# The Role of Baseline Body Temperature in Neutropenic Fever



### Background

- While body temperature is a vital measurement used in all path value in certain contexts remains unclear<sup>1-3</sup>
- Previous studies indicate that body temperature may vary dependent patient age, temperature measurement site, and other less-st both in healthy and hospitalized patients<sup>4,5</sup>
- In some circumstances, such as in immunocompromised patie neutropenia, body temperature serves as a crucial measure t treatment
- However, the simplistic definition of fever as a single oral terr 38.3°C or sustained temperature > 38°C for more than one he account for interpersonal variation in baseline body temperat

## Objective

- To examine the relationship between the magnitude of body deviation from the baseline in patients admitted for neutrope other features of their hospitalization, such as initial illness sev persistence, neutropenia persistence, identification of infectio causing fever, and the duration of hospital stay
- To propose a new and personalized definition of neutropenic

### Methods

 Study Design: retrospective chart review of patients admitted University (N=92)

### • Inclusion Criteria:

- Age ≥18 years
- Hospital admission diagnosis of neutropenic fever
- Availability of baseline outpatient body temperature reading

#### • Exclusion Criteria:

- An infectious process identified prior to admission
- Use of antibiotics for treatment or prophylaxis prior to admis - Absolute neutrophil count ≥1,500 cells/µL at time of admissi

### Definition of fever

- Tmax ≥ 38.0C or Tmax > 2SDs or > 3SDs from patients' persor

#### • Statistical Analysis:

- Descriptive statistics of cohort demographics, hospital cours and body temperature readings
- Exact sign test for comparing Tmax on admission and patier outpatient baseline body temperature
- Linear Regression to study the effect of patients' age on the me change in body temperature ( $\Delta T$ )
- Multiple logistic regression to model the length of stay based on  $\Delta T$ , age, degree of neutropenia, ICU vs non-ICU level of care on admission, persistence of fever at 72 hours after admission, and scheduled use of Tylenol
- Multiple logistic regression to model the ability to identify a specific cause for fever based on the same variables listed above

## Anthony Corsi, BS<sup>1</sup>; Madison Searles, MPH<sup>1</sup>; Christina Lupone, MPH<sup>1</sup>, Ivayla I. Geneva, MD, PhD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State University of New York, Upstate Medical University; <sup>2</sup>Crouse Hospital

