| UCsr Health |
|--------------------|
| #477 |

Evaluation of Electrocardiogram (ECG) Monitoring Practice in Newly Initiated Azole Antifungal Therapy

Pablo E. Lapetina, PharmD; Sarah B. Doernberg, MD, MAS, FIDSA; Conan MacDougall, PharmD, MAS, BCPS, BCIDP Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of California San Francisco (UCSF) Medical Center

Abstract

Background: Azole antifungals are first-line options in the management of many fungal infections, but most are known to cause corrected Q-T interval (QTc) prolongation. There is a lack of guidance on which patients warrant ECG monitoring while on azole therapy. We aimed to determine factors associated with ECG monitoring of patients on systemic azole therapy in a real-world setting.

Methods: We performed a retrospective cohort study of hospitalized adult patients who were newly initiated on and received at least 5 days of inpatient azole therapy. Pregnant patients, those on isavuconazole or any azole prior to admission, and those with a gap in azole therapy > 3 days were excluded. Only the first admission with azole use per patient over the study period was included. The primary outcome was whether there was an ECG within 5 days of starting azole therapy. We calculated adjusted odds ratios (aOR) for the association between patient and treatment characteristics and likelihood of ECG measurement using multivariable logistic regression. We also describe factors associated with risk of QTc prolongation in those who had more than one ECG.

Results: 4,126 patients met inclusion criteria over 9 years. Most were admitted to the Hematology/Oncology service (49%), followed by Solid Organ Transplant (20.4%). Azole therapy was initiated for medical prophylaxis in 61.7% and for treatment in 27.9%. Fluconazole (FLU) was the most utilized azole (75.2%), followed by voriconazole (VOR) (18%). Overall, 1,454 patients (35.2%) had at least one ECG measured within 5 days of starting azole therapy. On multivariate analysis, likelihood of having an ECG measured was greater among patients (1) receiving posaconazole (POS, aOR 1.75, 95% CI 1.31-2.34) or VOR (aOR 1.34, 95% CI 1.10-1.63) versus FLU, (2) with a baseline ECG before azole initiation (aOR 2.07 (1.76-2.43), and (3) who received concomitant QT-prolonging medications (aOR 1.22, 95% CI 1.01-1.48) or diuretics (aOR 1.38 95% CI 1.16-1.63).

Conclusion: Use of POS or VOR, baseline ECG monitoring, concomitant QTprolonging medications, and electrolyte abnormalities, were associated with greater likelihood of ECG monitoring. Further work to understand which patient populations would benefit from ECG monitoring will be imperative.

Background

- · Azole antifungal agents (except isavuconazole) are known to cause corrected QTc prolongation
- No standardized guidance exists on which patient warrant ECG monitoring

Objective

- Evaluate ECG monitoring practices for initial azole antifungal use at an academic medical center
- · Describe factors associated with QTc prolongation

Methods

- Retrospective cohort study of hospitalized adults who received ≥ 5 days of inpatient azole therapy from 2012-2021; first admission with azole use per patient over the study period was included
- Primary outcome: performance of ≥ 1 ECG within 5 days of azole therapy initiation
- · Secondary outcomes: among those with an ECG obtained, assess frequency of ECG monitoring and development of QTc prolongation
- We explored associations between patient demographics and treatment characteristics using univariate and multivariate regression models, reported as adjusted odds ratios (aOR).

Results

4,126 patients met inclusion criteria. Table 1 summarizes patient characteristics and outcomes. Fluconazole was used in 75.2% of study episodes. Azoles were most commonly used for medical prophylaxis (61.7%); most patients (83.4%) received ≥1 concomitant potential QT-prolonging agent. Approximately one-third (1455/4126, 35.3%) of patients had an ECG measured within 5 days of initiating an azole; 397 of these patients (27.3%) had their first ECG obtained within 24 hours of azole initiation and 741 (50.9%) within 48 hours

