Clinical Characteristics of Invasive Extraintestinal Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* **Disease Among Older Adult Patients Treated in Hospitals** in the United States

KEY FINDINGS STATEMENTS

- This study identified nearly 20,000 patients aged ≥60 years who had an invasive extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli disease (IED) encounter in the United States; more than half had microbiological confirmation of *E. coli* from blood or normally sterile body sites, while the remaining patients had microbiological confirmation of *E. coli* from urine with signs of sepsis
- IED was associated with an acute burden during the initial encounter; 96.5% required hospitalization (mean duration of stay of 6.9 days) with nearly one-third of patients requiring intensive care unit (ICU) admission and 75% of them being transferred to the ICU on the same day as admission. Most cases were community acquired
- In the 12 months following the initial IED encounter, patients had substantial all-cause medical resource utilization and considerable in-hospital mortality

CONCLUSIONS

- This study described the course of IED in a large representative sample of older adult patients in US hospitals
- IED may lead to poor outcomes even after the initial encounter is resolved
- These findings emphasize the need for increased awareness and surveillance of IED and its consequences and the potential benefit of preventative measures

Acknowledgments & Disclosures

This study was funded by Janssen Research & Development, LLC. The study sponsor was involved in several aspects of the research, including the study design, the interpretation of data, and the production of the poster. **ES** has received support from the following: Janssen (research grant); Sanofi Pasteur (research grant; speaking and lecture fees and travel reimbursement); Pfizer (research grant, advisory board); Seqirus (research grant). JG, BB, ACEK, NK, and LH-P are employees of Janssen. MG-L, RB, and MC are employees of Analysis Group Inc. which received funding from Janssen for this research.



Scan the QR code

https://www.congresshub.com/IDV/IDW 2022/EarlyInvestigationalProducts/Saade The QR code is intended to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.

Elie Saade¹, Jeroen Geurtsen², Bryan Baugh³, Antoine C El Khoury⁴, Nnanya Kalu⁵, Marjolaine Gauthier-Loiselle⁶, Rebecca Bungay⁶, Martin Cloutier⁶, Luis Hernandez-Pastor⁷ ¹Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA; ²Janssen Vaccines & Prevention BV, Leiden, Netherlands; ³Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Raritan, NJ, USA; ⁴Janssen Global Services, LLC, Raritan, NJ, USA; ⁵Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, Titusville, NJ, USA; ⁶Analysis Group, Inc., Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ⁷Janssen Pharmaceutica NV, Beerse, Belgium

INTRODUCTION

- Extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC) can infect normally sterile body sites and lead to invasive ExPEC disease (IED), also known as invasive *E. coli* disease^{1,2}
- IED comprises sepsis (including urosepsis), bacteremia, peritonitis, meningitis, and other infectious syndromes^{1,2}
- The risk of IED increases progressively beyond 60 years of age,³ and can lead to severe outcomes, including death, particularly among older adults more likely to have antibiotic-resistant infections⁴
- E. coli is a leading cause of community-acquired sepsis, a main reason of hospitalization and death in the United States^{1,5,6}

OBJECTIVE

 To describe and characterize the short-term as well as the longerterm outcomes following an IED encounter among patients ≥ 60 years old hospitalized in the United States

METHODS

Data source and study design

- This retrospective study (Figure 1) used de-identified hospital data from the Premier Healthcare Database (October 1, 2015 to March 31, 2020)
- Medical resource utilization and cost burden of IED from this study have been previously presented⁷

FIGURE 1: Study design

 Index date First IED encounter Patient was aged ≥60 years 		ars	End of ● observation period	
1	12-month Assessment	observation peri of clinical course	od: of IED	
≥6 months	Hospital continuously co	ntributed microbio	logy data for ≥12 month	s

The first X represents the index IED encounter, subsequent X's represent potential other IED encounters occurring during the study period

- The index encounter was defined as the first encounter with a positive E. coli culture in blood or other normally sterile body site (group 1) or a positive *E. coli* culture in urine with urinary tract infection (UTI) and signs of sepsis⁸ (group 2), in the absence of other pathogens
- Eligible patients \geq 60 years old with \geq 1 IED hospital encounter were included (**Figure 2**)

