# Yale NewHaven Health

## Reducing Time to Optimal Antimicrobial Therapy (OAT) for Bloodstream Infections (BSI) due to Gram Positive Cocci (GPC) in Chains Using Rapid Diagnostics (RDT) Paired with Antimicrobial Stewardship (ASP) Efforts

	Background	Results								
<ul> <li>In 2014, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention released guidance for all hospitals in the United States to implement ASP programs and to prioritize OAT for patients</li> <li>RDTs alone have not resulted in decreased time to OAT</li> <li>Studies found that when RDT was paired with real-time ASP review for Gram negatives and Staphylococci, there were improvement in clinical outcomes, decrease in time to OAT, and reduced broad-spectrum antibiotic use</li> <li>Previous implementation of RDT via BioFire® FilmArray® Blood Culture Identification 2 (BCID2) paired with ASP review for MSSA/MRSA results at our institution resulted in decreased mortality and decreased time to OAT</li> </ul>		Table 1. Baseline Demographics				Table 2. Primary Outcome				
			Pre- Intervention (N=117)	Post- Intervention (N= 82)	P- Value		Pre-Intervention (N=117)	Post- Intervention (N= 76)	n Difference (95% CI)	
		Gender (n, %)			0.76	Time to OAT (hrs.)*				
		Male	77 (65.8)	56 (68.3)		Average $\pm$ SD	$34.8\pm20.7$	$18.0\pm28.7$	16.8	
		Female	40 (34.2)	26 (31.7)					(9.8 - 23.8)	
		Age (n, %)				*For time to optimal therapy, only 76 patients out of 82 were included as therapy was not optimized for 6 patients.				
		Average (±SD)	67.3 ± 16.2	$66.2 \pm 16.5$	0.66	therapy was not	optimized for 6 patients	•		
		18-49	15 (12.8)	12 (14.6)						
		50-64	31 (26.5)	25 (30.5)						
		65-74	31 (26.5)	19 (23.2)		Eiguro 1 Drima	ny Autoomo Potuvoo	n Dro ve Doct l	ntorvontion	
Objectives		75 and older	40 (34.2)	26 (31.7)		Figure 1. Prima	ary Outcome Betwee	n Pre- vs Post-I	ntervention	
<ul> <li>With the use of RDT via BCID2 to identify bloodstream infections (BSI) due to GPC in chains, we aimed to compare the time to OAT between pre- and post- implementation.</li> </ul>		ID Consult (n ,%)	79 (67.5)	41 (50)	0.02				<i>34.8 ± 20.7</i>	
		Pitt Bacteremia Score (avg. ± SD)	$1.6\pm2.1$	$1.6\pm2.3$	0.48					
		<b>Charlson Comorbidity</b>	$4.6 \pm 2.3$	$5.3 \pm 2.5$	0.08	18.0 ± 28.7				
Methods		Index (avg. $\pm$ SD)		010 _ 210						
Study Design	<ul> <li>Retrospective chart review of patients with GPC in chains in blood pre- and post-implementation of BCID2 paired ASP review</li> </ul>	Admitted to ICU within				Pre-Intervention Post-Intervention		p <	0.0001	
		48 Hours of Positive	28 (23.9)	22 (26.8)	0.74	0 5	10 15 20	25	30 35 40	
		Blood Cultures (n,%)				Hours				
	<ul> <li>Pre-implementation: January through September 2019</li> </ul>	Organisms (n, %)								
	<ul> <li>Post-implementation: May 2021 through January 2022</li> </ul>	Enterococcus faecalis	49 (41.9)	37 (45.1)	0.78	Table 3. Secondary Outcomes				
Primary	<ul> <li>Average time to OAT</li> </ul>	GBS	28 (23.9)	21 (25.6)	0.87	Pre-Intervention Post-Intervention				
Outcome		Enterococcus faecium	29 (24.8)	13 (15.9)	0.16		(N=117)	(N= 82)	P-Value	
<ul><li>Secondary • Length of stay (LOS)</li><li>Outcomes • 30-day mortality</li></ul>		GAS	8 (6.8)	4 (4.9)	0.77					
		Streptococcus	3 (2.6)	7 (8.5)	0.13	LOS (days)				
Inclusion	<ul> <li>Patients with positive Gram stain for GPC in chains and the</li> </ul>	pneumoniae Sources of Infontions (m. 19				Median (IQR)	9 (6 - 21)	13 (7 - 32)	0.07	
Criteria	following organisms:• Enterococcus faecalis• Streptococcus pneumoniae• Enterococcus faecium• Streptococcus pyogenes• Streptococcus agalactiae (GBS)(GAS)	Sources of Infection (n, % Intra-abdominal		12 (14 C)	0.26	30-day Mortality				
		Skin and soft tissue	25 (21.4) 18 (15.38)	12 (14.6) 16 (19.5)	0.36	n (%)	12 (10.3)	5 (6.1)	0.79	
		Endovascular	20 (17.1)	9 (11.0)	0.40					
		Urologic	12 (10.3)	13 (15.9)	0.29		a tha OD as da far			
Exclusion	• < 18 years of age	Bone & joint	4 (3.4)	6 (7.3)	0.23	Please scan the QR code for more				
Criteria	<ul> <li>Presence of polymicrobial infection</li> <li>Presence of Streptococci with unidentified species by BCID2</li> <li>Discharged or deceased before rapid diagnostic result</li> <li>On comfort measures within 72 hours of result</li> </ul>	Central line-associated	2 (1.7)	4 (4.9)	0.33	informatio	on regarding this p	oster.		
		Respiratory	1 (0.9)	5 (6.1)	0.44			3		
		Central nervous system	2 (1.7)	0 (0)	0.12					
		Other	2 (1.7)	0 (0)	0.5					
	<ul> <li>Left against medical advice within 72 hours of result</li> </ul>	Unknown	31 (26.5)	17 (20.7)	0.41					
			(-0.0)	_/ (,/)						

