# Benchmarking the Use of New β-lactams Utilizing a Novel Metric of Microbiological Burden



Y. Vivian Tsai, PharmD<sup>1,2</sup>; P. Brandon Bookstaver, PharmD<sup>1,2</sup>; Joseph Kohn, PharmD<sup>1</sup>; Julie Ann Justo, PharmD, MS<sup>1,2</sup>; Darrell Childress, PharmD<sup>4</sup>; Stefanie Stramel, PharmD, MS<sup>5</sup>; Douglas Slain, PharmD<sup>6</sup>; Patrick Tu, PharmD<sup>7</sup>; Mary Joyce B. Wingler, PharmD<sup>8</sup>; Bruce M. Jones, PharmD<sup>9</sup>; Daniel T. Anderson, PharmD<sup>10</sup>; Megan M. Seddon, PharmD<sup>11</sup>; Geneen Gibson, PharmD, MS<sup>9</sup>; David A. Cretella, PharmD<sup>8</sup>; Joshua Eudy, PharmD<sup>10</sup>; Hana R. Winders, PharmD<sup>1</sup>; Kayla Antosz, PharmD<sup>1,2</sup>; Pamela Bailey, DO, MPH<sup>1,3</sup>; Majdi N. Al-Hasan, MBBS<sup>1,3</sup>

Poster # 408

1 Prisma Health-Midlands, 2 University of South Carolina College of Pharmacy, 3 University of South Carolina School of Medicine, 4 East Alabama Health, 5 Memorial Hermann Memorial City Medical Center, 6 West Virginia University, 7 Charlie Norwood VA Medical Center, 8 University of Mississippi Medical Center, 9 St. Joseph's/Candler Health System, 10 Augusta University Medical Center, 11 Sarasota Memorial Health Care System

	BACKGROUND			RESULTS		
Several methods are used to account for various hospital characteristics when comparing antimicrobial use (AU)			Table 1. Hospital Characteristics			
between heterogenous hospitals			State	Bed Size	Automated System	EHR System
Recently, a new antimicrobial stewardship metric that adjusts AU by microbiological burden of the bacteria was proposed <b>[Figure 1]</b> , where $AU_{local}$ is the raw AU of antibiotic of interest ( <i>i.e.</i> new $\beta$ -lactams) at a particular local institution, $I_{local}$ is the incidence of the relevant organism(s) ( <i>i.e.</i> Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) with difficulty-to-treat resistance (DTR)) at that local institution, and $I_{overall}$ is its average incidence within the overall network or region ( <i>i.e.</i> all Gram-negative bacteria)		ical bundan af tha baatania waa	Alabama	200-500	MicroScan	Cerner
		Florida	≥501	Vitek II	Allscripts	
		Georgia	≤200	MicroScan	CPRS	
		Georgia	201-500	Vitek II	Meditech	
			Georgia	≥501	Vitek II	Cerner
Figure 1. Adjusted Antibiotic Use (a-AU) Equation		Mississippi	≥501	Vitek II	Epic	
			South Carolina	≥501	Vitek II	Cerner
$Adjusted AU = \frac{AU_{local}}{(\frac{I_{local}}{I_{overall}})}$			South Carolina	201-500	Vitek II	Cerner
			Texas	201-500	MicroScan	Cerner
			West Virginia	≥501	Vitek II	Epic
		Abbreviations: EHR, electronic health record				
The primary objective of this study was to examine the use of new β-lactams for Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) with difficult-to-treat resistance (DTR) by both AU and a-AU metrics in the southeastern United States			<ul> <li>Cumulative mean AU days present</li> </ul>	from 2015 to 2020 w	vere 1.91 (range 0.1 to 6.4	4) DOT/1,000 patient-
METHODS		<ul> <li>Cumulative mean a-A days present</li> </ul>	U from 2015 to 2020	were 2.36 (range 0.22 to	8.83) DOT/1,000 patie	
				CONCL	USIONS	
Study Design	<ul> <li>Multicenter, retrospective, cohort study</li> <li>10 hospitals geographically spread within the Souther (SERGE-45) between 2015-2020</li> <li>Each participating hospital was randomly assigned a</li> </ul>	eastern Research Group Endeavor number in the study	<ul> <li>Overall, AU of microbiologica in relationship</li> </ul>	new β-lactams in I burden, suggest to observed prev	creased after adjust ting potential higher valence of GNB with	ing for local use of antibiotics DTR
Data Collection	<ul> <li>Incidence of GNB with DTR at each hospital</li> <li>Cumulative AU of new β-lactams: ceftolozane/tazoba cefiderocol, meropenem/vaborbactam, and imipene</li> <li>AU = DOT per 1,000 patient-days present</li> </ul>	actam, ceftazidime/avibactam, em/cilastatin/relebactam	<ul> <li>More than half of hospitals had shift in ranking after microbiological adjustment reflecting more balanced comparison of antibiotic use across heterogenous hospitals</li> <li>Adjusting antimicrobial use by microbiological burden is a valid method to evaluate the use of new β-lactams for GNB with DTR in a multi-</li> </ul>			
Key Definitions	<ul> <li>GNB with DTR: Enterobacterales, Pseudomonas aeru Acinetobacter species nonsusceptible to all of the for cephalosporins (either ceftazidime or cefepime), car imipenem/cilastatin), fluoroquinolones (either ciprot piperacillin/tazobactam (if tested/reported)</li> </ul>	ginosa (P. aeruginosa), and llowing: extended-spectrum bapenems (either meropenem or floxacin or levofloxacin), and	<ol> <li>hospital networ</li> <li>hospital networ</li> <li>Barlam, et al. Clin Infect Dis 2016;62:e53</li> <li>Polk PE, et al. Clin Infect Dis 2007;44:664</li> <li>Morris AM, et al. Currt Treat Options Inf</li> <li>Al-Hasan MN, et al. Antibiotics (Basel) 2</li> </ol>	k <b>REFERENCES</b>		
Statistical Analysis	<ul> <li>Hospitals were ranked by AU and a-AU from lowest t</li> <li>Descriptive statistics were utilized</li> </ul>	o highest	5. Winders HR, et al. Infect Control Hosp E The authors of this presentation have no o commerical entities that may have a direct The contents do not represent the views of	pidemiol 2021;42:668 <b>DISCLOSURES</b> disclosures concerning possible finance t or indirect interest in the subject material of the U.S. Department of Veterans Af	cial or personal relationships with atter of this presentation fairs or the United States Government	





### Figure 2. Comparison of cumulative AU and a-AU of new β-lactams, ranked by AU from lowest to highest, 2015-2020



# highest, 2015-2020



## IDWeek 19-23 Oct 2022, Washington, D.C.



## RESULTS

### Figure 3. Comparison of cumulative AU and a-AU of new β-lactams, ranked by a-AU from lowest to