1148: Relationship Between the Duration of Symptoms Before and COVID-19 Disease Outcome After Monoclonal Antibody Therapy

Michael Hubbard DO^{1,5}, James Polega MD^{2,5}, Diana Haggerty PhD³, Nicholas Andersen PhD³, Gordana Simeunovic MD^{2,4,5}

- 1. Spectrum Health/Michigan State University Internal Medicine Residency, Grand Rapids, MI
- 2. Spectrum Health/Michigan State University Infectious Disease Fellowship, Grand Rapids, MI
- 3. Office of Research and Education, Spectrum Health, Grand Rapids, MI
- 4. Department of Infectious Disease, Spectrum Health, Grand Rapids, MI
- 5. College of Human Medicine/Michigan State University, Grand Rapids, MI

INTRODUCTION

- In fall 2020, The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) granted an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for 3 different monoclonal antibodies (MAB) for treatment of mild-tomoderate COVID-19 infection based on the results from 3 different clinical trials that confirmed that MAB reduce COVID-19 disease progression and all cause mortality if given within 7 days of symptom onset.
- Despite the initial trials evaluating patients' outcomes within 7 days of symptom onset, the EUA allowed for MAB administration within 10 days of symptom onset.
- Efficiency of MAB in patients treated between days 8 and 10 from the symptom onset has not been studied.

OBJECTIVES

• To compare outcomes between individuals who were treated within 1-7 days of COVID-19 symptom onset and those who were treated between days 8-10 of symptom onset.

METHODS

- Retrospective chart review of patients treated with MAB at a single quaternary care center in West Michigan between March 1, 2021, and November 30, 2021.
- Groups:
 - Typical: duration of symptoms 0-7 days prior to infusion
 - Late: duration of symptoms 8-10 days prior to infusion
- Outcomes:
 - Number of Emergency Department (ED) visits within 14 days,
 - Number of hospitalizations within 14 days,
 - Progression to severe disease defined as intensive care unit (ICU) admission within 14 days or death within 30 days.
- All COVID positive patients with complete documentation who were at least 18 years old at the time of treatment and who met EUA criteria for MAB treatment were included in the study
- Collected data included basic demographics, comorbidities, timing and location of MAB administration, vaccination status, number of ED visits, hospitalizations and ICU admissions within 14 days, and number of deaths within 30 days from MAB infusion
- Statistical analysis: Data collected from typical and late treatment group were used to create models of adjusted relative risk for ED visits and hospitalizations 14 days after MAB therapy. Outcomes by adjusted relative risk were assessed with a confidence interval of 95% and a statistical significance at p < 0.05.



Figure 1 (left): The Subject Flow Diagram (n= number of patients; Typical= patients treated within 7 days from the symptom onset; Late = patients treated 8-10 days from the symptom onset)

RESULTS

Demographics n=3898			Number of events					
Vaccination Status (none or partial =	Typical group	Late Group	Outcomes	s		ED VISITS RR (CI) HOSPITALIZATION RR (CI)		
unvaccinated)	n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	Model 1	1.29 (1.01;1.65)	1.35 (0.96;1.92 <mark>)</mark>	
Unvaccinated	1540 (50.1)	469 (56.9)	Deaths	12 (0.31%)				
Vaccinated	1534 (49.9)	355 (43.1)	Sovere Disease (ICLL admission and	25(0.64%)	Model 2	1.34 (1.05;1.71)	1.66 (1.18; 2.35)	
Insurance Status				23 (0.04 /0)	Model 3	$1.35(1.06 \cdot 1.73)$	1.66(1.18.2.34)	
Medicaid	242 (7.9)	59 (7.2)	deaths)			1.00 (1.00, 1.70)	1.00 (1.10, 2.04)	
Medicare	896 (29.1)	219 (26.6)	Hospital Admissions	154 (3.95%)	Model 4	1.35 (1.06;1.73)	1.72 (1.22;2.42)	
Commercial	1720 (56.0)	489 (59.3)						
Self-pay/Other/ Unknown	216 (7.0)	57 (6.9)	ED Visits	296 (7.59%)	Table 4: Outcomes by Adjusted Relative Risk, 95% CI. Adjusted relative risk is higher in all models for ED visit			
Infusion Location					and hospital admiss	sions in individuals who receive	red MAB after the day 7 of the symptom onset.	
Infusion Clinic	2230 (72.5)	646 (78.4)	Table 3: Outcomes Following MAB Therapy. Overall Mo	Table 1 Legend: Polative rick				
Emergency Department	624 (20.3)	117 (14.2)			• Model 1 – Unadi	iustod		
Mobile Unit/ In home	220 (7.2)	61 (7.4)	Comparison of Outcomes base	 Model 2 – Adjusted for demographics, infusion location and vaccination status (table 1) 				
Patient Sex			10.00%		 Model 2 – Table 1 	1 Variables + Comorbidity Co	Int (table 2)	
Female	1741 (56.6)	465 (56.4)	9.00%	9.22%	• Model 4 – Table	, 1 Variables + Comorbidities (table 2)	
Male	1333 (43.4)	359 (43.6)				·		
Patient Race			8.00%					
Non-Hispanic White	2786 (90.6)	739 (89.7)	7.16%			DISC	USSION	
Black or African American	88 (2.9)	23 (2.8)	1.0070					
Hispanic/Latin	75 (2.4)	24 (2.9)	6.00%		 Overall morbidit 	y and mortality in both gr	oups were low and consistent with clinical trials data.	
Other	54 (1.8)	20 (2.4)	F 0.0%	4.98%				
Unknown/Missing	71 (2.3)	18 (2.2)	5.00%		 There was signifi 	icant difference in the free	uency of ED visits (absolute risk reduction 2.1%, p =	
Patient Ethnicity			4.00% 3.68%		0.04) and margir	nally significant difference	in the frequency of hospitalizations (absolute risk	
Non-Hispanic/Latin	2880 (93.7)	763 (92.6)			reduction 1.3%,	p=0.08) both in favor of p	atients treated within 7 days of the symptom onset.	
Hispanic/Latin	99 (3.2)	33 (4.0)	3.00%			. ,		
Unknown	95 (3.1)	28 (3.4)	2.00%		 The absolute risk 	k of progression to the sev	ere outcome was low in both groups, and there was	
Patient Age					no significant dif	fference between the grou	IDS.	
Mean age by group and standard deviation	54.8 ± 15.9	55.2 ± 16.5	1.00% 0.65%	0.61%				
Four or more	458 (14.9)	103 (12.5)	0.00%		• Our adjusted ma	dale domonstrated the in	crossed rick for ED visits and bospitalizations in	

