#1267714



Investigating Transmission Dynamics Between Pets and Caretakers in Households of Children with Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Skin and Soft Tissue Infections

Background

- Staphylococcus aureus is the most common cause of skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI) in children.
- An estimated 20-80% of people are colonized with S. aureus, which is a risk factor for subsequent SSTI.¹
- More than half of people with an SSTI will have a recurrent infection.²
- Decolonization measures are often prescribed to prevent recurrent SSTI.
- Pets participate in household *S. aureus* transmission dynamics.³
- Humans more commonly transmit *S. aureus* to pets.
- Once colonized, pets may serve as reservoirs for transmission or reacquisition.
- In a multi-household study, 26 of 130 pets carried S. aureus.⁴
- 61% of pets carrying S. aureus were colonized with strains concordant with strains recovered from their owners.

Research Question

Does decolonization of human household members or the environment impact pet S. aureus carriage?



Methods

Participants

- The SHINE Trial (NCT02572791) enrolled 196 households of children with community-associated S. aureus SSTI.
- We enrolled 161 pets from 95 households.
- Dyads included a pet and their human caretaker(s) (the individual(s) responsible for pet feeding, exercising, and waste cleanup).

Study design

- Study visits were conducted in participants' homes.
- Households were randomized to a 3-month intervention.
- Environmental Cleaning Only: Weekly household surface cleaning and linen laundering
- Human Decolonization: Twice weekly chlorhexidine body washes and application of intranasal mupirocin application for 5 consecutive days each month performed by all human household members
- Culture swab samples (Eswab) were collected up to 5 times over 9 months.
- Enrollment (prior to performing the intervention)
- Months 1 and 3 (during the intervention)
- Months 6 and 9 (following the intervention)

Sampling sites to detect S. aureus colonization

- Indoor dogs and cats: mouth and dorsal fur
- Human caretakers: nares, axillae, and inguinal folds

Statistical methods

- Management and analyses conducted in R version 4.1.1.
- Data were analyzed in three phases: (1) univariate analyses, (2) bivariate analyses (Chisquare and T-test), and (3) logistic regression.

Hannah Kinzer, MPH; Sara Malone, PhD, LCSW; Mary G. Boyle, RN, MSN; Lauren Norton, MPH; Rinat Tal, BA; Hunter Olson, BS; Stephanie A. Fritz, MD, MSCI

Departments of Pediatrics and Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO



Table 1. Pet and Human Characteristics by Randomization Group			Figure 2: Dyad Colonization Over Study Period		
Characteristics at Enrollment	Human Decolonization Group N=46 (%)	Environmental Cleaning Only Group N=115 (%)	Pet - Human - Pet + Human - Pet	- Human + 🔸	Pet + Human + 🖍
Type of pet Dog Cat Pet colonized with <i>S. aureus</i> MRSA	40 (87%) 6 (13%) 10 (22%) 5 (11%)	81 (70%) 34 (30%) 17 (15%) 8 (7%)	120 \$100 80 60 40		
MSSA Pet colonization site Both mouth and dorsal fur Mouth only Dorsal fur only >1 caregiver colonized with <i>S</i> aureus	5 (11%) 3 (7%) 9 (20%) 4 (9%) 25 (54%)	9 (8%) 7 (6%) 10 (9%) 14 (12%) 54 (47%)	20 0 Enrollment Month 1 Month 3 Table 2. Factors Associated with	Month 6 Pet Coloni	Month 9
MRSA MSSA	23 (34 %) 10 (22%) 15 (33%)	34 (47 %) 22 (19%) 37 (32%)	3-Month Sampling, Multiva Characteristic	ariable Mo aOR	del 95% Cl
Pet sleeps with a household member Pet has a skin condition Pet boarded in the prior 2 weeks Number of household members, median (range)	21 (46%) 10 (22%) 2 (4%) 4 (3-7)	49 (43%) 6 (5%) 1 (1%) 4 (3-7)	Caretaker colonized with <i>S. aureus</i> at enrollment Pet colonized with <i>S. aureus</i> at enrollment Pet is a cat (ref. dog)	1.8 7.4 0.8	0.5 - 6.8 2.2 - 26.0 0.2 - 3.5
Figure 1: Pet and Caretaker Colo	onization Over S	Study Period	Pet sleeps with the caretaker Number of household members Randomization to Human Decolonization	2.0 1.0	0.6 – 7.1 0.5 – 1.9
25 Pet Colonia 20 p=0.002	zation A Human	n Decolonization	(ref. Environmental Cleaning Only) 0.5 0.1 – 1.7 Conclusions		
15 10 5 0			 After controlling for other variables, pet carriage at pet carriage at the 3-month sampling. Pet colonization followed a similar pattern over timindicating that decreased caretaker colonization colonization. 	t enrollment wa ne as caretaker oincided with lo	as associated with colonization, ower pet
Enrollment Month 1 Mon 60 50	th 3 Month 6 Ionization	Month 9	 Lower pet and caretaker colonization was demonstrated during the intervention but was not sustained following completion of the intervention. Future studies could include decolonization of pets along with human household members and longer follow-up periods. 		
40 30 20 10			References 1. Brown, AF, et al. Front Immunol 2014; 4:507. 3. Mork RL, et al. Lancet ID 2020; 20:188-198 2. Fritz SA, et al. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 54:743–751 3. Mork RL, et al. Lancet ID 2020; 20:188-198 4. Hogan PG, et al. J Infect 2019; 78:200-207		
0 Enrollment Month 1 Mon	nth 3 Month 6	Month 9	Funding for this project was provided by the Children's Discovery Institute of Washing grant R01-HS024269 from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The connot necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the A	gton University and St. I tent is solely the respor Agency for Healthcare R	ouis Children's Hospital and asibility of the authors and does esearch and Quality.



Results