Justin K. Ma, PharmD<sup>1</sup>; Terrence D. McSweeney, PharmD, BCIDP<sup>2</sup>; Dayna McManus, PharmD, BCIDP<sup>3</sup>; Matthew W. Davis, PharmD, BCIDP<sup>3</sup>; Jacob Merwede, MBA, SM<sup>4</sup>; David Peaper, MD<sup>4</sup>; Jeffrey E. Topal, MD<sup>3,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Kaweah Health Medical Center; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Montefiore Hospital; <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacy Services, Yale New Haven Hospital; <sup>4</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, <sup>5</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Infectious Diseases, Yale School of Medicine



Yale University School of Medicine

### Discussion

- In terms of baseline demographics, patients were well-balanced between the two arms
- RDT paired with ASP review at our institution showed a significant reduction of **16.8 hours** in average time to OAT between pre- and post-intervention
- This reduces the risk of side effects from unnecessary broad-spectrum antibiotic use, including *Clostridium difficile* infections, and lowers selection for resistant bacterial strains
- The average LOS was potentially confounded by uncensored patients who were outliers and the COVID-19 pandemic

### Conclusion

• For BSI due to GPC in chains, RDT via BCID2 paired with 24/7 ASP led to markedly decreased time to OAT.

### References

- 1. Nasef R, El Lababidi R, Alatoom A, et al. The impact of integrating rapid PCR-based blood culture identification panel to an established antimicrobial stewardship program in the United Arab of Emirates. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;91:124-128.
- 2. MacVane SH, Nolte FS. Benefits of adding a rapid PCR-based blood culture identification panel to an established antimicrobial stewardship program. J Clin Microbiol. 2016;54(10):2455-2463.



### Disclosures

The authors of this presentation have the following to disclose concerning possible financial or personal relationships with commercial entities that may have a direct or indirect interest in the subject matter of this presentation: nothing to disclose.

### vention





