A Novel Informatics Tool to Detect Antibiotic Allergies Occurring After Cardiovascular Implantable Electronic Device Procedures

Samira Reyes Dassum¹, MD; Hillary J. Mull², PhD; Samuel Golenbock², MS; Rebecca P. Lamkin², MA; Isabella Epshtein², MPP; Marlena Shin², JD; Judith Strymish³, MD; Kimberly G. Blumenthal⁴, MD Msc; Kathryn Colborn⁵, PhD; Westyn Branch-Elliman^{2,3,6}, MD MMSc

1. Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Department of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, United States, 2. VA Boston Healthcare System, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Disease, Boston MA, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Boston Ma, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Boston Ma, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Boston Ma, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Medicine, Boston Ma, 4. Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Med Medicine, Division, of Rheumatology, Allergy, and Immunology, Boston, MA, 5. University of Colorado, Department of Surgery, Colorado, United States, 6. Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

BACKGROUND

ANTIBIOTICS are amongst the most common drugs associated with **HYPERSENSITIVITY** reactions in hospitalized patients.

Downstream **EFFECTS** of antibiotic allergy include:

- Longer length of stay
- Increased healthcare \$\$\$
- Use of broad-spectrum antibiotics
- Mortality

Antibiotic allergy MISLABELING is common and has negative downstream effects.

STANDARDIZED PROCESSES for identifying when allergic reactions occur and linking allergic reactions to drug exposures are LIMITED.

AIMS

Develop an ELECTRONIC FLAGGING TOOL using structured and unstructured data to identify antimicrobial allergic reactions at the time of their initial occurrence.

CHARACTERIZE the nature and severity of these reactions.

METHODOLOGY

Design:

Retrospective cohort study.

Inclusion:

Patients who underwent a CIED procedure and received an antibiotic within the national VA Healthcare System.

Methods:

- •Cohort was split into training and testing cohorts, and cases were manually reviewed to determine presence of an allergic reaction and severity of reaction.
- Variables potentially indicative of allergic reaction selected a priori and were analyzed using LASSO technique, and weighting of indicators of an allergic reaction was completed using logistic regression.
- A predictive model was then applied to the testing cohort and the algorithm test characteristics were assessed.

Primary Outcome:

Allergic reaction to antibiotic, including concordance between medical record review and the algorithm.







RESULTS

N=36,344 PATIENTS UNDERWENT CIED PROCEDURES 34,703 (95.5%) had an antibiotic exposure





Model Development and Validation:

Training dataset (n=17,350); 311 underwent manual review.

Variables associated with an allergic reaction on univariate analysis included:



EMR

(observed)



searches

in clinical

notes



antihistamine

or steroid

alone or in

combination





code for allergy entered +45 days post-procedure

PheCodes for symptoms affecting the skin, urticaria and allergy/adverse event to antibiotic

ROC CURVE FOR MODEL

0.25

0.50 0.75

Testing dataset (n = 17,353); 120 underwent manual review.

- •The final development model included seven variables of an allergic reaction during the 10-day period following antimicrobial exposure (Table 1).
- •In the chart reviewed sample, 87% (27 cases) of allergic reactions fell into the high probability category.
- The PPV of the final model in the test dataset was 61% and sensitivity of 87%.

Table 1: Final model logistic regression

| | Variables | OR (95% CI) | | | |
|----------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | Allergy in EMR | 42.37 | | | |
| | (historical) | (11.33 - 158.43) | | | |
| | Allegry in EMR | 175.10 | | | |
| | (observed) | (44.84 - 683.76) | | | |
| | PheCode symptoms | 8.49 | | | |
| | affecting the skin | (1.90 - 37.82) | | | |
| | PheCode urticaria | 7.01 | | | |
| | | (1.76 - 27.89) | | | |
| | PheCode allergy/adverse | 11.84 | | | |
| | event to antibiotic | (2.88 - 48.69) | | | |
| | Keyword searches | 3.21 | | | |
| | | (1.27 - 8.08) | | | |
| - ALLERY | Corticosteroid Receipt | 6.51 | | | |
| | | (1.90 - 22.30) | | | |

RESULTS



| | | Intervention | | | Allergic Reaction Severity | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|----------------|
| Antibiotic | Allergic Reaction (no./%) | ANTIHISTAMINE | CORTICOSTEROID | INTRAVENOUS EPINEPHRINE | MILD | MODERATE | SEVERE | INTUBATION/ICU |
| Cephalosporins | 42 (40%) | 21 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 25 | 6 | |
| Vancomycion | 24 (23%) | 8 | 10 | | 13 | 14 | | |
| Tetracycline | 9 (9%) | 4 | 3 | | 5 | | 5 | |
| Penicilin | 6 (6%) | 3 | 2 | | 3 | 1 | 2 | |
| Sulfa | 5 (5%) | 2 | 3 | | 1 | 2 | 2 | |
| Clindamycin | 8 (8%) | 4 | 2 | | 2 | 7 | 1 | |
| Daptomycin | 3 (3%) | 1 | | | 2 | | 1 | |
| Fluoroquinolone | 3 (3%) | 3 | 3 | | | 2 | 1 | |
| Carbapenem | 2 (2%) | | 2 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | |
| Macrolide | 2 (2%) | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 104 | 46 | 41 | 3 | 43 | 52 | 20 | 1 |

Nature and Severity of Allergic Reactions

- Most allergic reactions were classified as moderate in severity.
- Most allergic reactions (72%) from pre-procedural antibiotics occurred within the first week from CIED procedure.

LIMITATIONS

- Missed reactions outside of VA electronic medical record.
- False positives due to historic ICD-10-DM codes (e.g., history of a penicillin allergy) were copied forward in EHR documentation.
- <u>Cohort</u> included predominantly older white males as this reflects the VA population.

CONCLUSIONS

We DEVELOPED AND VALIDATED AN INFORMATICS MODEL using seven key variables composed of structured and unstructured data for the DETECTION OF ANTIBIOTIC ALLERGIC REACTIONS.

Model had a strong positive predictive value for detecting true antibiotic allergic

Tool could be operationalized to support antimicrobial stewardship by providing clinicians with real-time feedback about when allergies occur and promoting referral to allergy services.



