Baylor Collegeof Medicine



Children's Hospital of Philadelphia[®] CARE NETWORK

Baylor College of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics¹, Division of Infectious Diseases², Division of Immunology, Allergy, and Retrovirology³; Stanford University,, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases⁴, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics⁵, **Division of Infectious Diseases**⁶

BACKGROUND

- 5.4 % of children are labeled as penicillin allergic in the community¹
- >90 % of children do NOT have a type 1 hypersensitivity reaction²
- Children receive penicillin allergy labels (PALs) at a young age¹
- PALs influence antibiotic prescribing and are associated with poor clinical outcomes³
- Questions: Who places PALs? Do allergy tabs provide accurate risk classifications of allergy? Where are children with suspected adverse drug events managed? How are PALs managed in the community?

OBJECTIVE

- Determine the quality of penicillin allergy labels among children in a pediatric birth cohort
- Describe how pediatric penicillin allergy labels are managed in the community

METHODS

Study Population

- Birth Cohort of 334,465 children: 1st 2 weeks, 2nd apt in first year, meet censor criteria
- 18,015 with PALs during 10-year study period
 - 500 randomly-selected children; retrospective chart review of PALs and notes

Table 1. Penicillin Allergy Risk Classification Definitions.

<u> </u>			
Risk Classification	Definition		
Not Allergy	Family history, isolated diarrhea, patient has to		
Low Risk	Maculopapular rash >24 hrs into course, no hives/		
Moderate or High Risk	Hives, maculopapular rash <24 hrs into cours anaphylaxis or angioedema, wheezing or othe symptoms		
Severe Risk*	Severe cutaneous reactions (erythema multifo sickness)		
Unable to Classify	Unspecified rash characteristics or timing, no do noted		
Cotoponio al deterra			

• Categorical data reported as frequencies, continuous variables as median (IQR)

Kappa statistic measure of agreement

The Quality & Management of Penicillin Allergy Labels in a Pediatric Birth Cohort

Gerber MD PhD^{5,6}, Debra Palazzi MD, MEd^{1,2}

RESULTS Table 2. Basic Demographics of Children with PAL PAL Sex-Female 83 Race & Ethnicity Hispanic Non-Hispanic Asian Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other or Missing **Chronic Condition-Yes** Organization Texas Children's Pediatrics Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Median Age at Censor Date, years (IQR) Median Age at PAL Placement Figure 1. Credentials of Providers Placing PALs. MD or DO **MA** RN APP Missing Other olerated drug Figure 2. Reactions Listed in PAL* /pruritis/swelling Rash se, pruritis, Hives r respiratory Not Listed orme, serum Nausea/Vomiting Diarrhea ocumentation Anaphylaxis or Shortness of Breath Other

Margaret Taylor MD^{1,2}, Torsten Joerger MD MSCE⁴, Sara Anvari MD MSc^{1,3}, Yun Li PhD^{5,}, Jeffrey

in Birth Cohort and Sub-Population.			
in Birth Cohort	Retrospectively Reviewed		
n = 18 015	n = 500		
331 (46.2 %)	231 (46.2 %)		
234 (18.0 %)	90 (18.0 %)		
009 (5.6 %)	26 (5.2 %)		
651 (9.2 %)	49 (9.8 %)		
689 (59.3 %)	300 (60.0 %)		
432 (7.9 %)	35 (7.0 %)		
319 (7.3 %)	32 (6.4 %)		
434 (57.9 %)	250 (50 %)		
581 (42.1 %)	250 (50 %)		
.7 (3.5, 8.1)	6.0 (3.5, 8.1)		
.3 (0.9, 2.3)	1.4 (0.9, 2.2)		





Classifi Cutar tio Moder Not Al Unab Clas

Kappa statistic = 0.36 (+/-0.02)

Referred to Seen by Alle

De-Labeled

No Eval **Re-Labeled Receive Pen**

• PALs are often ambiguous and do not agree with prior documentation • Children are rarely referred to an allergist or de-labeled in the community • Future work: improve PAL documentation, increase access to allergy care

- 2017:140(2):e20170471
- 2019;7(3):975-982.

Severity or reaction type mentioned in 14 % PALs.



RESULTS (CONTINUED)

Table 3. Agreement of Risk Classification Between PAL & Notes

	Rick Classification by Notes*					
sk ication	Severe Cutaneous	Moderate or High	Low	Not Allergy	Unable to Classify	Total n (%)
ere eous	17	1	0	0	2	20 (4.0 %)
ate or gh	7	131	20	7	23	188 37.6 %)
W	0	1	11	1	0	13 (2.6 %)
lergy	0	0	1	23	5	29 (5.8 %)
le to sify	5	44	126	14	61	250 (50.0 %)
al %)	29 (5.8 %)	177 (35.4 %)	158 (31.6 %)	45 (9.0 %)	91 (18.2 %)	500

Table 4. Management of 500 Children with Penicillin Allergy Labels

	n (%)
Allergist for Penicillin Allergy Evaluation	84 (16.8 %)
ergist	54 (10.8 %)
Penicillin Skin Test Completed and Passed	27/27 (100 %)
Oral Challenge Passed	25/25 (100 %)
Delayed Reaction to Oral Challenge	1/25 (4.0 %)
	69 (13.8 %)
After Evaluation by Allergist	26/54 (48.1 %)
luation by Allergist (De-Labeled In Community)	43/446 (9.6 %)
	2/69 (2.9 %)
nicillin After De-Labeling	49/69 (71.0 %)
Tolerated Penicillin After De-Labeled	46/49 (93.9 %)

CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

Taylor M, Joerger T, et al. Factors Associated with Penicillin Allergy Labels in Electronic Health Records of Children in 2 Large US Pediatric Primary Care Networks. JAMA Net Open. 2022;5(3): e222117

Vyles D, Adams J, Chiu A, Simpson P, Nimmer M, Brousseau DC. Allergy testing in children with low-risk penicillin allergy symptoms. Pediatrics.

Lucas M, Arnold A, Sommerfield A, et al. Antibiotic Allergy Labels in Children Are Associated with Adverse Clinical Outcomes. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.