nt care, its	Table 1. Demographi	cs and Featu	ures of	Hos
	Sex:		Specific	diagr
	Female	47 (51.1%)	Pneu	monia
nding on		45 (48.9%)	Gasti	remia
ang on ad factors	Mean +/- SD	54.9 +/- 17.9 ve	ears Uppe	er resp
u factors,	Range	20 to >90 yea	rs Skina	and sc
	Acuity on admission:		Viren	nia
	ICU admission	10 (10.9%)	Schedu	ed Ty
ith	Non-ICU admission	82 (89.1%)	Yes	
	Primary Service:		No	
les	Hematology-Onclogy	45 (48.9%)	As need	led Ty
	General Medicine	13 (14.1%)	Yes	
	BIMT unit	10 (10.9%)	No	
of	Medical ICU	10 (10.9%)	Dischar	ge loc
01	Cardiothoracic Rediatric convice (>18 years old)	4 (4.3%)	Dece	ased o with
	Surgery Transplant	4 (4.3%) 2 (2 20/)	пот Цот	
		ン(ンング) ス(ス 20/)	Chille	
	Neutronenia level on admission:	J (J.570)	Roba	hilitat
	Mild $(\Delta NC < 1.500)$	A (A 3%)	Short	t term
	Moderate (ANC < 1 000)	10 (10 9%)	Hom	e with
	Severe (ANC < 500)	27 (29 3%)	Corre	ection
	Profound (ANC < 100)	51 (55.4%)	Left	agains
	Reason for neutropenia:		Length	of stav
	Chemotherapy	87 (94.6%)	≤ 3 d	ays
е	Transplant	3 (3.3%)	> 3 d	ays
d	SLE	1 (1.1%)	Not i	nclude
r	Unknown	1 (1.1%)	Surviva	l:
	Reason for fever identified in 72 h	ours:	Alive	on dis
,	Yes	44 (47.8%)	Dece	ased
	No	48 (52.2%)		
	Table 2. Recorded Bo	dy Tempera	ature Re	eadi
	Mean +/- SD	$3671 \pm 7.0300$		Mea
	Range	[35.8-37.5]		R
	Self-reported fever before a	idmission. N=55		Maxir
	Mean +/- SD	38.72 +/- 0.45C		Mea
Medical	Range	[37.83-40.00]		R
neureur	Maximal temperature on a	dmission, N=91	Ре	rsister
	Mean +/- SD	38.14 +/- 0.82C		
	Range	[36.87-39.70]	Per	sisten
	ΔTemperature, I	N=90		
	Mean +/- SD	1.45 +/- 0.87C	Pers	sisten
	Range	[-0.22-3.81]		
			Per	sisten
	<b>Note:</b> All body temperatures are st <b>Abbreviations:</b> SD: standard deviat	andardized to oral ion.	Per: measureme	sisten nt site
	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat Table 3. Modeling Le	andardized to oral ion. <b>ngth of Hos</b>	Person measureme pital Sta	nt site
	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat Table 3. Modeling Le	andardized to oral tion. <b>ngth of Hos</b>	Personal States	nt site
	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat	andardized to oral tion. <b>ngth of Hos</b>	Person measureme <b>pital Sta</b> P-values ANC at 72 h	nt site
	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat Table 3. Modeling Le	andardized to oral tion. <b>ngth of Hos</b> Age ANC on admission	Person measureme pital Sta P-values ANC at 72 h	nt site
	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat Table 3. Modeling Le Model A - Generic fever cutoff (Tmax ≥ 38.0C)	andardized to oral ion. <b>ngth of Hos</b> Age ANC on admission 0.249 0.379	Person measureme pital Sta P-values ANC at 72 h	nt site
	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat Table 3. Modeling Le Model A - Generic fever cutoff (Tmax ≥ 38.0C) Model B - Personalized fever cutoff	andardized to oral tion. <b>Age</b> ANC on admission 0.249 0.379	Person measureme pital Sta P-values ANC at 72 h 0.922	nt site
	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat Table 3. Modeling Le Model A - Generic fever cutoff (Tmax ≥ 38.0C) Model B - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 2SDs) Model C - Personalized fever cutoff	andardized to oral ion. Age ANC on admission 0.249 0.379 0.503 0.632	Personal States of the second	sisten Int site ICU vs level 0. 0.
	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat Table 3. Modeling Le Model A - Generic fever cutoff (Tmax ≥ 38.0C) Model B - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 2SDs) Model C - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 3SDs)	andardized to oral ion. <b>ngth of Hos</b> Age ANC on admission 0.249 0.379 0.503 0.632 0.405 0.588	Personal States of the second	sisten nt site ay ICU vs level 0. 0. 0.
ne	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat <b>Table 3. Modeling Lee</b> Model A - Generic fever cutoff (Tmax ≥ 38.0C) Model B - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 2SDs) Model C - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 3SDs) Abbreviations: Tmax on admission: the high following hospitalization, SD: standard deviation	andardized to oral   ion.   ngth of Hos   Age   Anc on admission   0.249   0.379   0.503   0.632   0.405   0.588   hest body temperature ration, ICU: intensive car	Pers measureme pital Sta pital Sta p-values ANC at 72 h 0.922 0.609 0.708 measured during the unit, ANC: ab	sisten nt site ay ICU vs level 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
e istics,	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat <b>Table 3. Modeling Le</b> Model A - Generic fever cutoff (Tmax ≥ 38.0C) Model B - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 2SDs) Model C - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 3SDs) Abbreviations: Tmax on admission: the high following hospitalization, SD: standard deviation	andardized to oral ion. <b>ngth of Hos</b> Age ANC on admission 0.249 0.379 0.503 0.632 0.405 0.588 hest body temperature r ation, ICU: intensive car	Personal States measureme pital States poital States P-values ANC at 72 h 0.922 0.609 0.708 measured during the unit, ANC: ab	sisten nt site ay ICU vs level 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
stics,	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat <b>Table 3. Modeling Le</b> Model A - Generic fever cutoff (Tmax ≥ 38.0C) Model B - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 2SDs) Model C - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 3SDs) Abbreviations: Tmax on admission: the hig following hospitalization, SD: standard devi	andardized to oral ion. <b>ngth of Hos</b> Age ANC on admission 0.249 0.379 0.503 0.632 0.405 0.588 hest body temperature of ation, ICU: intensive car	Pers measureme pital Sta pital Sta p-values ANC at 72 h 0.922 0.609 0.708 measured during thify Fey Payalues	sisten nt site ay ICU vs level 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
ine teristics <i>,</i>	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat <b>Table 3. Modeling Lee</b> Model A - Generic fever cutoff (Tmax ≥ 38.0C) Model B - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 2SDs) Model C - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 3SDs) Abbreviations: Tmax on admission: the hig following hospitalization, SD: standard devi	andardized to oral ion. <b>ngth of Hos</b> Age ANC on admission 0.249 0.379 0.503 0.632 0.405 0.588 hest body temperature for ation, ICU: intensive car <b>Dility to Ider</b>	Pers measureme pital Sta pital Sta p-values ANC at 72 h 0.922 0.609 0.708 measured during the unit, ANC: ab ptify Fey P-values	A ICU vs ICU vs Ievel 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
line cteristics,	Note: All body temperatures are st Abbreviations: SD: standard deviat <b>Table 3. Modeling Lee</b> Model A - Generic fever cutoff (Tmax ≥ 38.0C) Model B - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 2SDs) Model C - Personalized fever cutoff (Tmax > 3SDs) Abbreviations: Tmax on admission: the hig following hospitalization, SD: standard devi	andardized to oral ion.ngth of HosAgeANC on admission0.2490.3790.5030.6320.4050.588nest body temperature to ation, ICU: intensive caroility to lderAgeANC on admission	Pers measureme pital Sta pital Sta p-values ANC at 72 h 0.609 0.708 measured durin e unit, ANC: ab	isten nt site ay ICU vs level 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.

