

Gonzales-Luna A.J.,<sup>1</sup> Skinner A.M.,<sup>2</sup> Alonso C.D.,<sup>3</sup> Bouza E.,<sup>4</sup> Cornely O.A.,<sup>5</sup> de Meij T.G.,<sup>6</sup> Drew R.J.,<sup>7</sup> Garey K.W.,<sup>1</sup> Gerding D.N.,<sup>2</sup> Johnson S.,<sup>2</sup> Kahn S.A.,<sup>8</sup> Kato H.,<sup>9</sup> Kelly C.P.,<sup>3</sup> Kelly C.R.,<sup>10</sup> Kociolek L.K.,<sup>11</sup> Kuijper E.J.,<sup>12</sup> Louie T.,<sup>13</sup> Riley T.V.,<sup>14</sup> Sandora T.J.,<sup>3</sup> Vehreschild M.J.G.T.,<sup>15</sup> Wilcox M.H.,<sup>16</sup> Dubberke E.R.<sup>17</sup>

### BACKGROUND

- Standardized definitions for clinical response to *C. difficile* infection (CDI) are lacking
- Diarrheal definitions have shifted from ≥6 unformed bowel movements [UBM]/36 hr to less stringent ≥3 UBMs/24 hr
- CDI cure has also transformed from clinical definition to a more stringent using same measure of  $\leq 3$  UBMs/day for 24-48 hours
- Initial clinical cure and sustained clinical response are often used in contemporary clinical trials but rely on unvalidated diarrhea metrics
- Shift towards more restrictive CDI cure definitions has unintended consequences for drug approval, patients enrolled in clinical trials, and drug innovation

## OBJECTIVE

We created an international group of C. *difficile* experts to establish practical definitions that can be used from the bench to bedside for patients aged  $\geq 2$ years enrolled in *C. difficile* trials and for clinical management of patients with CDI.

### **METHODS**

- A multidisciplinary group of CDI experts met monthly from Jan.-Oct. 2022 to review response endpoints from published clinical trials of antibiotic therapy for CDI.
- Previously published phase III or IV trials of antibiotic therapy for CDI were included.
- Discussions were held to reach a consensus on new clinical trial endpoints for adults and children to improve the accuracy and clinical relevance of measures of treatment success.







# **Assessment and Proposed Revision of Clinical Trial Clostridioides** difficile Infection Clinical Response and Outcomes Definitions

Abbr.: N, total sample population; ni, population with initial response; nf, population with initial failure; nr, population with recurrence; ns, population with sustained response

Sustained Respons

n<sub>s</sub> / N

### RESULTS Figure 3. Proposed outcome definitions for CDI clinical trials **Initial Response (IR)** • Any significant improvement in diarrhea by day two after completion of primary CDI therapy PLUS investigator determination that CDI treatmentcan be stopped Improvement in diarrhea measured as any one or more of the following: • <3 unformed bowel movements/day</p> >50% reduction in number of stools • >75% decrease in stool volume (ostomy or rectal collection device) • Attainment of bowel movements of Bristol Stool Form Scale types 1-4 on average **Sustained Response (SR)** •Achievement of IR + no need for retreatment of CDI by day 30 after completion of primary CDI therapy **Table 1.** Additional potential secondary study of Clinical • Time to resolution of diarrhea (TTROD) • Time to recurrence (TTR) Normalization of body temperature (if fever present during CD) Resolution of abdominal pain Resolution of ileus (if present) • Resolution of megacolon (if present) Improvement in baseline abnormal laboratory parameters such blood cell count, elevated serum creatinine or decreased albur inflammatory markers (such as C-reactive protein) Resolution of pseudomembranes (if present) Improvement in patient- or caregiver-reported symptoms such cramping, bloating, feeling tired, lack of energy, lightheadedne appetite or nausea CONCLUSIONS Initial Response (IR) and Sustained Response (SR) will more accurately capture success in treating CDI while highlighting the need for more research in this area. As CDI management progresses to incorporate more non-antibiotic strategies, continued efforts are needed to ensure the accurate measurement of each treatment's effect either alone or in combination.

Author affiliations: 1. University of Houston College of Pharmacy, Houston, Texas, USA; 2. Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, Illinois, USA; 3. Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; 4. Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain; 5. Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany; 6. Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 7. Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin, Ireland; 8. Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; 9. National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan; 10. Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA; 11. Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA; 12. Leiden University Medical Center and RIVM, Leiden, The Netherlands; 13. University of Calgary Department of Medicine, Calgary, Canada; 14. The University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia; 15. Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; 16. University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom; 17. Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.

# **Contact Information:** Anne J. Gonzales-Luna University of Houston Phone: (713)398-9051 ajgonz23@central.uh.edu

utcomes	
Microbiological	
l episode) h as elevated white nin, or improvement in	<ul> <li>Normalization of primary to secondary bile acid ratio</li> <li>Recovery of gut microbiota</li> <li>Changes in minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of CDI drugs over the course of treatment</li> </ul>
as flatulence, ss, dizziness, lack of	