

Antibiotic Spectrum Index and Risk of Clostridioides difficile Infection

Michael J. Ray, MPH^{1,2}; Kendall J. Tucker, PharmD, MS³; Jon P. Furuno, PhD, FSHEA¹; Eric T. Lofgren, PhD⁴; Luke C. Strnad, MD^{2,5}; Jeffrey S. Gerber, MD, PhD⁶; Jessina C. McGregor, PhD, FSHEA^{1,2}

1.Oregon State University College of Pharmacy; 2. Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health; 3. Wilkes University Nesbitt School of Pharmacy; 4. Washington State University Allen School for Global Animal Health; 5. Oregon Health & Science University Division of Infectious Diseases; 6. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Division of Infectious Diseases

INTRODUCTION

- Antibiotic therapy is a risk factor for *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI), and magnitude of risk likely varies by spectrum of antibiotic activity and duration of therapy
- Traditional measures of antibiotic use, such as days of therapy (DOT), fail to capture complete information about intensity of antibiotic therapy
- The antibiotic spectrum index (ASI) quantifies spectrum of antibiotic therapy using a simple score that weights the spectrum of activity of an agent based upon the number of common pathogens for which the agent provides coverage¹
- ASI could provide a more accurate quantification of risk of CDI attributable to antibiotics and thus support decision-making around antibiotic prescribing and stewardship initiatives

OBJECTIVE

Quantify the risk of healthcare-associated CDI (HA-CDI) attributable to antibiotic therapy using the Antibiotic Spectrum Index (ASI)

METHODS

- Retrospective cohort study at Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) of adult inpatient encounters from January 2018-February 2020 with length of stay ≥ 4 days
- Exclusion criteria:
 - CDI diagnosis within first 3 days of encounter
 - CDI in previous 8 weeks or recurrent CDI ICD-10 code (A04.71)
- Outcome: Incident, hospital-associated CDI (HA-CDI) defined as:
 - Anti-CDI antibiotic therapy (metronidazole, oral vancomycin, or fidaxomicin) initiated on hospital day 4 or later AND
 - ICD-10-CM code (A04.72) for non-recurrent CDI present OR
 - Positive C. difficile test (PCR, stool toxin) collected on hospital day 4 or later
- Primary Exposure: Aggregate, patient-level ASI per antibiotic day; sum of ASI scores for each individual systemic antibiotic (ABX) agent across all days and divided by number of antibiotic days (Figure 1)
- Time at-risk: duration of encounter or until HA-CDI diagnosis
- Colonization pressure: Number of CDI or *C. difficile* colonized patients present in the same hospital location on the same day / time at-risk
- Statistical Analysis
 - Generalized estimating equations (GEE) approach to build a marginal model with robust covariance estimation to generate adjusted relative risks
 - Stepwise model selection procedure (significance level entry = 0.15)
- Adjusted absolute risk differences generated using SAS Margins Macro²
 - Number needed to harm (NNH) calculated
 - Estimated HA-CDI cases prevented calculated using hypothetical reductions our institution's actual short course therapy (\leq 3 days) divided by NNH

Figure 2. Estimated HA-CDI cases prevented after hypothetical reductions in short-course antibiotic therapy



Figure 1. ASI calculations for example patients **Example patient #1** 10-day LOS 8 days of **cefazolin** (ASI – Day 3-10 5 days of vancomycin (A – Day 1/5 10 antibiotic days 49 total ASI 4.9 ASI per antibiotic da

	Example patient #2
	11-day LOS
3)	2 days of cefoxitin (ASI 5)
	– Day 1-2
SI 5)	2 days of ertapenem (ASI 9)
	– Day 1-2
	2 antibiotic days
	28 total ASI
y	14.0 ASI per antibiotic day

Table 1. Adjusted relative risks for HA-CDI

	Relative Risk (95% CI)	ſ
ASI per antibiotic day (per 1-point increase)	1.09 (1.06, 1.12)	I
Time at-risk (per day)	1.011 (1.004, 1.020)	1.
Elixhauser comorb. (per 1-point increase)	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	2.
PPI/H2RA	3.08 (1.76, 5.41)	F
NG tube placement	2.30 (1.56, 3.41)	I
Colonization pressure (per case-day/day at-risk)	2.00 (1.83, 2.18)	

RESULTS

- Cohort includes 37,631 inpatient encounters representing 27,771 distinct patients ◆ We identified 145 HA-CDI cases, representing a cumulative incidence of 0.39% or
- incidence rate of 4.4 per 10,000 patient-days
- 68 percent of our patient population received at least one antibiotic
- Patients had median length of stay of 6 days, a median of 2 days of antibiotic therapy, and a mean of 4.4 ASI per antibiotic day
- HA-CDI (Table 1)
 - After adjusting for time at-risk, Elixhauser comorbidity index, PPI/H2RA receipt, nasogastric tube placement, and colonization pressure
- For vancomycin, we calculated a NNH of 801, meaning treating 801 patients with a short course of vancomycin would cause one additional HA-CDI case For piperacillin-tazobactam, we calculated a NNH of 433

CONCLUSIONS

- The Antibiotic Spectrum Index was strongly associated with HA-CDI and potentially provides information beyond measures like DOT
- Our results illustrate utility of the ASI and attributable risk as tools for estimating CDI reduction following stewardship interventions
- ASI could also be useful tool for quantifying individual patient risk of CDI according to the specific antibiotic therapy received
- While NNH values were relatively large, reduction is HA-CDI is still meaningful given the extremely high frequency of antibiotic therapy among hospitalized patients
- Striving for reductions in average ASI represent a valuable antibiotic stewardship target
- Strengths
 - Comprehensive, longitudinal dataset
- Limitations
 - The CDI burden at our institution is relatively low compared to the nation Antibiotics with the same ASI could confer very different CDI-specific risks depending on mechanism of activity

REFERENCES

- Each additional unit of ASI per antibiotic day is associated with 1.09 times greater risk of

- Absolute risk measures are often more clinically applicable than relative measures

Gerber JS, Hersh AL, Kronman MP, Newland JG, Ross RK, Metjian TA. Development and application of an antibiotic spectrum index for benchmarking antibiotic selection patters across hospitals. 2017. SAS Institute. Predictive Margins and Average Marginal Effects https://support.sas.com/kb/63/038.html#see. Published 2018.





Michael J. Ray, MPH raymi@ohsu.edu 503-494-6021