Table 1. Patient Characteristics and Association with Primary and Secondary Outcomes

| | | | | | | Secondary Outcome: Presence of QTc prolongation in ≥ 1 ECG after azole | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Primary Outcome: Measurement of ECG within 5 days of azole start | | | | | initiation among patients meeting primary outcome | | | | |
| Characteristic | Characteristic Occurrence by Population: mean (SD) or n (%) | | | Odds Ratio for ECG within 5 days of azole start | | Characteristic Occurrence by Population: mean (SD) or n (%) | | | Odds Ratio for QTc prolongation [∓] after azole start | |
| | Total population (N=4126) | No ECG within 5 days of azole start (n=2671) | | Unadjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted* Odds Ratio (95% CI) | Total population (N=1455) | No prolonged QTc after azole initiation (n=1249) | ≥ 1 ECGs with prolonged QTc after azole initiation (n=206) | Unadjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted* Odds Ratio (95% CI) |
| | 1812 (43.9%) | 1185 (44.4%) | 627 (43.1%) | 0.95 (0.83-1.08) | 0.95 (0.82-1.09) | 627 (43.1%) | 546 (43.7%) | 81 (39.3%) | 0.83 (0.62-1.12) | |
| .os after starting | 55.4 (15.3) | 55.3 (15.3) | 55.7 (15.2) | 1.00 (0.99-1.00) | 1.00 (0.99-1.00) | 55.6 (15.2) | 55.5 (15.4) | 56.5 (14.1) | 1.00 (0.99-1.01) | 1.00 (0.99-1.0 |
| zole (days) | 15.2 (13.4) | 14.4 (12.8) | 16.7 (14.2) | 1.01 (1.01-1.01) | 1.00 (0.99-1.01) | 16.7 (14.2) | 15.7 (12.8) | 22.5 (20.0) | 1.03 (1.02 - 1.03) | ` |
| CG pre-azole D Consult | 2603 (63.1%) 363 (8.8%) | 1463 (54.8%) 222 (8.3%) | 1140 (78.4%) 141 (9.7%) | 2.98 (2.58-3.45) 1.18 (0.95-1.48) | 2.08 (1.78-2.45) 0.96 (0.75-1.22) | 1140 (78.3%) | 958 (76.7%) | 182 (88.4%) Not analyzed | 2.30 (1.47-3.59) | 1.23 (0.76-2.0 |
| ervice | EOE (14 40()) | 275 (14.00/) | 220 (15 10() | Defenence | Deference | | | Netenshund | | |
| ledicine Incology Surgery | 595 (14.4%) 2022 (49.0%) 542 (13.1%) | 375 (14.0%) 1467 (54.9%) 358 (13.4%) | 220 (15.1%) 555 (38.1%) 184 (12.7%) | Reference 0.64 (0.53-0.78) 0.88 (0.69-1.12) | Reference 0.81 (0.62-1.07) 0.95 (0.73-1.25) | | | Not analyzed Not analyzed Not analyzed | | |
| olid Organ ransplant | 841 (20.4%) | 388 (14.5%) | 453 (31.1%) | 1.99 (1.61-2.47) | · / | | | Not analyzed | | |
| Other | 126 (3.1%) | 83 (3.1%) | 43 (3.0%) | 0.88 (0.59-1.32) | 0.89 (0.58-1.38) | | | Not analyzed | | |
| zole | | | | | | | | | | |
| luconazole raconazole | 3102 (75.2%) 16 (0.4%) | 2136 (80.0%) 9 (0.3%) | 966 (66.4%) 7 (0.5%) | Reference 1.72 (0.64-4.63) | Reference 1.71 (0.59-4.97) | 966 (66.4%) 7 (0.5%) | 821 (65.7%) 7 (0.6%) | 145 (70.4%) 0 (0.0%) | Reference N/A | Reference N/A |
| osaconazole oriconazole | 266 (6.5%) 742 (18.0%) | 141 (5.3%) 385 (14.4%) | 125 (8.6%) 357 (24.5%) | 1.96 (1.52-2.52) 2.05 (1.74-2.41) | 1.72 (1.30-2.28) 1.33 (1.09-1.62) | 125 (8.6%) 357 (24.5%) | 115 (9.2%) 306 (24.5%) | 10 (4.9%) 51 (24.8%) | 0.49 (0.25-0.96) 0.94 (0.67-1.33) | |
