

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on hospital-acquired infections at a comprehensive cancer center





# Rita Wilson Dib, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Amy Spallone, MD<sup>1</sup>, Fareed Khawaja, MBBS<sup>1</sup>, Roy F Chemaly, MD, MPH<sup>1</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, Infection Control, and Employee Health, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA. Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, Texas, USA.



## 1209

#### BACKGROUND & RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

- Robust infection control (IC) measures were deployed across healthcare institutions at the start of the COVID-19 pandemic, resulting in increased use of personal protective equipment (PPE), enhanced contact precautions, and emphasis on hand hygiene
- The impact of these IC measures on the rates of hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) at our cancer center, such as multidrugresistant organisms (MDROs), device-related infections (DRIs), Clostridium difficile infection (CDI), and respiratory viral infections (RVIs), is not known
- Here, we evaluated the effect of the enhanced IC practices on the occurrence of HAIs in our comprehensive cancer center

#### METHODS

- We analyzed the monthly HAIs rates from September 2017 through March 2022, including 42 months of pre-pandemic (September 2016-February 2020) data and 24 months of data during the pandemic (March 2020-August 2021)
- Reported HAIs were calculated using denominators of patient days for CDI and MDROs, per 1,000 admissions for RVIs, and catheter days for DRIs. The incidence rate ratios (IRR) were calculated for all HAIs.
- Univariate and multivariate Poisson regression analysis was preformed to evaluate the impact of antibiotic days and surge periods on the incidence rate of the different studies nosocomial infections
- IC precautions during COVID-19 surge periods were enhanced by augmenting visitation hours and mandated face shield utilization

#### RESULTS

Figure 1. (A) Nosocomial respiratory viral infections diagnosed at MD Anderson Cancer Center prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic and (B) a timeline of house-wide COVID-19specific personal protective equipment (PPE) implementation



#### Table 1. Comparison of hospital-acquired infection incidence rates during pre-pandemic and pandemic periods.

Type of HAI	Pandemic (IR)	Pre-pandemic (IR)	IRR	95% CI	P value
MDROs Total	0.74	0.66	1.12	0.97-1.29	0.13
ESBL	0.37	0.31	1.20	0.97-1.47	0.08
PsA	0.04	0.03	1.3	0.71-2.41	0.37
CRE	0.05	0.05	0.99	0.56-1.73	0.96
LabID-MRSA	0.21	0.17	1.22	0.92-1.83	0.15
VRE	0.06	0.10	0.67	0.42-1.05	0.07
LabID-CDI	4.31	6.58	0.65	0.55-0.78	< 0.001
Device Related Infections					
CAUTI	0.66	0.75	0.88	0.61-1.27	0.45
VAE	1.82	3.10	0.60	0.32-1.08	0.08
CLABSI	0.32	0.52	0.63	0.48-0.81	0.0003
RVI Total	1.90	5.24	0.36	0.30-0.44	<0.0001
RSV	0.15	0.51	0.30	0.15-0.61	0.0006
Influenza	0.24	0.50	0.50	0.27-0.88	0.015
Parainfluenza	0.34	1.21	0.28	0.18-0.45	<0.0001
Rhinovirus	0.50	1.91	0.26	0.18-0.38	< 0.0001
Metapneumovirus	0.05	0.19	0.24	0.07-0.84	<0.023
Other Viruses	0.18	0.91	0.21	0.11-0.38	<0.0001

### CONCLUSIONS

- Implementing strict IC measures during the COVID-19 pandemic in our cancer center led to a significant decrease in many HAIs and a reduction in nosocomial RVIs.
- Apart from a total and individual reduction of RVI

Abbreviations. IR, incidence rate; IRR, incidence rate ratio; MDRO, multidrug-resistant organisms; ESBL, extended spectrum betalactamase; PsA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; CRE, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales; LabID, laboratory identified; MRSA, methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus; CDI, Clostridium difficile infection; CAUTI, catheter-associated urinary tract infection; VAE, ventilatorassociated events; CLABSI, catheter-associated bloodstream infection; RVI, respiratory viral infections; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus.

**Table 2.** The impact of antibiotic days on incidence density of Li-CDI byPoisson regression analysis

Antibiotic	<b>Crude Ratio</b> (per 10-day increase)	95% CI	p-value		
Meropenem	0.88	0.76 to 1.01	0.077		
Ertapenem	1.57	1.18 to 2.10	0.003		
Cefepime	0.88	0.84 to 0.92	< .0001		
Ceftriaxone	0.63	0.52 to 0.77	< .0001		
Pip/ Tazo	1.13	1.08 to 1.19	< .0001		
Levofloxacin	0.90	0.81 to 0.99	0.025		
Ciprofloxacin	1.29	1.19 to 1.40	< .0001		
Vancomycin	1.31	1.16 to 1.49	< .0001		
Daptomycin	0.87	0.73 to 1.04	0.13		
Linezolid	0.96	0.83 to 1.12	0.61		
bbreviations. Pip/Tazo, piperacillin/tazobactam; CI, confidence interval					

NI, we were not able to delineate the impact of specific IC measures (e.g., universal masking, face shields, hand hygiene) during COVID-19 surge periods

 Whether these enhanced measures, such as masking at all times as part of patient care, are needed during the upcoming respiratory viral seasons is not known.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to our Infection Preventionists: Jalen Bartek, Sherry Cantu, Tanya Dvorak, Adina Feldman, Hilary McMurry, Laila Nahavandi, Kim Nguyen, Crystal Odom, Amy Hankins, Linda Graviss; our ID PharmD associate: Patrick McDaneld; and biostatistician: Ying Jiang

- Overall, CDI, CLABSI, and total RVI incidence was significantly decreased during the pandemic when compared to pre-pandemic rates
- Overall RVI decreased, as did all individual RVI which is likely due to universal masking and low community rates during the COVID-19 pandemic
- There was a trend of increased incidence across all MRDO, but no individual significance was detected
- Days of cefepime (p< .0001) and vancomycin (p=0.011) used were factors that were independently associated with the incidence rate of nosocomial Li-CDI by multivariate analysis; however, the association was inversely related
- Despite modifications to IC precautions during COVID-19 surges, a comparison the IR of nosocomial RVI between surge vs non-surge periods did not reveal any difference