FIGURE 2: Sample selection

-				
Patients had ≥1 IED encounter N=28.582				
Patients were aged ≥60 years at the index date 21,888 (76.6%)				
Patients for which the IED was recorded at a hospital which continuously contributed microbiology data for ≥6 months before and ≥12 months after the index date 19,773 (90.3%)				
19,773	(90.3%)			
19,773	(90.3%)			
19,773 Index er	(90.3%) toounter			
Index er Group 1: IED with microbiological confirmation from blood or other normally sterile sites 10,235 (51.8%)	(90.3%) acounter Group 2: IED with microbiological confirmation from urine in the presence of signs of sepsis 9538 (48.2%)			

Group 1 was defined by a positive E. coli culture in either blood or in any other normally sterile body site and hospitalization with signs of SIRS or sepsis (as per the CDC's clinical surveillance definition), and no positive culture for other bacteria or fungal pathogens. The CDC's clinical surveillance definition for sepsis utilizes an algorithm defined by C Rhee et al,⁸ and details and diagnosis codes were updated using CDC's Hospital Toolkit for Adult Sepsis Surveillance (March 2018); the algorithm was validated using medical records from 510 randomly selected hospitalizations. Group 2 was defined by a positive *E. coli* culture in urine with signs of sepsis (as per the CDC's surveillance definition) and a diagnosis of UTI, with no positive culture for E. coli in blood or normally sterile body sites, and no positive culture for other bacteria or fungal pathogens. CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; SIRS, systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

Study outcomes and statistical analysis

- Patient, hospital, and IED characteristics, including characterization of antimicrobial resistance of *E. coli* isolates, were descriptively reported for the index encounter
- Medical resource utilization, IED recurrence, and in-hospital mortality were descriptively reported during the index encounter and over the 12-month observation period (excluding the index encounter)

References

- Poolman JT, et al. J Infect Dis 2016; 213:6-13. Kaper JB, et al. Nat Rev Microbiol 2004; 2:123-40
- 3. Aliabadi S, et al. J Antimicrob Chemother 2022; 77:782-92.

RESULTS

TABLE: Patient, hospital, and index IED encounter characteristics

	All patients (N=19.773)
Patient characteristics	
Age mean \pm SD (median) v	76 8 + 8 9 (77 0)
Female n (%)	13 321 (67 4)
Race n (%)	10,021 (01.1)
White	16 234 (82 1)
Black	1799 (9 1)
Asian	646 (3,3)
Other	909 (4.6)
Unknown	185 (0.9)
Selected comorbidities ^a n (%)	100 (0.0)
High blood pressure	15 849 (80 2)
Renal disease	6529 (33.0)
Congestive heart failure	5803 (29.3)
Chronic pulmonary disease	5036 (25.5)
Dementia	4465 (22.6)
CCI score, mean + SD (median)	25 + 21(20)
>3 n (%)	8398 (42 5)
Hospital characteristics	0000 (12.0)
Number of beds, n (%)	
0–199	4978 (25 2)
200–499	8763 (44 3)
>500	6032 (30.5)
Region, n (%)	0002 (00.0)
Midwest	4721 (23.9)
Northeast	3271 (16.5)
South	11.046 (55.9)
West	735 (3.7)
IED characteristics	
Onset of IED, n (%)	
Hospital onset	1125 (5.7)
Community onset	18,648 (94.3)
Healthcare-associated community-acquired	4787 (25.7)
Nonhealthcare-associated community-acquired	13,861 (74.3)
Type of encounter, n (%)	
Inpatient stay	19,084 (96.5)
Duration of inpatient stay, mean \pm SD (median), days	6.9 ± 5.7 (5.0)
Emergency room visit	554 (2.8)
Outpatient hospital visit	135 (0.7)
ICU admission, n (%)	6405 (32.4)
Duration of ICU stay, mean \pm SD (median), days	3.7 ± 4.1 (2.0)
Transferred to ICU on the same day as admission, n (%)	4774 (74.5)
Mechanical ventilation, n (%)	1710 (8.6)

FIGURE 3: Top 15 antibiotic agents received during the index encounter



FIGURE 4: Patterns of antibiotic resistance during the index encounter



- Bonten M, et al. Clin Infect Dis 2021; 72:1211-19
- Russo TA, et al. *Microbes Infect* 2003; 5:449-56. Russo TA, et al. Expert Rev Vaccines 2006; 5:45-5
- Hernandez-Pastor L, et al. Poster presented at: International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR) 2022; May 15–18, 2022; Washington, DC, USA.





