

Comparison of surgical site infection between open and robotic-assisted gastrectomy in patients with gastric cancer

Jinnam Kim, MD^{1,2}, Se Ju Lee, MD^{1,2}, Ki Hyun Lee, MD^{1,2}, Eun Hwa Lee, MD^{1,2}, Jin Young Ahn, MD^{1,2}, Su Jin Jeong, MD, PhD^{1,2}, Se Ju Lee, MD^{1,2}, Taeil Son, MD, PhD⁴, Jun Yong Choi, MD, PhD^{1,2}, Joon-Sup Yeom, MD, PhD^{1,2}

INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infection is a clinically significant postoperative complication and a major cost burden of healthcare-associated infections. Although many studies compare minimally invasive surgery and open surgery, few studies have focused on surgical site infection. This study aimed to investigate the differences in the incidence of surgical site infection after open and roboticassisted gastrectomy in patients with gastric cancer.

METHODS

We retrospectively reviewed adult patients with gastric cancer who underwent open and robotic-assisted gastrectomy at a 2,400-bed tertiary hospital in Seoul from January 2015 to December 2015. Postoperative outcomes, including surgical site infection between open and robotic-assisted gastrectomy, were compared. Risk factors of surgical site infection were analyzed by logistic regression multivariable analysis.

RESULTS

A total of 684 patients, including 448 patients who underwent open gastrectomy and 236 patients who underwent robotic-assisted gastrectomy, were enrolled. The median age was 58 years. The overall incidence of surgical site infection was 7.6%. The incidence of surgical site infection was significantly lower in the robotic-assisted gastrectomy group than in the open gastrectomy group (9.2% vs. 4.7%, p=0.035). The length of hospital stay was significantly shorter in the robotic-assisted gastrectomy group than in the open gastrectomy group (5 vs. 8 days, p<0.001). Multivariate logistic regression revealed that the operative time, history of smoking, and open gastrectomy were independent risk factors influencing the incidence of surgical site infection.

CONCLUSION

Robotic-assisted gastrectomy is a good multimodality treatment option for gastric cancer in terms of reduced length of hospital stay and incidence of surgical site infection.

¹ Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ³Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴AIDS Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ⁴Department of Surgery, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with gastric cancer who underwent gastrectomy								
	Gastrectomy							
	Total (n=684)	Open (n=448)	Robot (n=236)	p value				
Age (years)	58 (50-66)	60 (53-68)	54 (45-62)	< 0.001				
Sex								
Male	430 (62.9%)	292 (65.2%)	138 (58.5%)	0.085				
Female	254 (37.1%)	156 (34.8%)	98 (41.5%)					
BMI [*] (kg/m ²)	23.0 (21.0-26.0)	23.0 (21.0-26.0)	23.5 (21.0-25.0)	0.971				
ASA ⁺ class				0.024				
<3	522 (76.3%)	330 (73.7%)	192 (81.4%)					
≥3	162 (23.7%)	118 (26.3%)	44 (18.6%)					
Smoking status				0.105				
Non-smoker	310 (45.3%)	193 (43.1%)	117 (49.6%)					
Ex-smoker and current smoker	374 (54.7%)	255 (56.9%)	119 (50.4%)					
Co-morbidities								
Hypertension	216 (31.6%)	156 (34.8%)	60 (25.4%)	0.012				
Diabetes mellitus	104 (15.2%)	76 (17.0%)	28 (11.9%)	0.077				
Intracranial hemorrhage	7 (1.0%)	4 (0.9%)	3 (1.3%)	0.697				
Cerebrovascular accident	20 (2.9%)	14 (3.1%)	6 (2.5%)	0.667				
Congestive heart failure	8 (1.2%)	5 (1.1%)	3 (1.3%)	0.999				
Coronary artery disease	26 (3.8%)	20 (4.5%)	6 (2.5%)	0.211				
Asthma	9 (1.3%)	5 (1.1%)	4 (1.7%)	0.504				
COPD [‡]	12 (1.8%)	9 (2.0%)	3 (1.3%)	0.559				
old tuberculosis	49 (7.2%)	34 (7.6%)	15 (6.4%)	0.552				
Liver disease	37 (5.4%)	20 (4.5%)	17 (7.2%)	0.132				
Chronic kidney disease	32 (4.7%)	20 (4.5%)	12 (5.1%)	0.715				
Connective tissue disease	4 (0.6%)	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.8%)	0.513				
Charlson co-morbidity index	4 (3-6)	4 (3-6)	3 (2-5)	<0.001				
TNM [§] stage				<0.001				
Stage I, II	470 (68.7%)	258 (57.6%)	212 (89.8%)					
Stage III, IV	214 (31.3%)	190 (42.4%)	24 (10.2%)					
Preoperative chemotherapy	53 (7.7%)	51 (11.4%)	2 (0.8%)	<0.001				
Surgical extent				<0.001				
Subtotal	488 (71.3%)	284 (63.4%)	204 (86.4%)					
Total	196 (28.7%)	164 (36.6%)	32 (13.6%)					
Co-operation	81 (11.8%)	71 (15.8%)	10 (4.2%)	<0.001				
Lymph node dissection				<0.001				
D1, D1+	194 (28.4%)	47 (10.5%)	147 (62.3%)					
D2, D3	490 (71.6%)	401 (89.5%)	89 (37.7%)					

