# RUTGERS New Jersey Medical School

## BACKGROUND

- Hyperuricemia is a prerequisite for the development of gout.
- Elevated serum uric acid (UA) levels result from either overproduction or decreased excretion.
- A correlation between serum UA levels and the incidence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has been established, but less clear is the relationship between liver disease and gout.
- We aim to explore a link between cirrhosis and gout, by examining rates of gout flares and its complications in those with cirrhosis and comparing these rates to patients without a documented history of cirrhosis.

### METHODS

- The National Inpatient Sample (NIS) was used to identify patients hospitalized with gout, via ICD-9 CM (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification) codes. Patients were stratified based on a history of cirrhosis.
- Primary outcomes: mortality, gout complications, joint interventions
- Statistical analysis: Chi-squared tests were used to analyze categorical data, and independent t-tests were used to analyze continuous data. Multiple logistic regression was used to control for confounders, including age, sex, race, alcohol use disorder, cardiac arrhythmias, COPD, heart failure, diabetes, HIV, HTN, PVD and renal failure.
- Statistical significance: *p*-value < 0.05

### **Outcomes of Gout in Patients with Cirrhosis, a NIS-Based** Study DO, Aaron Kahlam MD, Ali Tahir DO, Amjad Shaikh MD, Vivek Lingiah MD, Sushil Ahlawat MD Rutgers New Jersey Medical School, Newark, New Jersey, USA. RESULTS • Out of a total of 1,528,777 patients hospitalized with gout, Sex at birth Female 33. 36,948 had a diagnosis of cirrhosis. Patients without cirrhosis were older (70.37±13.53 years Male versus 66.21±12.325 years; *p*<0.05). Mortality Most patients were male (74.63% in the cirrhosis group Gout Flare versus 66.83%; adjusted *p*<0.05). • Patients with cirrhosis had greater rates of mortality Uric Acid (5.49% versus 2.03%; adjusted *p*<0.05), gout flare (2.89%) Nephrolithiasis Nephropathy versus 2.77%; adjusted *p*<0.05) and tophi (0.97% versus Arthrocentesis 2.4 0.75%; adjusted *p*=0.677). Joint Injection Patients without cirrhosis had higher rates of Septic Arthritis 0.3 arthrocentesis (2.45% versus 2.21%; adjusted *p*<0.05) and joint injections (0.72% versus 0.52%; adjusted p<0.05). Age at admission 70.

- statistical significance.
- higher risk for gout.
- patients with the other twp subtypes of cirrhosis.

### CONCLUSIONS

• Patients with cirrhosis had higher rates of gout-related complications, including rates of gout flare and tophi formation. However, only rates of gout flare were statistically significant after adjustment for confounding variables. • These differences could be due to increased risk for hyperuricemia in patients with cirrhosis. • Patients with cirrhosis had lower rates of invasive gout-related procedures, including arthrocentesis and joint injection, all with

Lower rates of invasive procedures could be due to clinicial hesitancy with performing them due to the known predilection for bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. This could hamper timely diagnosis and management of gout, and could result in prolonged hospital stays. • A significant study limitation is the fact that patients with alcoholic cirrhosis and NAFLD already have risk factors for hyperuricemia, including alcohol use and metabolic syndrome respectively. Therefore, their risk factors for liver disease could also place them at

• Future studies can involve stratifying patients based on cirrhosis subtype, including alcoholic, non-alcoholic, and viral cirrhosis. This would enable further characterization of specifically the relationship between liver disease and hyperuricemia/gout by isolating rates of gout complications in patients with viral cirrhosis, as they would not inherently have the predilection to develop hyperuricemia as in



n-Cirrhotics			Cirrhotics		OR		<i>p-</i> value	AOR	ACI	Adjusted <i>p</i> -
centage	n		Percenta ge	' n						value
17	494,890		25.37	9,372	0.685	0.669- 0.701	<0.05	0.979	0.953-	0.121
83	996,939		74.63	27,576						
3	30,286		5.49	2,029	2.804	2.678- 2.937	<0.05	3.092	2.939- 3.252	<0.05
7	41,282		2.89	1,066	1.044	0.982- 1.11	0.171	0.816	0.765- 0.871	<0.05
5	11,202		0.97	358	1.293	1.164- 1.438	<0.05	1.025	0.914- 1.149	0.677
2	374		0.02	9	0.972	0.502- 1.882	0.932	1.037	0.53-2.03	0.915
2	283		0.01	5	0.713	0.295- 1.727	0.452	0.548	0.223- 1.346	0.19
5	36,611		2.21	818	0.9	0.839- 0.965	<0.05	0.741	0.686-0.8	<0.05
2	10,673		0.52	192	0.725	0.628- 0.837	<0.05	0.713	0.61- 0.833	<0.05
1	4,637		0.31	114	0.993	0.824- 1.196	0.939	0.997	0.821- 1.211	0.977
an	SD	SE Mea n	Mean	SD SE Mean	Mean difference	CI	<i>p</i> -value			
37	13 .5 3	0.01	66.21	12 0.064 .3 25	4.167±0.071	4.027- 4.306	<0.05			