Evaluation of drug-drug interaction potential of ensitrelvir for CYP3A by clinical studies and physiologically-based pharmacokinetic model



ORyosuke Shimizu¹, Kana Horiuchi², Hiroki Koshimichi¹, Takanobu Matsuzaki², Shinpei Yoshida², Shingo Sakamoto², Ryuji Kubota¹ ¹ Clinical Pharmacology & Pharmacokinetics, Project Management Department, Shionogi & Co., Ltd. Osaka, Japan ² Laboratory for Drug Discovery and Development, Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan

Introduction and Purpose

- Ensitrelvir is a new drug candidate to treat COVID-19.
- According to the in vitro drug-drug interaction (DDI) study, time-dependent inhibition by ensitrelvir was observed on cytochrome P450 3A (CYP3A).
- The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of ensitrelvir on the pharmacokinetics (PK) of CYP3A substrates including midazolam (MDZ), dexamethasone (DEX), and prednisolone (PLS) by clinical DDI studies and physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) analyses.

Methods

1) Clinical studies:

• The effect of once daily multiple-dose of ensitrelvir with the loading dose on Day 1/ maintenance dose (750/250mg, suspension) for 6 days on the PK of MDZ was assessed, as follow.



 The effects of once daily multiple-doses of ensitrelvir with 750/250mg (tablet) for 5 days on the PK of DEX and PLS were also assessed. DEX or PLS were administered on Days -2, 5 (coadministration with ensitrelvir), 9 and 14 to evaluate the effects after the last dose of ensitrelyir, as follow.



2) PBPK analyses:

 The effects of once daily multiple-dose of ensitrelyir with expected therapeutic dose (the loading dose on Day 1/maintenance dose [375/125mg] for 5 days as tablet) on the PK of CYP3A substrates were predicted using Simcyp PBPK Simulator (Version 20, Certara UK Limited, UK)

3) Clinical study with expected therapeutic dose:

• To clarify the actual effect of ensitrelvir (375/125mg, tablet) on the PK of MDZ, the effect of once daily multiple-dose of ensitrelyir with 375/125mg for 5 days on the PK of MDZ was assessed





Time (hr

Fig.2 PK profile of dexamethasone (Left) and prednisolone (Right)



Table.2 Result of statistical analysis for DDI with dexamethasone

	Coadministration with ensitrelvir (Day 5) / Dexamethasone alone (Day -2)			5 th Day afte (Day 9) / Dex	er the last ensi amethasone a	trelvir dose Ilone (Day -2)	10 th Day after the last ensitrelvir dose (Day 14) / Dexamethasone alone (Day -2)		
	GLS Mean Ratio	90% CIs of Ratio		GLS Mean	90% CIs of Ratio		GLS Mean	90% CIs of Ratio	
Parameters		Lower	Upper	Ratio	Lower	Upper	Ratio	Lower	Upper
C _{max}	1.47	1.30	1.67	1.24	1.09	1.40	1.17	1.04	1.33
AUC _{0-last}	3.18	2.96	3.42	2.45	2.28	2.63	1.56	1.45	1.68
AUC _{0-inf}	3.47	3.23	3.72	2.38	2.23	2.54	1.58	1.47	1.70

