Procalcitonin and D-dimer levels at baseline, but not CRP, were informative of COVID-19 hospitalization outcomes

Trini Mathew, MD, MPH, FACP, FIDSA,¹ Julie George MS,² Christine N. Yost, Pharm.D, BCIDP,³ Mustafa Deebajah, MD,⁴ Paul Johnson, MD,¹ James Huang, MD,⁴ Christopher Carpenter, MD, MHSA, FACP, FIDSA¹

¹Section of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, Michigan & Oakland University William Beaumont School of Medicine, Rochester, Michigan; ²Department of Biostatistics, Beaumont Research Institute, ³Department of Pharmacy, ⁴Department of Pathology; Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, Michigan

INTRODUCTION & GOALS

- The WHO estimated 512 million cases of COVID-19 and 6.2 million deaths globally as of May 4th, 2022¹
- As of October 3rd, 2022, estimates increased to 615 million cases and 6.5 million deaths¹
- In Michigan (MI) the first case was diagnosed March 10th, 2020³
- We describe here outcomes of COVID-19 patients cared for in a large tertiary hospital in 2020 spanning two surges based on baseline lab values for Creactive protein (CRP), Procalcitonin (PC), and D-Dimer (DD)

MATERIALS & METHODS

- Adult non-pregnant patients diagnosed via PCR with COVID-19 during the two surges in 2020 and admitted to Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, an 1,131 beds tertiary care referral center in MI, were reviewed
- Demographic, clinical and laboratory characteristics were obtained from the EMR
- ICD-10 classification diagnoses were utilized to identify comorbidities, and patient BMIs were based on the admission values in the EMR
- Outcomes were defined as death during current admission, transfer to nursing home or other facility, or discharge home
- Using a tree-based model and the combined levels of the three labs, we defined a hierarchy of four lab levels, each progressively having increased risk of death
- We then analyzed the outcome for the four levels, adjusting for time period (surge), age, sex, race, BMI and comorbidities
- Data was analyzed using SAS statistical software version 9.4 (SAS Institute). • Beaumont Health IRB approved this study

RESULTS

- A total of 2197 patients were identified from March through December 2020, of whom 1118 had CRP, PC and DD available at baseline
- The mean age was 66.7 years (SD 16.1) for the cohort in first surge (March-June), and 66.4 (15.6) in the latter surge (July-December, Table1)
- More patients had a PC of >0.5 in the first surge (25.7%) than the second (13.2%)
- After adjusting for all other factors, the hierarchical lab levels are significantly associated with outcomes:
 - Baseline CRP value was not informative
 - Compared to the 2nd level (Table 2), the lowest level (PC < 0.1) has significantly lower odds of death [OR=0.37, 95% CI (0.19, 0.73)], while the highest level, (DD >1000 and PC \ge 0.26) has significantly higher odds of death [OR=3.01, 95% CI (1.59, 5.72)]

TABLE1. COVID 19 patients with complete lab data

Patient Characteristics

Age. Mean & SD

Sex

Race (Missing, n=2)

Black

BMI categories Underweight (<18.5) Healthy weight (18.5 - 24.9) Overweight (25.0 - 29.9) 142 Obesity (≥30.0)

Comorbidities

Renal

Lab data available

CRI Mild (<9)

DDimer

Procalcitoni

Antibiotics strongly discouraged (<0.1) Antibiotics discouraged (≥0.1, ≤0.25) Antibiotics encouraged (≥0.26, ≤0.5) Antibiotics strongly encouraged (>0.5) 135

Assessing any differences in those who had baseline labs

nparison of periods of admission RP. DDimer and Procalcitonin (48hr)		Jan '20 - Jun '20					Jul '20 - Dec '20				
		Yes		1	No	-	Yes		No		
,	_	525	45.6%	626	54.4%	p-value	593	56.7%	453	43.3%	p-value
Age											
	Mean, SD	66.7	16.1	67.0	17.2	0.488	64.4	15.6	63.0	18.6	0.008
Sex						0.144					<0.001
	Female	249	47.4%	324	51.8%		241	40.6%	235	51.9%	
	Male	276	52.6%	302	48.2%		352	59.4%	218	48.1%	
Race	((Missing, n=2)			0.002	(Missing, n	(Missing, n=2)			0.042	
	Black	237	45.1%	350	55.9%		118	19.9%	117	25.8%	
	White	233	44.4%	215	34.3%		377	63.6%	283	62.5%	
	Asian	14	2.7%	13	2.1%		17	2.9%	12	2.6%	
	Other	41	7.8%	46	7.3%		79	13.3%	41	9.1%	
BMI	(Missing, n	=47)				(Missing, n=20)				
	Mean, SD	30.9	9.1	30.5	7.8	0.967	31.7	7.9	30.0	7.9	<0.001
Comorbidities	5										
	Cardiac	312	59.4%	331	52.9%	0.026	294	49.6%	219	48.3%	0.692
	Diabetes	126	24.0%	162	25.9%	0.464	130	21.9%	89	19.6%	0.370
	Pulmonary	92	17.5%	92	14.7%	0.192	94	15.9%	58	12.8%	0.166
	Neurologic	52	9.9%	53	8.5%	0.399	38	6.4%	27	6.0%	0.766
	Cancer	90	17.1%	98	15.7%	0.496	94	15.9%	70	15.5%	0.860
	Renal	64	12.2%	83	13.3%	0.589	59	9.9%	37	8.2%	0.323

