Analysis of Co-Resistance Among Escherichia coli Urine Isolates From Female Outpatients in the United States

<u>Keith S. Kaye</u>¹, Vikas Gupta², Aruni Mulgirigama³, Ashish V. Joshi⁴, Nicole E. Scangarella-Oman⁴, Kalvin Yu², Janet Watts², Fanny S. Mitrani-Gold⁴

¹Rutgers - Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ²BD (Becton, Dickinson and Company), Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA; ³GSK, Surrey, UK; ⁴GSK, Collegeville, PA, USA

Introduction

- The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) Threats Report (2019) lists extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing (ESBL+) Enterobacterales as a serious health threat¹
- In the past 10 years, there has been a substantial increase in AMR and multi-drug resistance (MDR) among uropathogens from community-acquired uncomplicated urinary tract infections (uUTIs), including ESBL+ Enterobacterales²⁻⁴
- This study was designed to assess Escherichia coli (E. coli) urine culture isolates from female outpatients in the United States (US) for co-resistance, including AMR to 2, 3, and 4 drug classes

Methods

- This was a retrospective, cross-sectional study of 30-day non-duplicate *E. coli* urine culture isolates from female outpatients with presumed uUTI (≥ 12 years of age) at 304 US facilities
- Eligible patients had ≥ 3 months of data from 2011–2019, recorded in the BD (Becton, Dickinson and Company) Insights Research Database (Franklin Lakes, NJ USA)
- Index non-susceptible (NS) *E. coli* isolates from urine cultures were defined as follows:
 - ESBL+ by a commercial panel or NS (intermediate/resistant) to ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, or cefepime
 - NS to any of: fluoroquinolones (FQs),
 trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (SXT), or nitrofurantoin (NFT)⁶
- Microbiological co-resistance phenotypes were characterized in isolates NS to 2, 3, and 4 of the resistance phenotypes assessed
- Results are presented for all combinations of co-resistance evaluated, when starting with one resistance phenotype

Results

- In total, 1,513,882 non-duplicate (30-day) E. coli isolates were evaluated, of which 6.4% were ESBL+, and 21.1%, were NS to FQ, 25.4% were NS to SXT, and 3.7% were NS to NFT
 - 856,918 of isolates had at least 1 resistance phenotype

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 4. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic 5. Lob SH, et al. Disease Control and Prevention.
- 2. Chen HE, et al. *Antibiotics* 2020;9(8):E50.
- 3. Frazee BW, et al. *Ann Emerg Med* 2018;72(4):449–56.
 4. Lob SH, et al. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2016;85(4):459–65.
 Ganzeboom KMJ, et al. *Prim Health Care Res Dev* 2018;20:1–8.
- CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. M100 32nd Edition. CLSI guideline. M100. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: 2022.

- Among the ESBL+ non-duplicate outpatient (30-day) *E. coli* urine culture isolates evaluated (Table):
- 72.4% of isolates demonstrated co-resistance to FQ NS
- 56.7% of isolates demonstrated co-resistance to SXT NS
- 46.6% of isolates demonstrated co-resistance to FQ NS+SXT NS

Table. Co-Resistance Phenotype Combinations Observed Among *E. coli I*solates From Urine (2011–2019)

Starting resistance phenotype, n (%)		ESBL+	FQ NS	SXT NS	NFT NS	FQ NS + SXT NS	+	SXT NS + NFT NS	NS to all 4 phenotypes
ESBL+	96,306 (100)		69,687 (72.4)	54,626 (56.7)	11,433 (11.9)	44,879 (46.6)	9053 (9.4)	7676 (8.0)	6528 (6.8)
FQ NS	319,354 (100)	69,687 (21.8)		164,853 (51.6)	25,471 (8.0)			15,648 (4.9)	6528 (2.0)
SXT NS	384,304 (100)	54,626 (14.2)	164,853 (42.9)		26,179 (6.8)		15,756 (4.1)		6528 (1.7)
NFT NS	56,954 (100)	11,433 (20.1)	25,471 (44.7)	26,179 (46.0)		15,605 (27.4)			6528 (11.5)

Note: 856,918 non-duplicate (30-day) *E. coli* isolates (with at least 1 resistance phenotype) were evaluated. Some isolates had overlapping susceptibilities/antimicrobial resistance types, hence individual phenotype totals do not reflect total number of isolates evaluated. The categories are not mutually exclusive as the isolates are grouped based on the phenotype observed.

Please find the online version of this poster and accompanying audio by scanning the QR code or via http://tago.ca/_idw3





Results (continued)

- Of ESBL+ isolates (96,306), 72.4% were co-resistant to FQ, 56.7% to SXT, and 11.9% to NFT
- Of the ESBL+ isolates, 46.6% were co-resistant to FQ+SXT,
 9.4% to FQ+NFT, and 8.0% to SXT+NFT
- 6.8% of ESBL+ isolates (with resistance to > 1 phenotype)
 demonstrated co-resistance to all 4 phenotypes
- For FQ NS isolates (319,354), 21.8% were also ESBL+, 51.6% were co-resistant to SXT, and 8.0% to NFT
- Of the FQ NS isolates, 4.9% were co-resistant to SXT+NFT
- 2.0% of FQ NS isolates (with resistance to > 1 phenotype)
 demonstrated co-resistance to all 4 phenotypes
- For SXT NS isolates (384,304), 14.2% were also ESBL+, 42.9% were co-resistant to FQ, and 6.8% to NFT
- Of the SXT NS isolates, 4.1% were co-resistant to FQ+NFT
- 1.7% of SXT NS isolates (with resistance to > 1 phenotype)
 demonstrated co-resistance to all 4 phenotypes
- For NFT NS isolates (56,954), 20.1% were also ESBL+, 44.7% were co-resistant to FQ, and 46.0% to SXT
 - Of the NFT NS isolates, 27.4% were co-resistant to FQ+SXT
- 11.5% of NFT NS isolates (with resistance to > 1 phenotype)
 demonstrated co-resistance to all 4 phenotypes

Conclusions

- The data from this study demonstrate the high rates of co-resistance in isolates that are already resistant to one antibiotic, and indicate that the availability of effective oral treatments for uUTI are limited by antibiotic resistance
- These findings can be used to help inform appropriate empiric prescribing practices to optimize the treatment of uUTI, and highlight a key need for appropriate treatment of patients with uUTI in the future
- It is crucial to raise awareness among clinicians of current patterns of AMR to appropriately inform their treatment decisions and effectively serve their patients

Disclosures

KSK previously received symposia honoraria from GSK. VG, KY, and JW are employees of BD (VG and KY are shareholders), which received funding from GSK to conduct this study. AM, AVJ, NES-O, and FSM-G are employees of, and shareholders in, GSK. GSK sponsored study 212502. On behalf of all authors, an audio recording of this poster was prepared by KSK, who did not receive any payment for this presentation. Medical writing support for the development of this poster, associated audio script, and abstract, under direction of the authors, was provided by Suzan Maboane, MSc, and Fraser Shearer, PhD, of Ashfield MedComms, an Inizio company, and funded by GSK.

Presentation number: 2225, Session: UTIs IDWeek 2022 | October 19–23, 2022 | Washington, DC, USA