 $(Tmax \ge 38.0C)$ 

(Tmax > 2SDs)

(Tmax > 3SDs

Model B - Personalized fever cutoff

Model C - Personalized fever cutof

e	a	S	u	r	e	d

Abbreviations: Tmax on admission: the highest body temperature measured during the following hospitalization, SD: standard deviation, ICU: intensive care unit, ANC: absolute neutrophil count.

0.989

0.942

0.935

0.477

0.672

0.572 0.493

0.555

0.558

### Results

#### **Hospital Course** c diagnosis accounting for fever: 10 (10.9%) imonia 9 (9.8%) roenterologic infection 7 (7.6%) 5 (5.4%) er respiratory tract infection and soft tissues infection 4 (4.4%) 1 (1.1%) led Tylenol use: 74 (80.4%) 18 (19.6%) ded Tylenol use: 59 (64.1%) 33 (35.9%) rge location 2 (2.2%) 55 (59.8%) ne with self care ne with home health care 27 (29.3%) 3 (3.3%) ed nursing facility 1 (1.1%) abilitation center 1 (1.1%) rt term hospital 1 (1.1%) ne with hospice 1 (1.1%) rection facility against medical advice 1 (1.1%) of stay (days): 40 (44.4%) 60 (66.7%) included (deceased) 2 (2.2%) 90 (97.8%) e on discharge 2 (2.2%) BMT: bone marrow transplant, ANC: absolute

#### eadings

Maximal temperature 25-48 hours, N=87				
Mean +/- SD	37.60 +/- 0.79C			
Range	[36.28-39.61]			
Maximal tempera	ture 49-72 hours, N=78			
Mean +/- SD	37.43 +/- 0.69C			
Range	[36.61-39.61]			
rsistence of fever (defined as T ≥ 38C), N=88				
	22 (23.9%)			
sistence of fever (1 SD above baseline), N=87				
-	73 (79.3%)			
sistence of fever (2 SD above baseline), N=87				
[	50 (54.3%)			
sistence of fever (	3 SD above baseline), N=87			
3	31 (33.7%)			
ent site.				