Table 1: Demographics by Typical vs. Late group. Non-Hispanic Caucasians made up the most in both groups. Patients in the late group were more likely to be unvaccinated (56.9 vs 50.1%), and to be treated in the infusion clinic (78.4 vs 72.5%), compared with typical group who were treated more frequently in the ED (20.3 vs 14.2%).

Comorbidities n=3898							
	Typical group, n (%)	Late group, n (%)					
Conditions Present							
BMI	2257 (73.4)	630 (76.5)					
Hypertension	1022 (33.2)	280 (34.0)					
Smoker	871 (28.3)	226 (27.4)					
Lung Disease	596 (19.4)	142 (17.2)					
Cardiovascular Disease	488 (15.9)	135 (16.4)					
Diabetes	435 (14.2)	111 (13.5)					
Cancer	251 (8.2)	67 (8.1)					
Immunosuppression	227 (7.4)	34 (4.1)					
Chronic Kidney Disease	149 (4.8)	40 (4.9)					
Neurological condition	123 (4.0)	23 (2.8)					
Pregnancy	38 (1.2)	6 (0.7)					
Transplant Patient	32 (1.0)	4 (0.5)					
Liver Disease	29 (0.9)	3 (0.4)					
Neurodevelopmental Disorder	24 (0.8)	2 (0.2)					
Technologically Dependent	12 (0.4)	5 (0.6)					
Sickle Cell or Thalassemia	2 (0.1)	2 (0.2)					
Count of Comorbidities							
Zero or one	1179 (38.4)	321 (39.0)					
Two	892 (29.0)	243 (29.5)					
Three	545 (17.7)	157 (19.1)					
Four or more	458 (14.9)	103 (12.5)					

Table 2: Comorbidities by Typical vs. Late group. Distribution was similar except obesity was more frequent in the late group (76.5 vs 73.4%) and immunosuppression was more common in the typical group (7.4 vs 4.1%).







RESULTS (continued)



Figure 2: MAB therapy outcomes by Typical vs. Late group. Patients from the late group had more ER visits, hospitalizations and ICU admissions/ deaths comparing with vaccinated.



Figure 3: Outcomes by Adjusted Relative Risk, 95% CI. Adjusted relative risk is higher in all models for ED visits and hospital admissions in individuals who received MAB after the day 7 of the symptom onset.

Figure 3/ Table 3 Legend: Relative risk

- Model 1 Unadjusted
- Model 2 Adjusted for demographics, infusion location and vaccination status (table 1)
- Model 3 Table 1 Variables + Comorbidity Count (table 2)
- Model 4 Table 1 Variables + Comorbidities (table 2)





College of Human Medicine MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY



RESULTS (continued)

- Our adjusted models demonstrated the increased risk for ED visits and hospitalizations in patients treated later in the course of illness, particularly in those with multiple comorbidities.
- Typical group was more likely to be treated in the ER, suggesting that these patients might had been sicker at the time of treatment and thus at higher risk for poor outcome, but also, they were more likely to be vaccinated, which might had provided additional protection and affected MAB treatment outcomes.
- Study limitations include retrospective nature, data collection from a single site, limited comorbidity data to conditions determined by the EUA guidelines, limited data to the certain strains of COVID-19 (prior to the omicron occurrence) and lack of data on clinical presentation

CONCLUSION

- Patients who received monoclonal antibody therapy within 7 days of symptom onset utilized fewer hospital and emergency department resources than those who received monoclonal antibody therapy between 8-10 days after the onset of symptoms.
- Our findings are of particular importance when resources are scarce. Patients within the 7-day window can be prioritized when access to MAB is limited as they will receive a greater benefit

REFERENCE

- Weinreich DM, et al. REGEN-COV Antibody Combination and Outcomes in Outpatients with COVID-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Dec 2;385(23):e81 doi: 10./1056/NEJMog2108163. Epub 2021 Sep 29: PMID: 34587383; PMCID: PMC8522800.
- Dougan M, et al. Bamlanivimab plus Etesevimab in Mild or Moderate Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Oct 7; 385(15):1382-1392. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2102685. Epub 2021 Jul 14. PMID: 34260849; PMCID: PMC8314785.
- Gupta A, et al. Early Treatment for Covid-19 with SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody Sotrovimab. N Engl J Med. 2021 Nov 18;385(21):1941-1950. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2107934. Epub 2021 Oct 27. PMID: 34706189.
- 4. Mader AL, et al. Omicron's binding to sotrovimab, casirivimab, imdevimab CR3022, and sera from previously infected or vaccinated individuals. IScience. 2022 APR 15;25(4):104076. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.104076. Epub 2022 Mar 14. PMID: 35309727; PMCID: PMC 8920075