Colonoscopy in Diverticulitis for Patients With Recent Screening Colonoscopy Justin Chuang MD¹ Jordan Burlen MD² Dipen Patel MD¹ Kishan Shrestha MD³ Ajit Ramadugu MD² Khushbu Patel MS¹ Charlotte Yuan MS¹ Naveena Luke MS¹ Roberta Redfern PHD¹ Amna Iqbal MD¹ Wasef Sayeh MD¹ Ali Nawras MD²

The University of Toledo College of Medicine and Life Sciences Department of Internal Medicine¹ The University of Toledo College of Medicine and Life Sciences Department of Gastroenterology² Nepal Medical College, Kathmandu, Nepal³

Introduction

Acute diverticulitis is inflammation due to microperforation of a diverticulum. Professional societies such as the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons and the American College of Gastroenterology recommend that patients undergo colonoscopy to exclude colon cancer after an episode of acute diverticulitis. The aim of our study is to determine if there is an increased detection rate of malignancy and adenomas in colonoscopy performed after diverticulitis, if the patient had received a screening colonoscopy within five years prior of diverticulitis diagnosis.

Methods

IRB approved retrospective chart review from within the last 10 years spanning 12/2009 to 12/2020 at a single center study (Promedica Toledo Hospital) with appropriate ICD 10 codes were analyzed. A total of 946 patients were evaluated and out of these patients, 124 fit our inclusion criteria.

Results

5.64% of patients were found to have Advanced Colonic Neoplasia (ACN). 0% were found to have Colorectal Cancer (CRC) on follow up. As a result, Advanced Adenoma (AA) was also found to be 5.64% in our single center study. Table 1 lists the clinical characteristics. For categorical data, Chi Square test was used to investigate differences in proportions, except where Fisher's Exact test was appropriate.

Variable Normal ≥20 Hyp Hyperpl Tubular 10mm Tubular mm Tubulov Grade D Serrated

Conclusion

Recent data for routine colonoscopy after acute uncomplicated diverticulitis showed a pooled prevalence of 5% for ACN, 1.5% for CRC, and 3.8% for AA. For patients at average risk for screening of colorectal cancer, a prevalence rate for CRC was found to be .20% and ACN was found to be 10.3%. Our study found 5.64% AA and 0% were found to have CRC on follow up. Patients who met our criteria did not have CRC detected upon follow up and had a lower detection rate of ACN compared to average risk population for normal screening of CRC. Though there was no detected CRC in our patient population, there was still notable detection of high risk polyps. This fact may be reason enough to continue colonoscopy after diverticulitis even in patients with screening colonoscopy within 5 years of their diverticulitis episode.

Variables	Value
Normal	61 (49.2%)
≥20 Hyperplastic Polyps	0 (0%)
Hyperplastic Polyp > 10 mm	11 (8.87%)
Tubular Adenoma or Sessile Serrated Polyps < 10mm	34 (27.4%)
Tubular Adenoma or Sessile Serrated Polyps > 10 mm	9 (7.26%)
Tubulovillous or Villous Adenoma and/or High Grade Dysplasia	1 (.81%)
Serrated Adenoma	0 (0%)
Sessile Serrated with Dysplasia	0 (0%)
>10 Adenomas	0 (0%)
Colorectal Cancer	0 (0%)
Other	22 (17.74%)

Total # of Patients (n= 124)

Other: Includes Diverticulosis, Benign Polyps, Hyperplastic Polyps < 10mm, Collagenous Colitis Table 1. Follow Up Colonoscopy Findings



COLLEGE OF MEDICINE AND LIFE SCIENCES

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO

ĺ