Table 2. Postoperative

Post-operative out

Operative time (min) Length of stay (days) **Readmission within 3** Mortality SSI*

Wound dehiscence Pneumonia Anastomosis leakag Anastomosis stenosi leus **Bleeding (postoperati** Anemia **Cardiac complication**

Table 3. Univariable and multivariable analyses of surgical site infection in patients with gastric cancer who underwent gastrectomy

Characteristics	Ν	Univariable analysis			Multivariable analysis		
Characteristics		OR	95% CI	p-value	OR	95% CI	p-value
ive time		1.007	1.001-1.013	0.020	1.008	1.003-1.014	0.002
	430	1.145	0.434-3.019	0.785			
e	254	1					
ng	374	1.910	0.785-4.648	0.154	2.249	1.188-4.257	0.013
		1.081	0.989-1.181	0.085			
on co-morbidity index		1.105	0.966-1.264	0.147			
tage							
I, II	470	1					
III, IV	214	1.365	0.681-2.737	0.380			
erative chemotherapy	53	1.163	0.462-2.928	0.748			
ion method							
	448	1.767	0.749-4.171	0.194	2.281	1.123-4.634	0.023
t-assisted	236	1			1		
eration	81	0.823	0.350-1.937	0.656			
node dissection							
D1, D1+	194	1					
D2, D3	490	1.142	0.466-2.803	0.771			

Characteristics	Ν	Univariable analysis			Multivariable analysis		
Undracteristics		OR	95% CI	p-value	OR	95% CI	p-value
Operative time		1.007	1.001-1.013	0.020	1.008	1.003-1.014	0.002
Sex							
Male	430	1.145	0.434-3.019	0.785			
Female	254	1					
Smoking	374	1.910	0.785-4.648	0.154	2.249	1.188-4.257	0.013
3 MI *		1.081	0.989-1.181	0.085			
Charlson co-morbidity index		1.105	0.966-1.264	0.147			
FNM⁺ stage							
Stage I, II	470	1					
Stage III, IV	214	1.365	0.681-2.737	0.380			
Preoperative chemotherapy	53	1.163	0.462-2.928	0.748			
Operation method							
Open	448	1.767	0.749-4.171	0.194	2.281	1.123-4.634	0.023
Robot-assisted	236	1			1		
Co-operation	81	0.823	0.350-1.937	0.656			
_ymph node dissection							
D1, D1+	194	1					
D2, D3	490	1.142	0.466-2.803	0.771			



outcomes of patients with gastric cancer who underwent gastrectomy							
come	Total (n=684)	Gastre					
		Open (n=448)	Robot (n=236)	p value			
	185 (156-218)	183 (157-215)	189.5 (154-227)	0.023			
	7 (5-9)	8 (7-10)	5 (5-6)	<0.001			
days	32 (4.7%)	26 (5.8%)	6 (2.5%)	0.055			
	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.4%)	0.999			
	52 (7.6%)	41 (9.2%)	11 (4.7%)	0.035			
ncisional	11 (1.6%)	8 (1.8%)	3 (1.3%)	0.756			
an/space	41 (6.0%)	33 (7.4%)	8 (3.4%)	0.037			
	40 (5.8%)	22 (4.9%)	18 (7.6%)	0.150			
	27 (3.9%)	22 (4.9%)	5 (2.1%)	0.075			
	28 (4.1%)	22 (4.9%)	6 (2.5%)	0.137			
	30 (4.4%)	23 (5.1%)	7 (3.0%)	0.188			
	4 (0.6%)	3 (0.7%)	1 (0.4%)	0.999			
	9 (1.3%)	8 (1.8%)	1 (0.4%)	0.175			
/e)	10 (1.5%)	6 (1.3%)	4 (1.7%)	0.744			
	27 (3.9%)	25 (5.6%)	2 (0.8%)	0.003			
	3 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.8%)	0.275			