

## Implementation of a Rapid HIV Screening Program in the Emergency Department

Matthew R. Geringer<sup>1</sup>, Brooke A. Lajeunesse<sup>2</sup>, Caitlin C. Bettger<sup>3</sup>, Elizabeth A. Markelz<sup>1</sup>, Miguel A Arroyocazurro<sup>4</sup>, Wesley E. Trueblood<sup>2</sup>, and Jason F. Okulicz<sup>1</sup> Infectious Disease Service, Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, Texas, USA<sup>1</sup>; Emergency Medicine, Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, Texas, USA<sup>2</sup>; Internal Medicine, Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, USA<sup>3</sup>; Department of Pathology and Area Laboratory Services, Fort Sam Houston, Texas, USA<sup>4</sup>

## Background

	$\sim$	
•	Guidelines recommend that human	Table 1
	immunodeficiency virus (HIV) screening be	
	performed for all patients evaluated for	
	sexually transmitted infections (STIs)	Age in y
	Current practice in the Brooke Army Medical	Gender
•	•	Active of
	Center (BAMC) Emergency Department (ED)	STI chie
	is to defer HIV screening to Primary Care	Positive GC
	Managers (PCMs)	CT
	<ul> <li>However, patients may not follow up with</li> </ul>	CT an
	PCMs or the PCM may not perform HIV	Empiric
	screening at follow-up	HIV scre
•	Missed opportunities for HIV screening may	Posit
	delay HIV diagnosis and can result in forward	No HIV
	transmission of HIV to additional sex partners	PCM
	Aim	PCM
•	To implement rapid HIV testing in the BAMC	*2 patie
	ED for patients with STI complaints	Table 2
Methods		
	Pre-intervention period (Aug – Oct 2021)	HIV scre
	included usual practice in the BAMC ED	STI chie
•	Post-intervention period (Dec 2021 – Feb	HIV scre
	2022) implemented rapid testing with the	No HIV
	Determine™ HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Combo test	PCM f
•	Patients with Neisseria gonorrhea/Chlamydia	
	trachomatis (GC/CT) tests in the ED were	PCM I
	selected to assess HIV screening practices	 The
	before and after the intervention	C

R	lesults				
1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of ED Patients Screened for GC/CT					
Characteristics	<b>Pre-intervention</b>	Post-intervention			
	(N= 303)	(N= 268)			
years, (median, IQR)	25 (21-33)	26 (22-34)			
er, female (%)	216 (71.3)	183 (68.3)			
duty (%)	148 (48.8)	144 (53.7)			
ief complaint (%)	41 (13.5)	46 (17.2)			
/e GC/CT	41 (13.5)	29 (10.8)			
	10	6			
	29	20			
nd GC	2	3			
ic GC/CT treatment (%)	116 (38.3)	92 (34.3)			
reen in ED (%)	13 (4.3)	53* (19.8)			
itive HIV test	0	0			
/ screen in ED (%)	290 (95.7)	215 (80.2)			
1 follow-up next 30 days (%)	60 (20.7)	73 (34)			
	(N=290)	(N=215)			
1 HIV testing next 30 days (%)	18 (6.2)	18 (8.4)			
	(N=290)	(N=215)			
ients were offered HIV testing but declin	ed				
2. Characteristics of ED Patients Empirica	Ily Treated for GC/CT	-			
Characteristics	<b>Pre-intervention</b>	Post-intervention			
	(N=103)	(N=92)			
reen in ED (%)	10 (9.7)	28 (30.4)			
ief complaint (%)	35 (34)	29 (33)			
reen in ED if STI chief complaint (%)	7 (6.8)	11 (12)			
/ screen in ED if STI chief complaint (%)	28 (27.2)	18 (19.6)			
follow-up next 30 days (%)	4 (14.3)	4 (22.2)			
	(N=28)	(N=18)			
HIV testing next 30 days (%)	3 (10.7)	2 (11.1)			
	(N=28)	(N=18)			
he view(s) expressed herein are those of the author(s) and	do not reflect the official pali	aver position of Brooke			

he view(s) expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or position of Brooke A Center, the U.S. Army Medical Department, the U.S. Army Office of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Department of the Air Force and Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.



		Education
on	P-value 0.131 0.435 0.244	<ul> <li>ED providers were educated to screet using the rapid test for patients with complaints</li> <li>In-person educational provider briet</li> <li>Periodic email reminders</li> </ul>
	0.228 0.324	<ul> <li>Fliers posted at workstations</li> </ul>
	0.524	Discussion
	1.00 0.642 0.327 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.350	<ul> <li>Pre-intervention HIV testing not routinely performed in the ED or at PCM follow-up</li> <li>Despite empiric treatment for GC/CT low rates of HIV testing and PCM follow-up</li> <li>ED screening improved after interventio</li> <li>&gt;4-fold increase overall</li> <li>3-fold increase among those empirica treated for GC/CT</li> <li>HIV testing at time of STI evaluation consistent with current guidelines</li> </ul>
on	P-value	Continued Improvement
	0.001	
	< 0.001 0.715	<ul> <li>Recommend HIV testing for all patient's</li> </ul>
	0.713	empirically treated for GC/CT
	0.210	<ul> <li>Continued education to ED providers</li> <li>Individualized feedback to providers</li> <li>Discuss with PCMs about HIV and other</li> </ul>
	0.693	
	1.00	testing (syphilis, hepatitis B/C, and 3-site GC/CT (rectum, oropharyngeal, and urir
Army Medical le Army, the		during outpatient follow-up