| zole Indication | | | | | | | | | | |
| /ledical Prophylaxis | 2546 (61.7%) | 1707 (63.9%) | 839 (57.7%) | Reference | Reference | 839 (57.6%) | 740 (59.3%) | 99 (48.1%) | Reference | Reference |
| | 1151 (27.9%) 429 (10.4%) | 704 (26.4%) 260 (9.7%) | . , | 1.29 (1.12-1.49) 1.32 (1.07-1.63) | | 447 (30.7%) 169 (11.6%) | 362 (28.9%) | 85 (41.3%) 22 (10.7%) | 1.76 (1.28-2.41) 1.11 (0.68-1.83) | |
| Past Medical Histor | | 200 (9.7%) | 169 (11.6%) | 1.52 (1.07-1.05) | 0.90 (0.74-1.20) | 109 (11.0%) | 147 (11.8%) | 22 (10.776) | 1.11 (0.06-1.03) | 1.01 (0.57-1.7 |
| ransplant ecipient | 636 (15.4%) | 305 (11.4%) | 331 (22.8%) | 2.28 (1.93-2.71) | 1.03 (0.80-1.33) | 331 (22.8%) | 253 (20.3%) | 78 (37.9%) | 2.39 (1.75-3.28) | 1.40 (0.96-2.0 |
| OT or stem cell) | 357 (8.7) | 196 (7.3) | 161 (11.1) | | 1.13 (0.89-1.44) | 161 (11.1%) | 119 (9.5%) | 42 (20.4%) | 2.43 (1.64-3.58) | |
| ctive Smoker hyroid | 410 (9.9%) 195 (4.7%) | 266 (10%) 124 (4.6%) | 144 (9.9%) 71 (4.9%) | 0.99 (0.80-1.23) | 1.05 (0.83-1.33) 1.08 (0.78-1.50) | 144 (9.9%) 71 (4.9%) | 122 (9.8%) 60 (4.8%) | 22 (10.7%) 11 (5.3%) | 1.10 (0.68-1.78) 1.12 (0.58-2.16) | |
| bnormalities | | . , | . , | | . , | , , | . , | , , | , , | |
| | 88 (2.1%) 1862 (45.1%) | 60 (2.3%) 1131 (42.3%) | 28 (1.9%) 731 (50.2%) | | 1.13 (0.97-1.31) | | 25 (2.0%) 589 (47.2%) | 3 (1.5%) 142 (68.9%) | 0.72 (0.22-2.41) 2.48 (1.81-3.41) | 1.85 (1.29-2.6 |
| trial Fibrillation | 2453 (59.5%) 149 (3.6%) | 1591 (59.6%) 70 (2.6%) | 862 (59.2%) 79 (5.4%) | | 0.97 (0.83-1.12) 1.76 (1.23-2.51) | 862 (59.2%) 79 (5.4%) | 726 (58.1%) 62 (4.9%) | 136 (66.0%) 17 (8.3%) | 1.39 (1.02-1.91) 1.72 (0.98-3.01) | 1.22 (0.66-2.2 |
| lepatic Disease | 528 (12.8%) | 336 (12.6%) | 192 (13.2%) | 1.06 (0.87-1.28) | 1.11 (0.90-1.37) | 192 (13.2%) | 172 (13.8%) | 20 (9.7%) | 0.67 (0.41-1.09) | 0.64 (0.38-1.0 |
| oncomitant Medica | ations During | Azole Therapy | | | | | | | | |
| T-prolonging nedications ^a | 3440 (83.4%) | 2241 (83.9%) | 1199 (82.4%) | 0.90 (0.76-1.07) | 1.21 (1.00-1.48) | 1199 (82.4%) | 1031 (82.6%) | 168 (81.6%) | 0.93 (0.64-1.37) | 1.20 (0.79-1.8 |
| | 1547 (37.5%) | 801 (30.0%) | 746 (51.3%) | 2.46 (2.15-2.80) | 1.38 (1.16-1.61) | 746 (51.3%) | 592 (47.4%) | 154 (74.7%) | 3.28 (2.35-4.58) | 1.95 (1.31 - 2.8 |
| isturbing Agents ^b | an Anole The | | | | | | | | | |
| bnormal Labs Dur alcium | | | | | | | | | | |
| < 8.5 mEq/L) | 2371 (57.5%) | 1359 (50.9%) | 1012 (69.6%) | 2.2 (1.93-2.52) | 1.24 (1.05-1.47) | · · · | 820 (65.6%) | 192 (93.2%) | 7.18 (4.12-12.5) | • |
| loanoolum | 2404 (52 00/) | 1303 (58.8%) | 878 (60.3%) | 1.60 (1.40-1.82) | 1.16 (1.00-1.33) | 878 (60.3%) | 747 (59.8%) | 131 (63.6%) | 1.17 (0.86-1.59) | 0.85 (0.59-1. |
| ataaaium | 2181 (52.9%) 1468 (35.6%) | 815 (30.5%) | , , | 1.85 (1.62-2.12) | | 653 (44.9%) | 519 (41.6%) | 134 (65.1%) | 2.61 (1.92-3.56) | |

