

Analysis of an Automated Letter HCV Screening Program within a Veterans Affairs Health System: Implications for Universal HCV Screening

Lucas Harjono, PharmD¹, Yash Motwani, BS², Tien Dong, MD, PhD², Jihane Benhammou, MD, PhD^{1,2}, Matthew Goetz, MD¹, Jenna Kawamoto, PharmD¹, Arpan Patel, MD PhD^{1,2}, Debika Bhattacharya, MD, MSc^{1,2}

Background

- Universal HCV screening was recommended in the U.S. in 2020
- Optimal implementation method of universal HCV screening is unknown
- The objective of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of an automated letter screening Affairs (VA) Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System (VAGLAHS) and evaluate associ care

Methods

- From January 2017 to May 2020, Veterans within the VAGLAHS and born between were identified if no HCV antibody result within the last 10 years
- Veterans could present their letter to a VA laboratory for HCV Ab testing, including re
- Those with HCV viremia were referred to ID/GI clinics for initiation of HCV treatmer characteristics were collected
- The first HCV visit was defined as the first clinical visit to ID or GI specialty clinic for treatment
- Independent chi-square tests were performed to determine associations with linkage

HCV Screening and Linkage to Care Process:



Results									
Table 1: Efficacy of Birth Cohort Letter Screening Program									
Year	# letters mailed	#HCV Ab tested	# positive HCV Ab	# positive HCV VL	% HCV viremic (of HCV Ab positive) HCV VL prevalence	% HCV viremic (of all letters mailed)			
2017	2478	1332	34 (2.5%)	10	29.4%	0.40%			
2018	6276	1741	80 (4.6%)	42	52.5%	0.67%			
2019	2482	833	44 (5.3%)	14	31.8%	0.56%			
2020	1639	105	9 (8.6%)	3	33.3%	0.18%			
Total	12,875	4,011	167 (4.2%)	69	41.3%	0.54%			

• 12,875 Veterans were identified, and 4,011 (31%) Veterans presented for HCV Ab testing

• 4.2% of those who tested were HCV antibody positive

• 41.3% of those who were HCV antibody positive were HCV viremic

¹Department of Medicine, Veterans Affairs Greater Los Angeles, CA, USA ² David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA USA

g program at the Veteran's ciations with linkage to HCV	
1945-1965 (birth cohort)	
eflex HCV viral load	
nt where baseline	F
evaluation and/or	
e to care	
remains	

Table 2: Baseline Characteristics of HCV Viremic Veterans (N=69)					
	Median [IQR] or N (%)				
Age (years)	63 [60, 65]				
Male	65 (94.2)				
Race/Ethnicity					
White	34 (49.3)				
African American	18 (26.1)				
Other	17 (24.6)				
Housing Status					
Stable Housing	43 (62.3)				
Other	26 (37.7)				
Patient Distance from WLA VA (miles)	27.9 [11.71, 93.75]				
Cirrhosis	12 (17.4)				
Opioid Use Disorder	7 (10.1)				
Alcohol Use Disorder	20 (29.0)				
HCV Genotype					
Genotype 1	42 (60.9)				
Genotype 3	12 (17.5)				
Other	15 (21.8)				
Treatment Naïve	65 (94.2)				
Race/ethnicity 'Other' includes those who identified as Multiracial or Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander (n=2), and included those who declined to answer (n=15) HCV Genotype 'Other' includes those who did not obtain results or whose results are pending					

- Median age was 63 years,
- majority were male (94.2%),
- almost half (49.3%) were White
- 26.1% were African American
- Median patient distance from VA clinic was 27.9 miles, 24% lived >90 miles from nearest VA clinic
- Majority had stable housing (62.3%), 17.4% had cirrhosis, and 10.1% had an opioid use disorder



Results



Cascade of HCV Care:

Age (years) Male Race/Ethnicity White African American Other Housing Status Stable Other **Patient Distance from** (miles) Cirrhosis **Opioid Use Disorder Alcohol Use Disorder**

Catherine Brandon and Rabia Syed for assistance with poster preparation.

1. "HCV Guidance: Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C." Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C | HCV Guidance, American Association for the Study of Liver Disease (AASLD), https://www.hcvguidelines.org/.

Contact Information: Debika Bhattacharya MD debikab@mednet.ucla.edu debika.bhattacharya@va.gov

Results

• Of 69 Veterans with HCV viremia identified, 80% attended a first HCV visit • 84% of those who with a first HCV visit initiated HCV DAAs • 93% of those who initiated DAAs completed the regimen • 93% of those who completed DAAs made it to SVR

Table 3: Proportion of HCV Viremic Veterans Attending First HCV Visit (N=69)

	Attended first visit	Did not attend first visit	
	Median [IQR] or N (%)	Median [IQR] or N (%)	P-Value
	63 [59, 65]	63 [60, 65]	0.47
	51 (73.91)	14 (20.29)	0.30
			0.80
	26 (37.68)	8 (11.59)	
	15 (21.74)	3 (4.35)	
	14 (20.29)	3 (4.35)	
			0.02
	38 (55.07)	5 (7.25)	
	17 (24.64)	9 (13.04)	
WLA VA	24.64 [9.47, 85.71]	61.51 [15.79, 97.29]	0.06
	12 (17.39)	0 (0.00)	0.05
	4 (5.80)	3 (4.35)	0.49
	17 (24.64)	3 (4.35)	0.12

Race/ethnicity 'Other' includes those who identified as Multiracial or Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander (n=2), and included those who declined to answer (n=15)

• Housing status and cirrhosis were associated with linkage to first HCV visit • Living at a greater distance to clinic trended towards an association with non-linkage to first HCV visit

Conclusions

• One third of Veterans in the birth cohort approached via mail participated in HCV Ab testing

• In this cohort, overall HCV Ab positivity rates were 4% and nearly half had HCV viremia

• Majority of Veterans were linked to care but housing status and cirrhosis were associated with linkage to first HCV visit. Distance from clinic trended towards non-linkage to care.

• Automated letter screening may be an important implementation tool for universal HCV screening

• Methods to facilitate remote evaluation and therapy, i.e telehealth and e-consults will be important for those with marginalized housing status and other barriers to care.

GILEAD

Creating Possible

Acknowledgments

References