UWMedicine



Kate Bialick, PharmD¹; Teresa Leu, PharmD, BCPS¹; Catherine Liu, MD^{2,3}; Frank P. Tverdek, PharmD, BCPS AQ-ID^{1,2} ¹Department of Pharmacy, University of Washington Medical Center – Montlake Campus, Seattle, WA; ²Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle WA; ³Division of Allergy & Infectious Diseases, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Background

- Amphotericin B is often used as an alternative agent to first-line therapy due to its adverse effe including potential for nephrotoxicity.
- Several studies have identified nephrotoxicity ris factors and mitigation strategies relating to liposomal amphotericin B therapy.
- At the University of Washington Medical Center (UWMC) Montlake Campus and Fred Hutchinsor Cancer Care (FHCC), IV hydration is recommended mitigate nephrotoxicity secondary to liposomal amphotericin B.

Objectives

To characterize incidence and risk factors for nephrotoxicity secondary to liposomal amphotericir

Methods

Study Design

- Single center retrospective chart review
- October 1, 2020 through January 1, 2022

Inclusion Criteria

- ≥18 years-old
- Received ≥ 1 dose of L-AMB inpatient
- History of hematological malignancy or transplar
- **Exclusion Criteria**
- Non-IV administration
- Insufficient data to determine renal function **Statistical Analyses**
- Descriptive statistics to characterize baseline characteristics

 Univariate associations using Student t-test and squared for continuous and dichotomous data, respectively

Characterizing Modifiable Risk Factors for Liposomal Amphotericin B Nephrotoxicity

					Results				
Ś	Baseline Chara	Potential Risk		N=77	Primary Outcomes:				
ffects	Demographics	N (%)	Factors n (%)			Nephrotoxicity* Ou	tcomes	n (%)	
	Age, year, <i>mean</i> ± SD	52.6 ± 15.6	L-AMB Do ± SD	se, mg, <i>mean</i>	378 ± 119 4.9 ± 0.7	Onset, days, <i>median (IQR)</i>		3 (3-6)	
risk er on ided to al	Female	27 (35.1%)	L-AMB Do mean ± SD	se, mg/kg,		Incidence		26 (33.8%)	
	BMI, kg/m², <i>mean</i> ± SD	26.3 ± 6.6	L-AMB Du	ration, days,	8.2 ± 7.5	New renal replacemer	nt therapy	9 (11.79	%)
	≥1 Chronic	38 (49.4%)	<i>mean</i> ± <i>SD</i> ≥1 Concor			ICU level of care required		32 (41.6%)	
	Comorbidity Malignancy Type		Nephrotoxin		67 (87%)	Mortality during treatment		11 (14.3%)	
	Hematological	41 (53.2%)	Concomita Nephrotox		2 ± 1.1	Secondary Outcomes:		*based on KDIGO criteria	
	HCT Solid Organ	14 (18.2%) 5 (6.5%)	Pre-IV Hyc Post-IV Hyc	aration	68 (88.3%) 53 (68.8%)	Nephrotoxicity Risk Factors <i>mean</i> ± <i>SD</i>	No Nephrotoxicity (n=51)	Nephrotoxicity (n=26)	P- value
	Transplant				55 (00.070)	Age, year	55.4 ± 16.3	47.3 ± 12.7	0.03
cin B	Of 100 patients reviewed, 77 were included in the analysis19 excluded for non-IV administration					BMI, kg/m ² $PMI > 20 kg/m^2 m (06)$	25.6 ± 5.8	27.8 ± 7.9	0.16
	 4 for insufficient da 				BMI ≥30 kg/m ² , n (%) L-AMG Dose, mg	10 (19.6%) 359.7 ± 93	6 (23.1%) 414.6 ± 154	0.13	
	Concomitant Nephrotoxins	Usage Freque Patien			Jency of icity with Use	L-AMG Dose, mg/kg	4.86 ± 0.7	4.96 ± 0.8	0.00
	ACE inhibitors	1 (1.3%			100%)	L-AMB Duration, days		10.2 ± 9.1	0.03
	Acyclovir (≥10 mg/kg)	19 (24.7	19 (24.7%)		86.8%)	≥1 Concomitant Nephrotoxin, <i>n (%)</i>	44 (84.6%)	23 (88.5%)	0.79
	Aminoglycosides	2 (2.6%	2 (2.6%)		(0%)	IV Hydration, <i>n</i> (%)	46 (90.2%)	22 (84.6%)	0.47
ant	Calcineurin inhibitors	11 (14.3%)		4 (36.4%)				d, p<0.05 statistically s	ignificant
ant d Chi-	Chemotherapy	4 (5.2%)		1 (25%)		Conclusions			
	Foscarnet	1 (1.3%)		0 (0%)		 L-AMB nephrotoxicity occurred in 1/3 of patients despite high utilization of pre and post hydration 			
	Ganciclovir	4 (5.2%)		2 (50%)					
	Loop diuretics	33 (42.9%)		11 (33.3%)		 Nephrotoxicity was associated with younger age and longer durations of therapy 			
	NSAIDs	1 (1.3%)		1 (100%)					
	Piperacillin- tazobactam	9 (11.79	9 (11.7%)		56.7%)	 Despite an early onset of nephrotoxicity, therapy was often continued with ~12% of patients ultimately requiring renal 			
	Vancomycin	42 (54.5%)		14 (33.3%)		replacement therapy			





Contact: Tverdek@uw.edu