CV: cardiovascular, EGG: electrocardiogram, HIV: human immunodeficiency vivus ID: infectious diseases, LOS: length of stay "Concomitant use during acode therapy: Antibiotics (ciprofloxacin, explorancein, calitrhomycin), HIV (protease inhibitors), CV meds (amiodarone, discopyramide, dofetilide, procainamide, quinidine, sotalo1), anti (haloperidol, risperidone, ziprasidone), miscellaneous (methadone) ³Furosemide, bumelanide, torsemide, sulfamethoxazole-t/methoprim, amphotericin use during azole therapy 'Adjusted Odds Ratio: all characteristics analyzed Table 1 were included in the corresponding multivariable models for the outcome of interest 'Proinced OT:: Ranow comelex QMS: > 500 mase: We decomblex QRS in 202 maset: > 550 mase:

Correspondence

521 Parnassus Avenue, Floor 3, Room 3232 San Francisco, CA 94143 conan.macdougall@ucsf.edu 415-502-9573

Results (cont'd)

- · Among patients with ECGs on antifungals, median number of ECGs obtained during the duration of inpatient azole use was 2 (interguartile range 1-4, range 1-122).
- On multivariable analysis (Table 1), statistically significant predictors of ECG monitoring within 5 days of azole initiation were measurement of a prior ECG, use of posaconazole or voriconazole (versus fluconazole), medical history of atrial fibrillation, receipt of concomitant QT-prolonging or electrolyte-altering agents, and an abnormal calcium or magnesium level
- Among patients with ECG monitoring, 14.1% (206/1455) had at least one ECG that met criteria for QTc prolongation. In the subset of patients with a pre-azole ECG and ECG monitoring. 139/1140 (12.2%) had a QTc prolongation of >60 msec from pre-azole values.
- Across azoles, a prolonged QTc was measured at least once in 15.0% of fluconazole courses (145/966), 0% of itraconazole courses (0/7), 8.0% of posaconazole courses (10/125), and 14.3% of voriconazole courses (51/357). In multivariable analysis (Table 1), CV disease, use of diuretics/electrolyte disturbing agents, and hypercalcemia were associated with QTc prolongation during azole use

Conclusions

- One-third of patients initiating azole antifungal therapy had an ECG performed within 5 days of starting therapy Clearer criteria for performing ECG monitoring in patients on azoles should be considered.
- · Patients receiving posaconazole and voriconazole were more likely to have an ECG measured relative to fluconazole, although among patients with ECG measurement they were no more likely to have QTc prolongation. The QTc prolongation risk of fluconazole may be underestimated by clinicians.
- · More studies and guidance on best practices are **needed** to guide use of ECGs during azole therapy

Limitations

- Single-center study with retrospective data collection
- Limited ability to identify QTc prolongation risk factors. potential for unmeasured confounding
- · No well-determined standard for appropriateness of ECG monitoring in patients on azoles
- Lack of clinical outcome data (i e Torsades de Pointes mortality)