# Rifampicin and Tenofovir Alafenamide Containing Regimen Drug Interaction in People Living with HIV: Case Series Report



Yahya Ali Mohzari, PharmD, BCPS, BCIDP, Mohammed AL Musawa PharmD, BCIDP, Ahmad Alrashed, PharmD, Bandar Zaeri, PharmD, Nader Damfu, PharmD, BCPS, Ahmad Alamer, PharmD, BC-ADM, Abdulaziz Almulhim, PharmD, BCPS.

King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

#### INTRODUCTION

People living with HIV (PLWH) are prone to developing tuberculosis (TB). Since tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) is the preferred tenofovir (TFV) prodrug and rifampicin is a key component of TB therapy, the co-administration of rifampicin and TAF is unavoidable. However, there is little data regarding the impact of this drug-drug Interaction in PLWH, which makes health care providers more reluctant.

## **OBJECTIVES**

The primary objective of our study was to evaluate of concomitant use of rifampicin and TAF in People Living with HIV (PLWH).

#### **METHODS**

#### Study Design, and Setting:

• This was an observational, retrospective case series study carried out in the King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre (KFSH&RC), Jeddah.

#### Participant Selection:

- The medical record of PLWH (≥ 18 years old), who received rifampicin-based anti-TB therapy with a TAF-containing ARV regimen for 4 weeks or longer was evaluated to be included.
- Participants were categorized into two groups based on their HIV viral load status at the time of rifampicin-based anti-TB therapy and the TAF-containing ARV regimen coadministration was established:
  - PLWH with viral load >200 copies/ml
  - PLWH with viral load <200ml copies/ml</li>

#### **METHODS**

The clinical outcome measure is classified according to the following definitions:

Maintaining HIV viral load suppression (<200 copies/mL) for those with suppressed viral load at the time of coadministration of rifampicin-based anti- TB therapy and TAF-containing ARV regimen.

Attainment of the viral load suppression (<200 copies/mL) for those with unsuppressed HIV viral load at the time of co-administration of rifampicin-based anti-TB therapy and TAF-containing ARV regimen.

HIV treatment failure: Loss of HIV viral load control for those with suppressed HIV viral load at the time of coadministration of rifampicin-based anti-TB therapy and TAF-containing ARV regimen. And this treatment failure is attributed only to the impact of rifampicin used with the TAF-containing ARV regimen.

#### Data Analysis:

**TABLE 1: Baseline Characteristics** 

Descriptive analysis (median with interquartile range, frequencies, and percentages) was used to describe quantitative and categorical variables as appropriate. Analysis was conducted using R Core Team (2020) software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Version 4.0.1, Vienna, Austria).

#### **RESULTS**

Characteristic	Number					
Total number of participants	7					
Gender, n (%)						
Male	5 (71.4)					
Female	2 (28.5)					
Age (years), median (range)	47 (26-68)					
Weight (kg), median (range)	60 (50-80)					
Height (cm), median (range)	169 (149-174)					
ART regimen, n (%)						
DTG+ TAF and FTC	7 (100)					
HIV viral load at the time of Rif and TAF were established, n (%)						
>200 copies/milliliter	4(57.1)					
<200 copies/milliliter	3(42.8)					
CD4 count at the time Rif and TAF co-administration were established, n (%)						
>200 cells per cubic millimeter	5 (71.4)					
<200 cells per cubic millimeter	2 (28.5)					
Indication of Rif, n (%)						
PTB	7(100)					
Dose of the Rif, n (%)						
600 mg	6(85.7)					
450 mg	1(14.2)					
Anti-TB therapy, n (%)						
HREZ	6(85.7)					
Levo+REZ	1(14.2)					
ration of the anti-TB therapy (week), median (range)						
N: number, %: percentage, Kg: kilogram, cm: centimeter, ART: antiretroviral therapy, DTG: dolutegravir, TAF: tenofovir alafenamide, FTC: emtricitabine,						
Rif: rifampicin, PTB: pulmonary tuberculosis, TB: tuberculosis, HREZ: isoniazid, rifampicin, ethambutol, pyrazinamide, Levo: levofloxacin						

#### RESULTS

TABLE 2:	Clinical	Outcomes
----------	----------	----------

Variable	Cases with suppressed HIV viral load at the baseline				Cases with unsuppressed HIV viral load at the baseline		
Cases No.	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case1	Case 2	Case 3
HIV viral at baseline	Undetecte d	< 20	Undetected	Undetected	5789	16052	15251
HIV viral load at the 2-month post adding the Rif	< 40	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	< 40
HIV viral load at the 4-month post adding the Rif	Undetecte d	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected
HIV viral load at the 6-month adding post the Rif	Undetecte d	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected
HIV viral load at the 2-month post-D/C the Rif	Undetecte d	< 20	Undetected	Undetected	Undetected	< 50	Undetected

#### CONCLUSION

This case series study demonstrated the possibility of RIF-TAF co-administration, without mitigating the efficacy of TAF. However, further work on a large sample is warranted to confirm our findings.

### **REFERENCES**

