

# Intentional Pulpotomy to Preserve Hopeless Primary Molars: A Pilot Study

UF FLORIDA
College of Dentistry

Fetner J\*, Kiskaddon K, Smith N, Guelmann M Department of Pediatric Dentistry, University of Florida College of Dentistry, Gainesville, FL

### INTRODUCTION

In primary molars, when proximal restorative margins are located at or below the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ), teeth are generally considered non-restorable and may require extraction due to the difficulty in obtaining a tight marginal seal.

Calcium silicate-based cements are biocompatible materials with excellent sealing properties and recommended as pulpotomy medicaments in primary molars.

#### **OBJECTIVE**


To preserve vital primary molars with proximal caries at or below the CEJ by intentionally performing a calcium silicatebased pulpotomy and stainless steel crown (SSC).

### **METHODS**


A prospective clinical study is being conducted at the University of Florida Pediatric Dental Clinic. Healthy, cooperative 5-10 year-old children with deep proximal lesions in primary molars were recruited.

Inclusion Criteria		
Clinical	<ul> <li>Normal pulp or diagnosis of reversible pulpits</li> <li>No signs of mobility or percussion sensitivity</li> <li>Restorable with SSC</li> </ul>	
Radiographic	<ul> <li>Proximal caries at or below the CEJ</li> <li>Minimum of 1-2mm of sound dentin separating the deepest portion of the lesion and the pulp</li> <li>No furcal or periapical pathology</li> </ul>	

## Traditional Pulpotomy Technique Pulp Medicament + IRM + SSC



### Experimental Intentional Pulpotomy Technique Calcium Silicate-Based Cement + GI + SSC



#### **Experimental Technique:**


- 1. Local anesthesia and rubber dam isolation
- 2. Caries removal followed by intentional pulp exposure
- 3. Pulpotomy performed
- 4. Hemostasis obtained within 3-5 minutes using moist cotton pellets
- 5. NeoPutty MTA + Fuji IX Glass Ionomer base
- 6. SSC restoration

### **RESULTS**

	6 months	12 months
Success (Clinical and Radiographic)	10	6
Failure (Clinical and/or Radiographic)	1	0
N = 18*  *2 patients were lost to follow- *5 patients are awaiting 6-month		•



Pre-op radiograph #I




6-month post-op
Radiographic success



12-month post-op
Radiographic success



Pre-op radiograph #



open distal margin of SSC and inadequate packing of MTA

### CONCLUSIONS

Our data shows promising results using this protocol when the restorability of teeth is questionable due to the cervical extent of the lesion.