

A Retrospective Assessment of the Effects of Cefiderocol in Patients with Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative Bacterial Infections

Background

 Infections due to carbapenem-resistant (CR) gram-negative bacilli are of great concern due to the limited effective antimicrobial options.

•Cefiderocol, a novel siderophore cephalosporin, has emerged as a new tool for combating multidrug-resistant bacterial infections.

•However, concerns about its efficacy have resulted in FDA approval only for cases with limited or no treatment options.

Objective

• To assess the outcomes (14-day and 28day mortality) of patients with CR gramnegative bacterial infections treated with cefiderocol.

Methods

•Retrospective cohort study which includes all adult patients who received cefiderocol for at least three days from 1 October 2020 to 31 December 2021 at Stony Brook University Hospital.

•Patients who received multiple courses of cefiderocol during the same hospitalization or are still hospitalized were excluded.

•Statistics with Chi-square and t-test analyses were performed on SPSS.

- Average age and Charlson comorbidity index (CCI) were 63.6 year and 5.4, respectively.

Patier cefide

Infect

Respi

infect Bacte

Comp

Other (Intraa and b

sacra and fa

Bacte

Pseud aerug Acinet complex

Treatr

Doubl covera cefide Cefide monot

Monirul I. Sajib, MD, Melinda Monteforte, PharmD, and Roderick Go, DO Infectious Disease Division, Stony Brook University Hospital, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

Results

- 22 patients met the inclusion criteria.
- 7 (31.8%) were female.
- Average length of stay was 53 days.

| | No. of patients | 14- day all-cause mortality |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| nts receiving erocol | 22 | 1 (4.5%) |
| tions | No. of patients | 14- day all-cause mortality |
| iratory tract ion | 6 | 1 (16.7%) |
| eremia | 3 | 0 (0%) |
| olicated UTI | 2 | 0 (0%) |
| sites abdominal, orbital uccal abscess, I, lower extremity acial wound) | 11 | 0 (0%) |
| | | |

| erial isolates | No. of patients | 14- day all-cause mortality |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| domonas linosa | 14 | 0 (0%) |
| tobacter baumanii | 8 | 1 (12.5%) |

| ment | No. of patients | 14- day all-cause mortality |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| e gram negative age (including rocol) | 10 | 0 (0%) |
| erocol therapy | 12 | 1 (8.3%) |
| | | P=1.0 |

• When analyzed based on age, gender, and comorbidities (CCI), no significant differences were found in patients who died at 28-day of cefiderocol therapy versus those survived.

• Cefiderocol was tolerated well in general. 4 of 21 (19%) patients developed AKI and 1 of 12 (8.3%) developed mild transaminitis.

• 4 out of 18 tested organisms (22.2%) were resistant, 1 (5.5%) was intermediate, and 13 (72.2%) were susceptible to cefiderocol.

• No carbapenemases were detected in any of the 11 tested clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

• Treatment failure, defined as requiring change of antibiotic, was 9.1% (2 of 22).



Limitations

| 28-day all-cause mortality |
|-------------------------------|
| 3 (13.6%) |
| 28-day all-cause mortality |
| 1 (16.7%) |
| 0 (0%) |
| 0 (0%) |
| 2 (18.2%) |

| 28-day all-cause |
|------------------|
| mortality |
| 2 (14.3%) |

1 (12.5%)

| 28-day all-cause | |
|------------------|--|
| mortality | |
| 0 (0%) | |

3 (25%)

P=0.25

•The sample size is small and limited to a single tertiary academic medical center and data may not be applicable to other patient population cohort.

•Mortality rates were calculated as all-cause mortality rather than cause-specific mortality.

•Finally, the retrospective nature of our study and lack of direct comparison with bestavailable therapy in a randomized double blind trial fashion.

Conclusions

•The 14-day and 28-day all-cause mortality for all patients treated with cefiderocol are possibly less than previously thought.

•No significant differences were noted when cefidericol was used as monotherapy versus in combination.

References

•Zhanel GG, Golden AR, Zelenitsky S, et al. Cefiderocol: A Siderophore Cephalosporin with Activity Against Carbapenem-Resistant and Multidrug-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacilli. Drugs. 2019 Feb;79(3):271-289. PMID: 30712199.

•Bassetti M, Echols R, Matsunaga Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of cefiderocol or best available therapy for the treatment of serious infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria (CREDIBLE-CR): a randomised, open-label, multicentre, pathogenfocused, descriptive, phase 3 trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2021 Feb;21(2):226-240.. PMID: 33058795.

•Wunderink RG, Matsunaga Y, Ariyasu M, et al. Cefiderocol versus high-dose, extended-infusion meropenem for the treatment of Gram-negative nosocomial pneumonia (APEKS-NP): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2021 Feb;21(2):213-225. PMID: 33058798.