ied from:	<b></b>	Records removed before screening:				
17,884)		Duplicate records (n = 4,342)				
ened		Records excluded				
42)		(n = 13,451)				
or retrieval		Reports not retrieved				
		(n = 0)				
		Reports excluded:				
		Studies not addressing behavioral				
or eligibility		change (n = 65)				
)		Wrong study population (n = 11)				
		Wrong study design (n = 6)				
		Review paper (n = 1)				
ed in review						

Table 1. Key Characteristics of Included Studies.								
Origin	Study Design	Study Population	NAFLD Patients (n)	Mean Age (years)	Mean BMI (kg/m²)	Diabetes Prevalence	Target Behaviors Addressed	TDF Domain*
U.K.	Prospective cross-sectional	Hepatology clinic referral	230	58	34	Not reported	Physical activity	2, 3, 4
Italy	Prospective cross-sectional	Hepatology clinic referral	138	48	31	18%	Diet, physical activity	5
U.S.A.	Prospective cohort	Hepatology clinic referral	58	50	33.4	40%	Diet, physical activity	5, 9
Israel	Prospective cross-sectional	Clinical trial	146	48	32	9%	Diet, physical activity	1, 3, 11
U.K.	Qualitative	Hepatology clinic referral	12	59	Not reported	Not reported	Weight loss in general	1, 2, 8, 10
U.S.A.	Prospective cross-sectional	Hepatology clinic referral	87	52	35	40%	Physical activity	1, 4, 10, 11
India	Prospective cross-sectional	Hepatology clinic referral	264	53	28	16%	Diet, physical activity	1
Ireland	Prospective cross-sectional	Hepatology clinic referral	101	54**	Not reported	Not reported	Physical activity	1, 5, 10, 11
	Origin U.K. Italy U.S.A. Israel U.K. U.S.A.	OriginStudy DesignU.K.Prospective cross-sectionalItalyProspective cross-sectionalU.S.A.Prospective cohortIsraelProspective cross-sectionalU.K.QualitativeU.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalIndiaProspective cross-sectionalIndiaProspective cross-sectional	OriginStudy DesignStudy PopulationU.K.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referralItalyProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referralU.S.A.Prospective cohortHepatology clinic referralIsraelProspective cohortHepatology clinic referralU.K.QualitativeHepatology clinic referralU.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referralU.K.QualitativeHepatology clinic referralU.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referralIndiaProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referralIndiaProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referralIndiaProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referralIrelandProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral	OriginStudy DesignStudy PopulationNAFLD Patients (n)U.K.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral230ItalyProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral138U.S.A.Prospective cohortHepatology clinic referral58IsraelProspective cohortClinical trial clinic referral146U.K.QualitativeHepatology clinic referral12U.K.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral12IndiaProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral87IndiaProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral264IrelandProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral101	OriginStudy DesignStudy PopulationNAFLD Patients (n)Mean Age (years)U.K.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral23058ItalyProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral13848U.S.A.Prospective cohortHepatology clinic referral5850IsraelProspective cross-sectionalClinical trial clinic referral14648U.K.QualitativeHepatology clinic referral1259U.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral8752IndiaProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral26453IrelandProspective ross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral26454**	OriginStudy DesignStudy PopulationNAFLD PatientsMean Age (years)Mean BMI (kg/m²)U.K.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral2305834ItalyProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral1384831U.S.A.Prospective cohortHepatology clinic referral585033.4IsraelProspective cross-sectionalClinical trial clinic referral1464832U.K.Qualitative cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral1259Not reportedU.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral1259Not reportedU.K.Qualitative cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral875235IndiaProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral2645328IrelandProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral10154**Not reported	OriginStudy DesignStudy PopulationNAFLD PatientsMean Age (years)Mean BMI (kg/m2)Diabetes PrevalenceU.K.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral2305834Not reportedItalyProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral138483118%U.S.A.Prospective cohortHepatology clinic referral585033.440%IsraelProspective cross-sectionalClinical trial14648329%U.K.QualitativeHepatology clinic referral1259Not reportedNot reportedU.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral1259Not reported40%U.K.QualitativeHepatology clinic referral87523540%U.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral264532816%	OriginStudy DesignStudy PopulationNAFLD PatientsMean Age (years)Mean BMI (kg/m2)Diabetes PrevalenceTarget Behaviors AddressedU.K.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral2305834Not reported activityPhysical activityItalyProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral138483118%Diet, physical activityU.S.A.Prospective cohortHepatology clinic referral585033.440%Diet, physical activityU.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalClinical trial14648329%Diet, physical activityU.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalClinic referral clinic referral1259Not reportedNot reported activityWeight loss in general activityU.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral87523540%Diet, physical activityU.S.A.Prospective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral264532816%Diet, physical activityIndiaProspective cross-sectionalHepatology clinic referral264532816%Diet, physical activity