Table.3 Result of statistical analysis for DDI with Prednisolone

Coadministration with ensitrelvir (Day 5) / Prednisolone alone (Day -2)			5 th Day after the last ensitrelvir dose (Day 9) / Prednisolone alone (Day -2)			10 th Day after the last ensitrelvir dose (Day 14) / Prednisolone alone (Day -2)		
90% CIs of Ratio		GLS Mean	90% CIs of Ratio		GLS Mean	90% CIs of Ratio		
Lower	Upper	Ratio	Lower	Upper	Ratio	Lower	Upper	
1.00	1.24	1.10	0.99	1.22	0.99	0.89	1.10	
1.21	1.28	1.11	1.08	1.15	1.03	1.00	1.06	
1.22	1.28	1.12	1.10	1.15	1.04	1.01	1.07	
	ion with ensition of the solone alone alone alone alone alone 90% Cls Lower 1.00 1.21 1.22	ion with ensitreivir (Day S) iolone aloure (Day -2) 90% CIs of Ratio Lower Upper 1.00 1.24 1.21 1.28 1.22 1.28	ion with ensitreivir (Day 5) 5 th Day aftr (Day 9) / Pn 90% CI → 720 GLS Mean Ratio 1.00 1.24 1.10 1.21 1.28 1.11 1.22 1.28 1.12	ion with ensitreivir (Day 5) 5 th Day after the last ensite g00ve alone (Day - 2) S th Day after the last ensite g00ve list of Ratio GLS Mean Lower Upper Ratio 1.00 1.24 1.10 1.21 1.28 1.11 1.22 1.28 1.12	ion with ensitr∈Vir (Day 5) solone alone (Day -2) 5 th Day after the last ensitretVir dose (Day 9) / Predrisolone alone. (Day -2) 90% CIs of Ratio 00% CIs of Ratio 00% CIs of Ratio Lower Upper Ratio 0.09% 1.20 1.00 1.24 1.10 0.99 1.25 1.22 1.28 1.12 1.10 1.15	S ^m Day after the last ensitreivir dose (Day 9) / Prednisolone alone (Day -2) (D ^m Day aft (Day 1) / Pr (Day 1) / Prednisolone alone (Day -2) (D ^m Day aft (Day 1) / Pr (Day 1) / Pr	ion with ensitreivir (Day 5) 5 th Day after the last ensitreivir dose (Day 9) / Prednisolone allow. (Day 4) / Prednisolone allow. (Day 4) 10 th Day after the last ensitience all (Day 4) 10 th Day after the last ensitience all (Day 4) 10 th Day after the last ensitience all (Day 4) 90% Cls (Day 4) / Prednisolone all (Day 4) 90% Cls	

Ensitrelvir increased the exposures of DEX when co-administered with ensitrelvir on Day 5 and the effect was diminished over time No meaningful effect of ensitrelvir on the PK of PLS was confirmed

Conclusion



Unit

a/mol

L/kg

1/hr

ul /mir

pmol

uL/min/

mq

μМ

Simulated AUC ratio (N =10×10)^a

Table.5 Simulated AUC ratio from PBPK model with ensitrelvir (750/250 mg)

Midazolam

2.69 (2.28, 3.40)

8.85 (6.69, 11.21)

Model

MW

loa P

B/P ratio

Absorption model

f, (CV%)

k, (CV%)

Distribution model

V_{ss} (CV%)

Kin and kou

Elimination mode

CYP3A CLint

Recombinar

dditional clearance

CL:... (HLM)

Enzyme

ompound type

hysicochemica

Properties

Absorption

Distribution

Elimination

Interaction

AUC

90% CIs of Ratio

Upper

3.30

9.36

11.55

Lower

233

5.58

6.71

2 78

7.23

8.80



531.88

2.8

0.572

0.023

1 (30) fc

1.66 (30)

0.287 (30)

0.061

0.00708

136

0.0498

0.0328, 0.151

Monoprotic base

First-order model

Minimal PBPK model

Enzyme kinetics

CYP3A4/ CYP3A5



Our PBPK model could well describe the PK profiles of ensitrelvir following multiple dose administration of ensitrelvir (750/250 mg) and the AUC ratio on DDI studies with MDZ and DEX (Table 1, 2, 5).

As results of DDI simulation with MDZ, ensitrelvir would increase the AUC of MDZ by 3.83-fold following ensitrelvir 375/125mg for 5 days.

PBPK model suggested the ensitrelvir is moderate CYP3A inhibitor

Dexamethasone

1.46 (1.41, 1.53)

3.23 (2.91, 3.76)

Results: 3) Clinical study with expected therapeutic dose

Fig.4 PK profile of midazolam

^aGeometric mean value for all participants

(range of geometric mean values for virtual 10 trials)



Table.6 Result of statistical analysis for DDI with midazolam

	Midazolam with ensitrelvir / Midazolam alone					
		90% CIs of Ratio				
Parameters	GLS Mean Ratio	Lower	Upper			
C _{max}	2.80	2.38	3.30			
AUC _{0-last}	6.90	6.27	7.59			
AUC _{0-inf}	6.77	6.16	7.44			
CI = confidence interval; GLS Mean = geometric least squares mean						

Ensitrelvir with 375/125mg also strongly inhibited CYP3A

- Ensitrelvir increased the AUCR of MDZ by 6.77-fold, although the PBPK predicted the AUCR of MDZ by 3.83-fold.
- The reason why the PBPK model underpredicted is under investigation.

The effects of ensitrelvir on the PK of CYP3A substrates were evaluated. This study found that ensitrelvir with 375/125mg is strong CYP3A inhibitor, although PBPK model simulated as moderate CYP3A inhibitor. In addition, ensitrelvir increased the exposures of DEX when co-administered with ensitrelvir on Day 5 and the effect was diminished over time, and no meaningful effect of ensitrelvir on the PK of PLS was confirmed.