FIGURE 5: Patterns of antibiotic resistance by agent over time -Penicillins 50.0% Fluoroquinolones Non–extended-spectrum 40.0% cephalosporins (1st, 2nd) -Extended-spectrum 30.0% cephalosporins (3rd, 4th) Aminoglycosides 20.0% Carbapenems ESBL

2018

Carbapenems, 0.1%; there was no increase between 2015 and 2019. ESBL, extended-spectrum β-lactamase.



aNonhealthcare facility point of origin indicates that the patient was not transferred from another healthcare facility (eg, originated from home, prison, or other nonhealthcare institution). ICF, intermediate care facility, SNF, skilled nursing facility.

FIGURE 7: In-hospital fatality rate during the post-index period

Time since index date

■ 1–2 days ■ 3 days to <1 month ■ 1–3 months ■ 4–6 months ■ 6–12 months



66.2%

(excluding the index encounter) All-Cause Medical Resource Utilization^a

FIGURE 8: Medical resource utilization during the post-index period



Time to Readmission Among Patients With ≥1 Stay During the

Study sample and patient, hospital, and **IED** characteristics

- A total of 19,773 patients with IED were identified: 10,235 patients (51.8%) were classified in group 1 among whom 5978 (58.4%) had signs of sepsis, and 9538 patients (48.2%) were classified in group 2 $(Figure 2)^7$
- Mean age was 76.8 years and 67.4% were female (Table)
- Most index encounters involved community-onset (94.3%) rather than hospital-onset (5.7%) IED and required hospitalization (96.5%; mean duration, 6.9 days); 32.4% of patients were admitted to the ICU (mean duration, 3.7 days)
- During the index encounter, patients received a mean of 2.9 antibiotic agents and 30.1% received \geq 4 agents (data not shown)
- The 3 most prevalent were ceftriaxone (66.2%), vancomycin (36.3%), and piperacillin (35.0%; Figure 3)
- Most *E. coli* isolates showed resistance to ≥ 1 antibiotic category (61.7%), and 34.4% were classified as multidrug resistant⁹ (ie, \geq 3 categories; **Figure 4**)
- The proportion of *E. coli* isolates resistant to most of the antibiotic categories was stable between 2015–2019, though a decreasing trend for fluoroquinolones (37.8–32.0%, *P*<0.001) and aminoglycosides (15.6–11.9%, *P*=0.002) was observed (Figure 5)

Clinical outcomes post IED

- Most encounters originated in a nonhealthcare facility (85.1%); following discharge, 34.8% of patients were transferred to a SNF/ICF and 44.0% were discharged to their home (Figure 6A and Figure 6B)
- In-hospital fatality rate for all patients was 6.8% during the index encounter (**Figure 6B**) and reached 10.9% at 1 year post index (**Figure 7**)
- The in-hospital fatality rate was similar for group 2 vs group 1 IED during the index encounter (7.0% vs 6.6%, *P*=0.219), but was larger for group 2 IED at 1 year post index (12.2% vs 9.7%, P<0.001)
- 1 year post index, 2.4% of patients had an IED recurrence (data not shown) and 36.8% were readmitted to the hospital for any reason, 37.3% within the first 30 days of discharge (Figure 8A and Figure 8B)⁷

LIMITATIONS

- ED encounters were identified from microbiology data and diagnosis/procedure codes; misclassification of IED cannot be excluded
- The Premier Healthcare Database is limited to IED encounters occurring in a hospital setting. Medical services received outside of the hospital where the IED encounter was recorded and pharmacy prescription fills were not captured
- The incidence of antibiotic resistance may have been underestimated because in real-world clinical practice, specimens are not tested for resistance to all possible antibiotics
- Death was identified based on discharge status such that deaths occurring outside of the hospital were not captured

8. Rhee C, et al. JAMA 2017; 318:1241-49. 9. Magiorakos AP, et al. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012; 18:268-81

