

Impact of Rapid Diagnostic Technology on Patients with Candidemia

Patrick Funderburk, PharmD¹; Amy L. Carr, PharmD, BCIDP¹; Jillian E. Hayes, PharmD, BCIDP²

¹AdventHealth Orlando, Orlando, FL ²Duke University Hospital, Durham, NC

Background

- Candidemia is the fourth most common cause of bloodstream infections (BSIs) with mortality rates ranging from 19-40%¹⁻⁵
- Use of rapid diagnostic technology (RDT) for fungal organisms has demonstrated decreased time to antifungal therapy, but has inconsistently impacted clinical outcomes including mortality¹⁻⁶
- At AdventHealth Central Florida Division South (CFD-S), pharmacists provide 24-hour coverage for real-time notification of all positive blood culture results using Genmark ePlex[®] Blood Culture Identification Panels (BCID)⁷

Objective

- To evaluate the clinical impacts of RDT paired with 24/7, pharmacist-driven response in patients with *Candida* bloodstream infection

Methods

- Multicenter, pre/post, retrospective chart review
 - Pre-RDT: June 2019-May 2020
 - Post-RDT: August 2020-July 2021

Inclusion	Exclusion
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Age ≥ 18 years Positive blood culture with <i>Candida</i> spp. Received ≥ 72 hours antifungal therapy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Death or discharge prior to culture positivity Receipt of systemic antifungal prophylaxis Known candidiasis at time of first culture

Results

Characteristic	Pre-RDT (n=100)	Post-RDT (n=100)
Age (years), median (IQR)	59.5 (42.5-71.0)	61.5 (52.8-75.0)
Weight (kg), median (IQR)	76.15 (59.9-89.7)	73.5 (62.0-93.1)
Male, n	45	55
Past medical history, n		
Diabetes	25	31
IVDU	8	2
Solid organ transplant	2	2
Immunosuppression, n	21	15
Gastrointestinal perforation, n	2	4
Hospitalization within the previous 90 days, n	59	49
APACHEII Score, median (IQR)	13.5 (8-18.25)	16.5 (10-22)
Central venous catheter for ≥ 48 hours, n	69	67
Renal Replacement, n	24	31
CRRT	5	16
HD	19	15
Candida Score, median (IQR)	2 (0-2)	2 (1.75-2.25)
Severe sepsis + vasopressors, n	48	30
Receiving total parental nutrition, n	17	20
Abdominal Surgery in last 30 days, n	13	19
Candidemia within the past year, n	8	5
Systemic antibiotics in previous 30 days, n	65	71
1	33	20
2	20	21
3+	12	30

Outcome	Pre-RDT (n=100)	Post-RDT (n=100)	p-value
Time to effective antifungal (h), median (IQR)	39.8 (18.5-66.6)	38.5 (22.1-53.1)	0.217
Time to optimal therapy (h), median (IQR)	75.7 (39.8-122.6)	67.8 (40.9-113.5)	0.707
Time to culture clearance (h), median (IQR)	101.8 (73.4-144.3)	97.7 (70.0-160.0)	0.923
Duration of therapy (d), median (IQR)	12 (8-17)	13 (7-16)	0.950
Length of stay (d), median (IQR)	22 (14-35.3)	24 (16-40.3)	0.156
ICU length of stay (d), median (IQR)	2.5 (0-12.3)	6 (0-22.3)	0.033
In-hospital mortality, n	15	30	0.011
30-day readmission, n	30	21	0.144

Discussion

- No difference in time to effective antifungal therapy
 - Antifungal therapy often initiated at the time of gram stain report
 - High background use of empiric antifungals
- Increased ICU length of stay and in-hospital mortality in the post-RDT group are likely a reflection of higher baseline APACHE II scores

Conclusions

- RDT paired with 24/7, pharmacist driven response did not result a significant difference in time to effective antifungal therapy in patients with candidemia
- Further study regarding optimal use of RDT in fungal infections is warranted

References

- Magill et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370(13):1198-1208.
- Hassan et al. *J Infect.* 2009;59(5):360-365.
- Muderris et al. *J Mycol Med.* 2020;30(3):101008.
- Morgan et al. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2005;26(6):540-547.
- Garey et al. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2006;43(1):25-31.
- Timbrook et al. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;64(1):15-23.
- Blood Culture Identification (BCID) Panels | GenMark Diagnostics (genmarkdx.com)

Disclosures

Authors of this presentation have the following to disclose concerning possible financial or personal relationships with commercial entities that may have a direct or indirect interest in the subject matter of this presentation:
All authors have nothing to disclose.

Contact information:

Jillian Hayes – jillian.hayes@duke.edu