Overall ra Lab marker level* 352 59.4% B (ref 19.9% 63.6% 16.2% Time period Jan -Jul - Dec (re 1.0% Age, Mean & SD 18.2% 108 114 27.2% 161 27.0% Sex 52.4% 231 311 44.0% Female (1.2% 4.6% **Race** (*Missing*, *n*=2) 49.6% 21.9% 130 White (r 15.9% 6.4% 15.9% **BMI categories** 59 9.9% Underweight (<18 Healthy wt (18.5 - 24.9) (re Overweight (25.0 - 29. 10.5% Obesity (≥30. 55.8% 331 230 33.7% 52.2% 200 274 Comorbidities (ref for each i Cardi Diabet 130 21.9% Pulmona 38.6% Neurolog 234 39.5% Canc 51.8% 307 152 26.8% 159 151 28.8% 49 8.3% 16.6% 13.2% 78 25.7%

COVID-19 hospitalization



- . WHO COVID 19 Dashboard:
- 2. Michigan Dept. of Health:



Beaumont



IDWeek 2022 Abstract # 1265342

TABLE 2. Analysis of COVID 19 hospitalizations

		Final	Outcome -	Rates	Final Out	Final Outcome - Adjusted Odds Ratios & 95% CI					
			Transf to								
	Ν	Died	Sk Fac	Home	C	Died		Transfer to Sk Fac			
te	1118	15.1%	18.7%	66.2%							
A	459	5.4%	14.2%	80.4%	0.37	(0.19, 0.73)	0.57	(0.33, 1.00)			
ef)	143	15.4%	28.7%	55.9%	1.00		1.00				
С	290	16.2%	15.9%	67.9%	1.15	(0.61, 2.18)	0.67	(0.38, 1.19)			
D	226	33.2%	25.2%	41.6%	3.01	(1.59, 5.72)	1.18	(0.65, 2.14)			
JN	525	20.6%	28.4%	51.0%	3.14	(2.05, 4.81)	4.93	(3.31, 7.34)			
ef)	<mark>59</mark> 3	10.3%	10.1%	79.6%	1.00		1.00				
		75.6, 12.6	74.1, 13.5	62.3, 15.4	1.09	(1.07, 1.11)	1.06	(1.04, 1.08)			
ef)	490	13.7%	19.2%	67.1%	1.00		1.00				
le	628	16.2%	18.3%	65.4%	1.41	(0.94, 2.12)	1.33	(0.92, 1.94)			
ck	355	17.2%	19.4%	64.2%	0.95	(0.60, 1.52)	0.76	(0.49, 1.16)			
ef)	610	13.4%	20.2%	66.4%	1.00		1.00				
er	151	17.2%	11.3%	71.5%	1.55	(0.87, 2.76)	0.65	(0.35, 1.21)			
5)	20	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	1.92	(0.44, 8.33)	2.59	(0.71, 9.39)			
ef)	222	15.3%	27.9%	56.8%	1.00		1.00				
9)	303	16.5%	15.8%	67.7%	1.47	(0.84, 2.59)	0.76	(0.46, 1.26)			
0)	542	14.2%	14.0%	71.8%	2.12	(1.22, 3.70)	0.89	(0.55 <i>,</i> 1.45)			
ng	31	9.7%	41.9%	48.4%	left missing BN	11 out of model					
'No	o')										
ас	606	19.3%	22.6%	58.1%	1.42	(0.90, 2.23)	1.19	(0.79, 1.80)			
es	256	16.8%	24.2%	59.0%	1.02	(0.64, 1.63)	1.58	(1.03, 2.43)			
ry	186	16.7%	22.6%	60.8%	1.30	(0.76, 2.21)	1.51	(0.94, 2.41)			
gic	90	23.3%	36.7%	40.0%	1.65	(0.85, 3.20)	2.17	(1.21, 3.87)			
er	184	17.4%	19.0%	63.6%	0.80	(0.48, 1.35)	0.63	(0.38, 1.03)			
al	123	25.2%	27.6%	47.2%	1.30	(0.74, 2.29)	1.34	(0.77, 2.32)			

* Marker levels (PC=Procalcitonin, DD=DDimer)

A PC<0.1

B 0.1≤PC≤0.25, DD≥1000

C PC≥0.1, DD<1000

D PC>0.25, DD≥1000

CONCLUSIONS

Baseline PC and DD, but not CRP, were informative in determining risk of death and can assist clinicians determine possible outcomes during

https://covid19.who.int/. Last accessed 10/3/2022

https://www.michigan.gov/coronavirus/News/202 0/03/10/michigan-announces-first-presumptivepositive-cases-of-covid-19-governor-whitmerdeclares-a-state Last accessed 10/3/2022.