\*See Table 2 for TDF domain descriptions. \*\*Median age. Mean age not reported

### Table 2. Theoretical Domains Framework and Findings.

TDF Domain	Description	Findings				
1. Knowledge	General knowledge and perceptions	Most patients viewed NAFLD as having little to no consequences unclear implications and did not understand the cause of diseas				
2. Skills	Competency and ability to engage in behavioral change	A lack of skills required to engage in weight loss behaviors was re				
<ol> <li>Beliefs about</li> <li>capabilities (self- efficacy)</li> </ol>	Own perceived confidence to carry out behavioral change	Self-reported levels for physical activity were low among routin with NAFLD and somewhat higher among patients enrolled in c trials.				
4. Beliefs about consequences	Anticipated outcomes and attitudes surrounding behavioral change	Positive outcome expectations for exercise are high in NAFLD part though lower among those with a fear of falling.				
5. Motivation & goals	Readiness and reasoning for behavioral change	Most patients are in the contemplation stage of change with son are still pre-contemplative. Health and fitness were the stronges motivators for physical activity.				
6. Memory, attention, & decision processes	Anticipated ability to remember and devote attention to behavioral change	Not addressed by included studies.				
7. Environmental constraints	Physical or surrounding factors that may limit behavioral change	Not addressed by included studies.				
8. Social Influences	Social support or pressure that may affect behavioral change	A lack of support to make weight-related lifestyle changes was re				
9. Emotion	Personal emotional factors that may affect behavioral change	NAFLD patients had higher neuroticism and lower conscientious the general population (both are associated with lower levels of for behavioral change). 20% of NAFLD patients had symptoms of depression, 12% had anxiety, and 33% had other cognitive comp				
10. Behavioral regulation	Self-monitoring, goal setting, barriers, and facilitators to behavioral change	Prominent barriers to physical activity included pain and a lack o willpower, time, energy, cost, and skills (more so among women) want tools and resources to help monitor themselves.				
11. Nature of the behaviors	Automaticity of prior behaviors versus new behaviors	Most NAFLD patients did not meet recommended physical activi guidelines at baseline. Among patients enrolled in clinical trials, trying to make dietary changes.				

### RESULTS

- es or se.
- reported.
- ne patients clinical
- atients,
- ome who

- reported.
- isness than f readiness plaints
- n). Patients
- vity most were

## CONCLUSIONS

- Understanding behavioral determinants of change for diet and physical activity is key to developing weight loss interventions for NAFLD.
- Important themes to be addressed in developing interventions for behavioral change:
  - Poor knowledge of NAFLD.
  - Low self-efficacy for physical activity.
- The current research suggests further health education about NAFLD's causes and consequences may help treatment efforts.
- Patients need to be supported and taught specific skills to improve weight loss behaviors and to overcome perceived limitations to physical activity.
- More research is needed, particularly addressing outcome expectations, self-efficacy, and social influences of dietary behaviors.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Michie, S., Johnston, M., Abraham, C., et al. Making psychological theory useful for implementing evidence based practice: a consensus approach. Quality and Safety *in Health Care*, 2005;14:26–33.
- 2. Frith, J., Day, C. P., Robinson, L., et al. Potential strategies to improve uptake of exercise interventions in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Journal of Hepatology*, 2010;52:112–16.
- 3. Centis, E., Moscatiello, S., Bugianesi, et al. Stage of change and motivation to healthier lifestyle in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Journal of Hepatology, 2013; 58:771–77.
- 4. Stewart, K. E., Haller, D. L., Sargeant, C., et al. Readiness for behaviour change in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: implications for multidisciplinary care models. Liver International, 2014;35:936–43.
- 5. Zelber-Sagi, S., Bord, S., Dror-Lavi, G., et al. Role of illness perception and self-efficacy in lifestyle modification among non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2017;23:1881.
- 6. Hallsworth, K., Dombrowski, S. U., McPherson, S., et al. Using the theoretical domains framework to identify barriers and enabling factors to implementation of guidance for the diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a qualitative study. Translational Behavioral *Medicine*, 2019;10:1016–30.
- 7. Stine, J. G., Soriano, C., Schreibman, I., et al. Breaking Down Barriers to Physical Activity in Patients with Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Digestive Diseases and Sciences, 2020;66:3604–11.
- 8. Dhaliwal, H. S., Singh, R., Abraham, A. M., et al. Perception of Illness and Its Association with Treatment Willingness in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Digestive Diseases and Sciences, 2021;66:4197-4207.
- 9. O'Gorman, P., Monaghan, A., McGrath, M., et al. Determinants of Physical Activity Engagement in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: The Need for an Individualized Approach to Lifestyle Interventions. *Physical Therapy*, 2020;101.