ICU vs non-ICU level of care	ΔΤ	Persistence of fever at 72 h	Scheduled Tylenol use	
0.999	0.010	0.009	0.185	
0.999	0.023	0.497	0.547	
0.999	0.033	0.040	0.275	
ing the Emergency Department stint and the first 8 hours book book book book book book book boo				

#### ver Etiology

ICU vs non-ICU level of care	ΔΤ	Persistence of fever at 72 h	Scheduled Tylenol use	
0.261	0.689	0.347	0.457	
0.272	0.768	0.527	0.459	
0.250	0.757	0.696	0.376	
g the Emergency Department stint and the first 8 hours				

#### Modeling the hospital length of stay

#### General fever cutoff (Tmax $\geq$ 38°C):

- This model correctly classified 80.8% of cases
- ANC, admission service, and scheduled Tylenol use

#### • Baseline body temperature +2 SD from personalized baseline fever cutoff:

- This model correctly classified 74.0% of cases.

#### • Baseline body temperature +3 SD from personalized baseline fever cutoff:

- This model correctly classified 76.7% of cases.
- than 4d (OR=3.97 p<0.05, 95% CI 1.07, 14.76)

#### Modeling the ability to identify fever etiology

Results of models were not significant

- in identifying many neutropenic fever cases
- baseline temperature
- serve as a predictor for hospital length of stay

# References

- 1. Kluger MJ. Fever: role of pyrogens and cryogens. Physiological reviews. 1991;71(1):93-127.
- Sciences. 1998;856:224-33.
- 2019;6(4):ofz032.
- 2019;358(2):134-42.





**F**ICROUSE HOSPITAL

#### • Maximum body temperatures at admission were significantly higher than patients' baseline

• Patients' age did not correlate with the deviation from baseline temperature at admission

• An increase in body temperature by 1°C correlated with a 2.90x increased risk of hospital stay longer than 4 days (OR=2.90, p<0.05, 95% CI 1.29, 6.51), while controlling for age, ANC, admission service, persistence of fever at 72h, and scheduled Tylenol use • Patients with a persistence of fever were at a 10.47x increased risk of hospital stay longer than 4d (OR=10.47 p<0.05, 95% CI 1.78, 61.63), while controlling for Tmax, age,

• An increase in body temperature by 1°C correlated with a 2.28x increased risk of hospital stay longer than 4 days (OR=2.28, p<0.05, 95% CI 1.12, 4.65)

• An increase in body temperature by 1°C correlated with a 2.19x increased risk of hospital stay longer than 4 days (OR=2.19, p<0.05, 95% CI 1.01, 4.49) • Patients with a persistence of fever were at a 3.97x increased risk of hospital stay longer

### Conclusions

• Given the average outpatient baseline body temperature of 36.7 +/- 0.3°C, at 2 SDs above this baseline, only 3% of patients would be above the traditional 38°C cutoff for fever. At 3 SDs, still only 20% would qualify. This renders the standard 38°C cutoff too high to be useful

• Our data supports the utility of using personalized fever cutoffs derived from each patient's

• The magnitude of temperature deviation from patients' baseline body temperature could

2. Kluger MJ, Kozak W, Conn CA, Leon LR, Soszynski D. Role of fever in disease. Annals of the New York Academy of

3. Mackowiak PA, Wasserman SS, Levine MM. A critical appraisal of 98.6 degrees F, the upper limit of the normal body temperature, and other legacies of Carl Reinhold August Wunderlich. Jama. 1992;268(12):1578-80. 4. Geneva, II, Cuzzo B, Fazili T, Javaid W. Normal Body Temperature: A Systematic Review. Open Forum Infect Dis.

5. Geneva, II, Cuzzo B, Fazili T, Javaid W. Comprehensive Analysis of Temperature in Hospitalized Patients. Am J Med Sci.